

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8508270265 DOC. DATE: 85/08/23 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-387 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1, Pennsylvania 05000387
 50-388 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Pennsylvania 05000388
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CURTIS, N.W. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 BUTLER, W.R. Licensing Branch 2

SUBJECT: Application for rev to proposed Amend 58 to Licenses NPF-14 & NPF-22, changing Tech Spec to revise testing requirements associated w/tie-in of fifth diesel generator.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2+1/2
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES: 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM, LPDR 2cys Transcripts, 05000387
 OL: 07/17/82
 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM, LPDR 2cys Transcripts, 05000388
 OL: 03/23/84

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR LB2 BC	01	7	7			
INTERNAL:	ACRS	09	6	6	ADM/LFMB		1 0
	ELD/HDS4		1	0	NRR/DE/MTEB		1 1
	NRR/DL DIR		1	1	NRR/DL/ORAB		1 0
	NRR/DL/TSRG.		1	1	NRR/DSI/METB		1 1
	NRR/DSI/RAB.		1	1	<u>REG FILE</u>	04	1 1
	RGN1		1	1			
EXTERNAL:	24X		1	1	EG&G BRUSKE,S		1 1
	LPDR	03	2	2	NRC PDR	02	1 1
	NSIC	05	1	1			
NOTES:			3	3			



Handwritten scribbles and marks on the left side of the page.

Small cluster of handwritten marks or characters.



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

Norman W. Curtis
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear
215/770-7501

AUG 23 1985

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Dr. W. R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
REVISION TO PROPOSED AMENDMENTS 58 TO
NPF-14 AND 13 TO NPF-22
ER 100450 FILE 841-8
PLA-2524

Docket Nos. 50-387
50-388

Reference: PLA-2346, N. W. Curtis to A. Schwencer, dated December 21, 1984

Dear Dr. Butler:

Via the referenced letter, PP&L proposed changes to the Susquehanna SES Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications in order to allow work associated with the tie-in of a fifth diesel generator. Based on recent discussions with the NRC staff, we are now requesting a revision to the proposed testing requirements. A mark up of our revised proposal is attached.

In the referenced letter, ACTION a of the proposed footnote to Specification 3.8.1.1 required the performance of Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.a.4 on the remaining A.C. sources within 24 hours after removing any diesel generator from service to perform subject work; this requirement would then be performed at least once per 72 hours thereafter.

It is now being proposed that Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.a.4 be performed within 24 hours but prior to removing any diesel generator from service to perform tie-in work. As before, the requirement would then be performed at least once per 72 hours thereafter.

No Significant Hazards Consideration

1. This revision to the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Since the test is now being performed prior to removal of any diesel from service, there is greater assurance that the remaining diesels will be operable should they be called upon. The exposure to an unnecessary transient (i.e. forced shutdown) on both units is also reduced by this change. Therefore, the results of the original supporting analysis for this change can only be improved in terms of overall safety.

Aool
"11

8508270265 850823
PDR ADDOCK 05000387
P PDR

AUG 23 1985

Page 2

SSES PLA-2524
ER 100450 File 841-8
Dr. W. R. Butler

2. This revision does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The original proposal required the identical tests, just at a different time. As described in 1. above, the new timing has no adverse safety consequences. Therefore, the possibility of the creation of a new or different type of adverse situation cannot change from the original evaluation.
3. This revision does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As explained in 1. above, overall safety is improved due to this revision to the original proposal.

Any questions on this proposal should be directed to Mr. C. T. Coddington at (215) 770-7853.

Very truly yours,



N. W. Curtis
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear

Enclosures

cc: M. J. Campagnone USNRC
R. H. Jacobs USNRC

T. M. Gerusky, Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Resources
P. O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17120



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring the integrity of the financial data and for facilitating audits.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. It describes how different types of information are gathered and how they are processed to identify trends and patterns.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the results of the analysis. It provides a detailed breakdown of the findings, highlighting key areas of concern and suggesting potential solutions to address these issues.

4. The final part of the document concludes with a summary of the overall findings and a call to action for the relevant departments to implement the recommended changes.

5. The document also includes a section on the limitations of the current data collection process. It notes that while the current methods are effective, there are still several areas where improvements can be made to enhance the accuracy and reliability of the data.

6. In addition, the document provides a list of references to other studies and reports that have been consulted during the research process. These references provide further context and support for the findings presented in the document.

7. Finally, the document includes a section on the next steps for the project. It outlines the specific actions that need to be taken to address the identified issues and to implement the recommended changes.