

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8508270265 DOC. DATE: 85/08/23 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-387 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1, Pennsylv 05000387
 50-388 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Pennsylv 05000388
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CURTIS, N.W. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 BUTLER, W.R. Licensing Branch 2

SUBJECT: Application for rev to proposed Amend 58 to Licenses NPF-14
 & NPF-22, changing Tech Spec to revise testing requirements
 associated w/tie-in of fifth diesel generator.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2+1/2
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES: 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM, LPDR 2cys Transcripts, 05000387
 OL: 07/17/82
 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM, LPDR 2cys Transcripts, 05000388
 OL: 03/23/84

	RECIPIENT			RECIPIENT		
	ID CODE/NAME	COPIES	COPIES	ID CODE/NAME	COPIES	COPIES
		LTR	ENCL		LTR	ENCL
INTERNAL:	NRR LB2 BC 01	7	7			
	ACRS 09	6	6	ADM/LFMB	1	0
	ELD/HDS4	1	0	NRR/DE/MTEB	1	1
	NRR/DL DIR	1	1	NRR/DL/ORAB	1	0
	NRR/DL/TSRG.	1	1	NRR/DSI/METB	1	1
	NRR/DSI/RAB.	1	1	<u>REG FILE</u> 04	1	1
	RGN1	1	1			
EXTERNAL:	24X	1	1	EG&G BRUSKE, S	1	1
	LPDR 03	2	2	NRC PDR 02	1	1
	NSIC 05	1	1			
NOTES:		3	3			



Handwritten scribbles and marks on the left side of the page.

Small cluster of handwritten marks or characters.

Horizontal line of small handwritten marks or characters.

Small cluster of handwritten marks or characters.

Small cluster of handwritten marks or characters.

Small handwritten mark or character.

Vertical column of small handwritten marks or characters.

Small cluster of handwritten marks or characters.

Vertical column of small handwritten marks or characters.

Small handwritten mark or character.



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

Norman W. Curtis
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear
215/770-7501

AUG 23 1985

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Dr. W. R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
REVISION TO PROPOSED AMENDMENTS 58 TO
NPF-14 AND 13 TO NPF-22
ER 100450 FILE 841-8
PLA-2524

Docket Nos. 50-387
50-388

Reference: PLA-2346, N. W. Curtis to A. Schwencer, dated December 21, 1984

Dear Dr. Butler:

Via the referenced letter, PP&L proposed changes to the Susquehanna SES Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications in order to allow work associated with the tie-in of a fifth diesel generator. Based on recent discussions with the NRC staff, we are now requesting a revision to the proposed testing requirements. A mark up of our revised proposal is attached.

In the referenced letter, ACTION a of the proposed footnote to Specification 3.8.1.1 required the performance of Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.a.4 on the remaining A.C. sources within 24 hours after removing any diesel generator from service to perform subject work; this requirement would then be performed at least once per 72 hours thereafter.

It is now being proposed that Surveillance Requirement 4.8.1.1.2.a.4 be performed within 24 hours but prior to removing any diesel generator from service to perform tie-in work. As before, the requirement would then be performed at least once per 72 hours thereafter.

No Significant Hazards Consideration

1. This revision to the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Since the test is now being performed prior to removal of any diesel from service, there is greater assurance that the remaining diesels will be operable should they be called upon. The exposure to an unnecessary transient (i.e. forced shutdown) on both units is also reduced by this change. Therefore, the results of the original supporting analysis for this change can only be improved in terms of overall safety.

Aool

8508270265	850823
PDR	ADDOCK 05000387
P	PDR

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

AUG 23 1985


Page 2

SSES PLA-2524
ER 100450 File 841-8
Dr. W. R. Butler

2. This revision does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. The original proposal required the identical tests, just at a different time. As described in 1. above, the new timing has no adverse safety consequences. Therefore, the possibility of the creation of a new or different type of adverse situation cannot change from the original evaluation.
3. This revision does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As explained in 1. above, overall safety is improved due to this revision to the original proposal.

Any questions on this proposal should be directed to Mr. C. T. Coddington at (215) 770-7853.

Very truly yours,



N. W. Curtis
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear

Enclosures

cc: M. J. Campagnone USNRC
R. H. Jacobs USNRC

T. M. Gerusky, Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Resources
P. O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17120



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial statements and for providing a clear audit trail. The text also mentions that proper record-keeping is necessary for compliance with various regulatory requirements.

2. The second part of the document focuses on the role of internal controls in preventing fraud and errors. It describes how a well-designed system of internal controls can help to identify and prevent potential risks before they become a problem. The text also discusses the importance of regular monitoring and evaluation of these controls to ensure they remain effective over time.

3. The third part of the document addresses the issue of data security. It highlights the need to protect sensitive information from unauthorized access, disclosure, or destruction. The text provides several recommendations for ensuring data security, including the use of strong passwords, encryption, and regular backups.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of transparency and communication in financial reporting. It emphasizes that providing clear and concise information to stakeholders is essential for building trust and confidence in the organization. The text also mentions that transparency is a key component of good corporate governance.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key points discussed throughout the document. It reiterates the importance of maintaining accurate records, implementing effective internal controls, ensuring data security, and providing transparent financial reporting. The text also offers some final thoughts on the overall goal of achieving financial integrity and transparency in the organization.