



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 19 1985

Docket Nos. 50-387/388

Mr. Norman W. Curtis
Vice President
Engineering and Construction
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Dear Mr. Curtis:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - PRELIMINARY STAFF REVIEW OF
GENERIC LETTER 83-28 RESPONSES, SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION

The staff has completed a preliminary review to assess the completeness and adequacy of applicant/licensee responses to Generic Letter 83-28 Items 2.1, 2.2, 3.1.3, 3.2.3, 4.4 and 4.5. For Susquehanna 1 and 2 your responses were found to be incomplete for Items 2.1, 2.2.2, and 4.5.3. Brief descriptions of the deficiencies are provided as guidelines for corrective action in the enclosed request for additional information. Efforts by Owners Groups, INPO and NSSS vendors have been or are being made to produce generic responses that may be useful in meeting the requirements of Generic Letter 83-28 Items 2.1, 2.2.2 and 4.5.3. You may wish to contact these organizations regarding the applicability of such generic responses to your facility.

In order to preserve our present review schedule, the staff requests that you submit for review the supplementary information identified for Items 2.1 and 2.2.2 within 60 days and for Item 4.5.3 within 90 days. If you intend to formally endorse the BWR Owners Group response to Item 4.5.3 (NEDC-30844), please advise us within 60 days. Your plant specific response to Item 4.5.3 should then be provided within 90 days after the NRC completes its review and issues its evaluation of NEDC-30844. We request your cooperation in meeting this schedule.

This request for additional information was approved by the Office of Management and Budget under clearance number 3150-0011 which expires April

8503280071 850319
PDR ADDCK 05000387
P PDR

SECRET

30, 1985. Comments on burden and duplication may be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Reports Management Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

Distribution: Docket File
LB#2 Reading EHylton
Goldberg, OELD ACRS (16)
JStevens

NRC PDR
MCampagnone
TAlexion

Local PDR
JPartlow
DLasher

PRC System
BGrimes
DBeckham

NSIC
EJordan
RAuluck

LB#2/DL/PM
MCampagnone: 1b
03/14/85

LB#2/DL/BC
ASchwencer
03/ /85

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee. The names are listed in alphabetical order, and the addresses are given in full. The list is as follows:

Committee on the Study of the History of the City of New York

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee. The names are listed in alphabetical order, and the addresses are given in full. The list is as follows:

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee. The names are listed in alphabetical order, and the addresses are given in full. The list is as follows:

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee. The names are listed in alphabetical order, and the addresses are given in full. The list is as follows:

30, 1985. Comments on burden and duplication may be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Reports Management Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "A. Schwencer".

A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

Susquehanna

Mr. Norman W. Curtis
Vice President
Engineering and Construction
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts, & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Edward M. Nagel, Esq.
General Counsel and Secretary
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Mr. William E. Barberich
Manager-Nuclear Licensing
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Mr. R. Jacobs
Resident Inspector
P.O. Box 52
Shickshinny, Pennsylvania 18655

Mr. E. B. Poser
Project Engineer
Bechtel Power Corporation
P. O. Box 3965
San Francisco, California 94119

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection Resources
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
P. O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. N. D. Weiss
Project Manager
Mail Code 391
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, California 95125

Robert W. Alder, Esquire
Office of Attorney General
P. O. Box 2357
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. William Matson
Allegheny Elec. Cooperative, Inc.
212 Locust Street
P. O. Box 1266
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

SUSQUEHANNA 1&2:

Item 2.1 (part 2) - Incomplete

Licensee needs to describe how his vendor technical information interface program will be extended to include interfaces with all vendors of reactor trip system components, show how the division of responsibility between nuclear and non-nuclear divisions of vendors and licensee is handled, and verify that lists of vendor information and the information itself is available at the reactor site for audit.

Item 2.2.2 - Incomplete

Licensee needs to present his evaluation of the NUTAC program and describe how his current program will be modified and supplemented to incorporate it bearing in mind that the staff found the NUTAC program fails to address the concern about establishing and maintaining an interface between all vendors of safety-related equipment and the utility.

Item 4.5.3 - Incomplete

Licensee needs to provide description and evaluation of BWROG's analysis of proposed on-line testing intervals. This information should have considered the concerns of sub-items 4.5.3.1 to 4.5.3.5 of the generic letter, show how the intervals result in high reactor trip system availability, and present appropriate Technical Specification changes for staff review.

The staff finds that modifications are not required to permit on-line testing of the backup scram valves. However, the staff concludes that testing of the backup scram valves (including initiating circuitry) at a refueling outage frequency, in lieu of on-line testing, is appropriate and should be included in the technical specification surveillance requirements. The licensee needs to address this conclusion.

