

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8409110384    DOC. DATE: 84/09/07    NOTARIZED: NO    DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-387 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1, Pennsylv    05000387  
 AUTH. NAME    AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 CURTIS, N.W.    Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.  
 RECIP. NAME:    RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 SCHWENCER, A.    Licensing Branch 2

SUBJECT: Forwards application to amend License NPF-14, rev'ing Tech Specs re removal of 50-degree blocking requirements, per NUREG-0737, Item II.E.4.2 rev of isolation times & rev of bases for consistency w/Unit 2 bases.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D    COPIES RECEIVED: LTR   1   ENCL   1   SIZE:   2+4    
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES: 1cy NMSS/FCAF/PM.    LPDR 2cys Transcripts.    05000387  
 OL: 07/17/82

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR LB2 BC	01	7	7			
INTERNAL:	ADM/LFMB		1	0	ELD/HDS4		1 0
	NRR/DE/MTEB		1	1	NRR/DL DIR		1 1
	NRR/DL/ORAB		1	0	NRR/DSI/METB		1 1
	NRR/DSI/RAB		1	1	<u>REG FILE</u>	04	1 1
	RGN1		1	1			
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	09	6	6	LPDR	03	2 2
	NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIC	05	1 1
	NTIS		1	1			
NOTES:			3	3			

*Rec'd w/check  
 #150. = # 516759*

RECEIVED: 11/15/68  
 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535  
 TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-388610)  
 FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-157454) (P)  
 SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

Reference is made to New York airtel dated 11/14/68 and Bureau airtel dated 11/14/68. New York is requested to continue to monitor the activities of the [REDACTED] and to report any developments to the Bureau.

ADMINISTRATIVE: [REDACTED] (100-388610) (P)  
 DISTRIBUTION: [REDACTED] (100-157454) (P)

RE: [REDACTED] (100-388610) (P)  
 NEW YORK (100-157454) (P)

DATE	TO	FROM	REMARKS
11/15/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/14/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/14/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/13/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/12/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/11/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/10/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/9/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/8/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/7/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/6/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/5/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/4/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/3/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
11/2/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
11/1/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/31/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/30/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/29/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/28/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/27/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/26/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/25/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/24/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/23/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/22/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/21/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/20/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/19/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/18/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/17/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/16/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/15/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/14/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/13/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/12/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/11/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/10/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/9/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/8/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/7/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/6/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/5/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/4/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/3/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]
10/2/68	BUREAU	NEW YORK	RE: [REDACTED]
10/1/68	NEW YORK	BUREAU	RE: [REDACTED]



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

Two North Ninth Street • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

Norman W. Curtis  
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear  
215/770-7501

SEP 07 1984

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief  
Licensing Branch No. 2  
Division of Licensing  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION  
PROPOSED AMENDMENT 46 TO LICENSE NO. NPF-14  
ER 100450 FILE 841-8  
PLA-2293


Docket No. 50-387

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

Presently, the Susquehanna SES Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications differ with respect to containment purge valve blocking and closure times, as well as their associated Bases. PP&L plans to complete modifications during the first refueling outage for Unit 1 which will render the valves fully qualified, at which time the Technical Specifications can be made consistent.

The purpose of this letter is to propose those changes to the Unit 1 Technical Specifications; they include the items described below:

- A. Removal of 50° blocking requirement. (See Attachment A page 1). This requirement was enacted per NRC direction as outlined in NUREG 0737, Item II.E.4.2. In SSER 6 (NUREG 0776), the NRC approved the operability of the subject valves from their full-open position.
- B. Revision of isolation times. (See Attachment A page 2). The maximum isolation times currently specified in the Unit 1 Technical Specifications are consistent with the information originally presented in the FSAR to support the containment isolation design basis, i.e., maintaining offsite doses within 10CFR100 limits. Based on subsequent NRC concerns about the integrity of the Standby Gas Treatment System in a post-LOCA environment, the NRC's current position, as evidenced in the Susquehanna SES Unit 2 Technical Specifications, is that the subject valves will all close within 15 seconds.
- C. The Unit 1 Bases have been proposed for revision consistent with the existing Unit 2 Bases in the subject area.



Rec'd w/price \$150.00  
# 516759

Acc'd  
1/1

Faint, illegible text scattered across the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side or extremely low-contrast print.

SEP 07 1984

Page 2

SSES PLA-2293  
ER 100450 File 841-8  
Mr. A. Schwencer

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATIONS

The proposed changes do not:

- (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated,
- (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, or
- (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

For items A and B above, this assessment is based on example (v) of amendments that are considered not likely to involve significant hazards considerations in 48FR14870:

"Upon satisfactory completion of construction in connection with an operating facility, a relief granted from an operating restriction that was imposed because the construction was not yet completed satisfactorily. This is intended to involve only restrictions where it is justified that construction has been completed satisfactorily."


PP&L's justification to do the physical work on Unit 1 was approved by the NRC in SSER 6 as noted above; the identical work has already been completed on Unit 2, as evidenced by the approved Unit 2 Technical Specifications.

For item C above, the assessment is based on our belief that the issue is purely administrative and therefore falls under "...a change in nomenclature", per 48FR14870.

As these physical changes will be performed during the first refueling outage, PP&L requests that NRC approval be conditioned to become effective upon startup following the completion of that outage.

Any questions on this proposed amendment should be directed to Mr. R. Sgarro at (215) 770-7855. Pursuant to 10CFR170.22, the appropriate fees are enclosed.

Very truly yours,



N. W. Curtis  
Vice President-Engineering & Construction-Nuclear

cc: R. L. Perch - USNRC

T. M. Gerusky, Director  
Bureau of Radiation Protection  
Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Resources  
P.O. Box 2063  
Harrisburg, PA 17120



11

[The body of the document contains extremely faint and illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is scattered across the page and cannot be transcribed accurately.]