

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8107230092 DOC. DATE: 81/07/16 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-387 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 1, Pennsylvania 05000387
 50-388 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Pennsylvania 05000388

AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 SILBERG, J. E. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.
 SILBERG, J. E. Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.
 SILBERG, J. E. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

SUBJECT: Motion for summary disposition of Contention 8. No genuine issue of matl fact to be heard.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: Send I&E 3 copies FSAR & all amends. 1 cy: BWR-LRG PM(L;RIB) 05000387
 Send I&E 3 copies FSAR & all amends. 1 cy: BWR-LRG PM(L;RIB) 05000388

ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
	LIC BR #2 BC STARK, R.	1	1	LIC BR #2 LA	1	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP	5	5	ASLB.	1	1
	I&E	2	2	NRC PDR	1	1
	OELD, BLANTON	1	1	OGC	1	1
	PUBLIC AFFAIRS	1	1	REG FILE	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1	NSIC	1	1
	NTIS	1	1			

JUL 28 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 20 ENCL 19



The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be clearly documented and supported by appropriate evidence. This ensures transparency and accountability in the financial process.

Furthermore, it is crucial to review these records regularly to identify any discrepancies or errors. Promptly addressing these issues helps in maintaining the integrity of the financial data and prevents any potential legal or financial complications.

In addition, the document highlights the need for clear communication between all parties involved. Regular updates and reports should be provided to ensure that everyone is on the same page and aware of the current financial status.

Finally, it is recommended to seek professional advice when dealing with complex financial matters. This can help in making informed decisions and ensuring that all legal requirements are met.

The second part of the document provides a detailed overview of the current financial performance. It includes a summary of the key metrics and a comparison with the previous period.

The analysis shows a steady increase in revenue, which is a positive sign for the organization. However, there is a slight increase in expenses, which has led to a decrease in net profit. This is primarily due to higher costs in the marketing and research and development departments.

Despite these challenges, the overall financial health remains strong. The company's assets are well-managed, and there is a solid foundation for future growth. It is important to continue monitoring these trends and adjust the budget accordingly.


The document concludes with a series of recommendations for the upcoming period. It suggests focusing on cost reduction in non-core areas and investing more in high-potential projects. This strategic approach is expected to improve the company's financial performance and ensure long-term success.

In conclusion, the financial review provides a comprehensive look at the company's performance. It identifies both strengths and areas for improvement, offering valuable insights for decision-makers. By following the recommendations, the company is well-positioned to achieve its financial goals and maintain its competitive edge in the market.

July 16, 1981


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD



In the Matter of)
PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)
and)
ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.)
(Susquehanna Steam Electric Station,)
Units 1 and 2))

Docket Nos. 50-387
50-388



APPLICANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION 8

Pennsylvania Power & Light Company and Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Applicants") move the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, pursuant to § 2.749 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (10 C.F.R. § 2.749) and the Special Prehearing Conference Order of March 6, 1979, LBP-79-6, 9 NRC 291, 328, for summary disposition in Applicants' favor of Contention 8 in this proceeding. As grounds for their Motion, Applicants allege that there is no genuine issue of material fact to be heard with respect to Contention 8 and that Applicants are entitled to a decision in their favor as a matter of law.

This Motion is supported by the Applicants' Statement of Material Facts As To Which There Is No Genuine Issue To Be Heard (Contention 8), the joint Affidavit of John F. Copeland and Franklin E. Cooke in Support of Summary Disposition of Contention 8, both filed simultaneously herewith, together with all pleadings and other papers in this proceeding.

DS03
S
1/6

8107230092 810716
PDR ADOCK 05000387
G PDR




As set forth in the March 6, 1979 Special Prehearing Conference Order, supra, 9 NRC at 328, responses to this motion are due three weeks from its filing date.

Dated: July 16, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE



Jay E. Silberg
Matias F. Travieso-Diaz

Counsel for Applicants

1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036
(202) 822-1000

