




| | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------|-----|
| Facility: Dresden Generating Station | Date of Examination: 2/27/17 | Operating Test Number: 2016-302 | | |
| 1. General Criteria | | | Initials | |
| | | a | b* | c# |
| a. | The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). | ✓ | BSP | g |
| b. | There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. | ✓ | BSP | g |
| c. | The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.) | ✓ | BSP | g |
| d. | Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| e. | It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. | ✓ | BSP | g |
| 2. Walk-Through Criteria | | | .. | .. |
| a. | Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable | ✓ | BSP | g |
| b. | Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3. Simulator Criteria | | | .. | .. |
| The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached. | | | N/A | N/A |
| Printed Name / Signature | | | Date | |
| a. Author | wayne cope |  | 1/18/17 | |
| b. Facility Reviewer(*) | brian pigg |  | 1-18-17 | |
| c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) | Jesse Seymour |  | 1/26/17 | |
| d. NRC Supervisor | Robert J. Orlowski |  | 2/28/2017 | |
| NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. | | | | |