

From: [Jacobs, Sara](#)
To: [Smith, James](#)
Cc: [Park, James](#); [Meyer, Matthew](#); [Arlt, Hans](#); [Desotell, Lloyd](#); [McLaughlin, Thomas](#); [Wetmore, Cynthia](#); [Brooks, Janet](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Request for NRC Technical Assistance to EPA on the UNC/NECR Project
Date: Friday, December 18, 2015 5:12:46 PM
Attachments: [Comment Resolution - Draft Environmental Data Gap Report.pdf](#)
[Response to Agency Comments on Draft Work Plan.pdf](#)

Dear Jim,

Thank you for clarifying the process by which EPA should request NRC's technical expertise and assistance in our review of GE's Design Deliverables under EPA's Administrative Order on Consent (AOC). This e-mail follows a couple of others that I have sent regarding NRC's assistance with review of recent deliverables, but I hope this e-mail better provides the appropriate context for requesting your technical assistance to EPA.

GE Response to NRC Comments

Attached, I have provided GE's response to agency comments on the Draft Design Work Plan and the Draft Environmental Data Gap Report. These responses include responses to NRC comments and concerns previously identified. I am providing these itemized responses to NRC's comments for your record and also to request your review and response to understand if GE has adequately addressed NRC concerns at this phase of the Design process such that there are no "show stoppers" from NRC's perspective (e.g. a proposed model or methodology that NRC has previously found to be inadequate and would not support). Please note that the attached documents reference other design deliverables which can be found on the MWH Sharepoint site. I also requested red-line strikethrough versions of both the revised Environmental Data Gap Report and the revised Design Work Plan and am happy to provide those versions of the documents if that would be helpful to your review.

New Information in Revised Design Documents

Cynthia Wetmore is putting together some specific questions for NRC's review and response in reference to new information provided in the revised design deliverables on which we would appreciate your technical input. Of course, we are always interested in hearing any comment or concerns that NRC may have in your review of these documents, but are providing specific areas of interest we have identified for a more focused request for your technical assistance. We will have both her questions and GE's response to NRC's concerns raised about the Terzaghi Theory early next week.

Review Timeframe

As expressed in my previous e-mails, we would very much appreciate NRC comments by January 15 so that we can continue to make progress on the design phase of this project. I have cc'd the NRC members of the Design Review Team as I can't remember if you shared your schedule over the holidays and wanted to allow as much time for the technical review as possible.

Thank you for your continued assistance on this project. Please contact me if you have any questions and concerns. I plan to work on Monday, December 21 as well as December 28 and 29, but will likely be on leave for most of the remaining days of the year.

Happy Holidays!

Sincerely,
Sara

Sara Jacobs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-6-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901
Ph: 415-972-3564
Fx: 415-947-3528
E-mail: jacobs.sara@epa.gov