



**Exelon Generation**®

**Peter M. Orphanos**  
Site Vice President

P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

315 349 5200 Office  
[www.exeloncorp.com](http://www.exeloncorp.com)

[Peter.Orphanos@exeloncorp.com](mailto:Peter.Orphanos@exeloncorp.com)

NMP2L2645  
April 19, 2017

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2  
Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-69  
Docket No. 50-410

**SUBJECT:** 2016 Annual Environmental Operating Report

In accordance with Appendix B, Environmental Protection Plan, of the Renewed Operating License NPF-69 for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2, enclosed is the Annual Environmental Operating Report for the period of January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.

There are no new regulatory commitments in this letter.

If any further information or assistance is needed, contact Morgan Cazzolli, Acting Manager Site Chemistry Environmental & Rad Waste, at (315) 349-4188.

Respectfully,

Peter M. Orphanos  
Site Vice President

PMO/JTR

Attachment: Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2, 2016 Annual Environmental Operating Report

cc: Regional Administrator, Region I, NRC  
Project Manager, NRC  
Resident Inspector, NRC

IE 25  
NRR

**ATTACHMENT**

---

**NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 2  
2016 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT**

January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016  
Renewed Facility Operating License NPF-69  
Docket No. 50-410

---

## ATTACHMENT

### NMPNS UNIT 2 2016 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

---

Subsection 5.4.1 of the Environmental Protection Plan (EPP), as contained in Appendix B of the Renewed Operating License for the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2, requires that an Annual Environmental Operating Report be submitted to the Commission prior to May 1 of each year. The following addresses the requirements found in Subsection 5.4.1 of the EPP for the submittal of the Annual Environmental Operating Report:

- 1. Provide summaries and analyses of the results of the environmental protection activities required by Subsection 4.2 (if any) of the EPP for the report period, including a comparison with related preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous non-radiological environmental monitoring reports, and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment. If harmful effects or evidence of trends towards irreversible damage to the environment are observed, a detailed analysis of the data and a proposed course of mitigating action shall be provided.*

Subsection 4.2 of the EPP denotes three areas of environmental monitoring:

- Subsection 4.2.1 (Aquatic Monitoring) has no specific monitoring requirements although it is noted that the Commission will rely on the decisions made by the State of New York under the authority of the Clean Water Act for any requirements. Aquatic monitoring is specified in the station's State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit (SPDES Permit) which is a site permit applicable to Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1 and Unit 2. The SPDES Permit requires a limited Aquatic Monitoring Program (referred to in the permit as Biological Monitoring Requirements) which, at the present time, is only applicable to Unit 1. Unit 2 is in compliance. Therefore, no Aquatic Monitoring Program is presently required for Unit 2.
  - Subsection 4.2.2 (Terrestrial Monitoring) does not contain any monitoring requirements.
  - Subsection 4.2.3 (Noise Monitoring) does not contain any monitoring requirements.
- 2. Provide a list of EPP non-compliances and corrective actions taken to remedy them.*

A review of the EPP requirements and plant records showed that there were no non-compliances with the EPP requirements during 2016.

- 3. Provide a list of all changes in station design or operation, tests, and experiments made in accordance with EPP Subsection 3.1 which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental question (non-radiological).*

A review of plant records, including environmental evaluations, showed that there were no changes in station design/operation, tests, or experiments during 2016 that could have involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental question (non-radiological).

## ATTACHMENT

### NMPNS UNIT 2 2016 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

---

4. *List all non-routine reports submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.2 of the EPP.*

During 2016, there were no non-routine reports submitted to the Commission in accordance with Subsection 5.4.2 of the EPP.