

Vogle PEmails

From: Hoellman, Jordan
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:09 AM
To: THOMPSON, RYDER CLARK; Stacy, Kara M.
Cc: Haggerty, Neil; Chamberlain, Amy Christine; Woods, David F.; Rivera-Varona, Aida; Welch, Christopher; Gleaves, Bill; Patel, Chandu; 'Nick Kellenberger (nicholas.r.kellenberger@scana.com)'; Willis, Frederick H.
Subject: Comments on UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08 (Index No. 617)
Attachments: Comparing Demo 5 with the UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08 - 04-13-17.docx

Good Morning –

Please see the attached document providing comments related to the UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08 (Index No. 617).

Let me know if you have any questions/concerns.

Thank you,

Jordan Hoellman
Project Manager
NRO / DNRL / LB4
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
office: TWFN 6-F33
phone: (301) 415-5481
email: Jordan.Hoellman2@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_COL_Docs_Public
Email Number: 101

Mail Envelope Properties (3be7aedae3904ee3aaed71e505b73700)

Subject: Comments on UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08 (Index No. 617)
Sent Date: 4/26/2017 11:08:56 AM
Received Date: 4/26/2017 11:08:58 AM
From: Hoellman, Jordan

Created By: Jordan.Hoellman2@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Haggerty, Neil" <X2NHAGGE@SOUTHERNCO.COM>
Tracking Status: None
"Chamberlain, Amy Christine" <ACCHAMBE@southernco.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Woods, David F." <DFWOODS@southernco.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Rivera-Varona, Aida" <Aida.Rivera-Varona@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Welch, Christopher" <Christopher.Welch@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Gleaves, Bill" <Bill.Gleaves@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Patel, Chandu" <Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Nick Kellenberger (nicholas.r.kellenberger@scana.com)" <nicholas.r.kellenberger@scana.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Willis, Frederick H." <FWHILLIS@SOUTHERNCO.COM>
Tracking Status: None
"THOMPSON, RYDER CLARK" <RYDER.THOMPSON@scana.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Stacy, Kara M." <KMSTACY@southernco.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time	
MESSAGE	443	4/26/2017 11:08:58 AM	
Comparing Demo 5 with the UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08 - 04-13-17.docx			20180

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Comparing Demo 5 with the UIN for ITAAC 2.6.03.08

- (1) The opening sentence of the second paragraph of both the UIN and Demo 5 refer to a calculated term “the minimum required interrupt capacity rating.” This term is a calculated value whereas the manufacturer determines the actual interrupting capacity of a protective device by test. The last sentence of third paragraph of the revised UIN (04-04-17) is where the “nameplate interrupting capacity rating” for each of the circuit breakers and fuses is evaluated against the “analytically determined system fault currents.” Should not the term “analytically determined system fault currents” be replaced in that sentence with the calculated term “minimum required interrupting capacity rating” referred to in the second paragraph?

- (2) Is there a reason that there is not a table to list all the affected equipment with their circuit breakers and fuses indicated?