NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATIO	ON INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Bronson South Haven Hospital 955 South Bailey Avenue South Haven, MI 49090-0489			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
			Lisle, 1L 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER		Te Horner All Marce	VO)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32015		4. LICENSE NUMBER 21-26266-01	January 13, 2017; In off review through April 17		office			
LIGENOFF.		<u></u>		TOTION LEGGET TEPT	17,2017			
LICENSEE:  The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:								
1. Based or	n the inspection findings, no violations (	were identified.						
2. Previous	2. Previous violation(s) closed.							
non-repe	<ol> <li>The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.</li> </ol>							
	Non-cited violation(s) were discus	sed involving the follo	owing requirement(s):					
	<del></del>							
i								
l								
During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.  (Violations and Corrective Actions)								
Contrary to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 30.34(b)(1), the licensee failed to receive								
	written consent from the Commission prior to transferring control of NRC License 21-26266-01. Specifically,							
	on November 8, 2016, the licensee transferred control of their NRC license from South Haven Community							
riospia	Hospital, to Bronson Health Care Group without receiving prior written consent from the NRC.							
I .	The root cause of this violation was lack of understanding of the requirement as it pertained to their change of							
9	ownership. As corrective action, the licensee filed a transfer of control request on January 20, 2017. The							
request was approved and a license amendment was issued on March 30, 2017. In addition, the licensee has updated their procedure to reflect that the NRC be notified of any future transfer of control.								
	- u.o p							
Statement of Corrective Actions  I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE	PRINTED NAME	and no landler writte	SIGNATURE	,	DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	Brian Paitz Padiology Manage	г	B== 10	et	4-17-1			
NRC INSPECTOR	Edward F. Harvey		Edul Her	1	4/17/17			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron T. McCraw		1/-11		ulala			

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (07-2012)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3				U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
(07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201  Docket File Information									
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION									
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ΓED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL	OFFICE					
Bronson South Haven Ho	ospital		Region III						
955 South Bailey Avenue			U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission						
South Haven, MI 49090-	0489		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210						
		-	Lisle, IL 60532-4352						
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2017(	)01								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S	S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION					
030-32015		21-26266-01		January 13, 2017; In office					
030-32013		212020		review through April 17, 2017					
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US	SED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS	S AREAS						
87130		3.01-3.07	3.01-3.07						
-	SUPF	PLEMENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMA	TION					
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	т	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER					
02120	3	Amanda Bittrick	, CNMT, RSO	(269) 637-5271					
✓ Main Office Inspe	ction	Next Inspection	n Date: Ja	nuary 13, 2017					
Field Office Inspe		·							
I leid Office maps	Ction								
Temporary Job Si	ite Inspection								
		PROGRAM SO	COPE						
This was a routine, unannounced inspection of a licensee authorized to use byproduct material for diagnostic and therapeutic medical procedures under 10 CFR 35.100, 200, and 300. The in office review consisted of an evaluation of a transfer of control request and corrective action plan submitted by the licensee. The licensee staffed one full time and two part time technologists that performed approximately 1-5 diagnostic administrations per day. Although authorized, the licensee had not performed any therapeutic administrations under 10 CFR 35.300 since the last inspection. The licensee retained the services of a medical physics consultant to perform quarterly audits of the nuclear medicine department.									
The inspector observed nuclear medicine staff demonstrate package receipt and surveying procedures, daily dose calibrator constancy checks, daily surveys, and waste disposal procedures. There were no patient procedures scheduled on the day of the inspection; however, the technologist demonstrated adequate knowledge of radiation protection principles and emergency procedures in the event of a spill when explaining the administration process to the inspector.									
The inspector reviewed a selection of licensee records, including program audits, dose calibrator linearity records, dose calibrator accuracy records, source inventories, survey meter calibration records, package receipt logs, and dosimetry with no issues noted. In addition, the inspector performed independent surveys, which revealed no readings that would indicate residual contamination or exposures to members of the public in excess of regulatory limits.									
One violation of NRC requirements, described in Part 1 of this record, was identified during this inspection.									