

CASE NO: 2017-0416
DATE REC'D: 03/20/2017
SPECIALIST:
RELATED CASE:

From: DeSalvo, Andrew
To: FOIA Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] FOIA REQUEST; Assigning Severity Level (Level I, II, III, or IV; and, green, white, yellow, or red) for TPN UHS NOED and 0-ONOP-.011.1, in 2014.
Date: Friday, March 17, 2017 1:55:18 PM
Attachments: Final Response.pdf

Andrew DeSalvo
(b) (6)

March 17, 2017

Freedom of Information, Privacy & Information Collections Branch
Customer Service Division, Office of the Chief Information Officer
Mail Stop: T-5F09
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
FOIA.Resource@nrc.gov
Ph: 301-415-7169 Fax: 301-415-5130

SUBJECT: Freedom of Information Act Request; Assigned Severity Level (Level I, II, III, or IV; and, green, white, yellow, or red) for TPN UHS NOED and 0-ONOP-.011.1, in 2014.

To whom it may concern:

I would like to make a Freedom of Information Act Request:

DESCRIPTION OF THE REQUESTED RECORDS:

Region II Enforcement and Investigations Assigned Severity Level (Severity Level I, II, III, or IV; and, green, white, yellow, or red) for purposes of determining the potential radiological or other hazards associated with continued operation, for Turkey Point Nuclear Reactor 3 & 4 Action for Technical Specification (TS) 3.7.4, Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) which increased the ultimate heat sink temperature from 100 degrees F to 103 degrees F and prevented the shutdown of both units; and, 0-ONOP-.011.1 (Intake Canal Low Level or High Temperature) entered 22 times prior to the event" in the year 2014

Yours sincerely,

ANDREW DeSALVO
(b) (6)

1 Attachments
32KB

PDF
Final Response.pdf
32KB

enclosure

CITE

See Attachments PDFML16271A446.pdf488KB

REFERENCE

Severity level designations reflect different degrees of significance depending on the activity area in which the severity level is designated. For example, the immediacy of any hazard to the public associated with SL I in reactor operations is not directly comparable to that associated with SL I violations in facility construction.

- a. SL I violations are those that resulted in or could have resulted in serious safety or security consequences (e.g., violations that created the substantial potential for serious safety or security consequences or violations that involved systems failing when actually called on to prevent or mitigate a serious safety or security event).
- b. SL II violations are those that resulted in or could have resulted in significant safety or security consequences (e.g., violations that created the potential for substantial safety or security consequences or violations that involved systems not being capable, for an extended period, of preventing or mitigating a serious safety or security event).
- c. SL III violations are those that resulted in or could have resulted in moderate safety or security consequences (e.g., violations that created a potential for moderate safety or security consequences or violations that involved systems not being capable, for a relatively short period, of preventing or mitigating a serious safety or security event).

NRC Enforcement Policy

12

- d. SL IV violations are those that are less serious, but are of more than minor concern, that resulted in no or relatively inappreciable potential safety or security consequences (e.g., violations that created the potential of more than minor safety or security consequences).
- e. Minor Violations are those that are less significant than a SL IV violation. Minor violations do not warrant enforcement action and are not normally documented in inspection reports. However, minor violations must be corrected.

copyright 2017 Andrew DeSalvo

Ownership and Intellectual Property:

The OWNER and cited sources retain all right, title, and interest in and to all of the copyrights, database rights, patent rights, trademarks, trade secrets, and all other propriety right in the CONTENT. No rights are granted to the CONTENT. Any right, title or interest arising in any compilation or derivative work created using any CONTENT shall not entitle the RECIPIENT to use any CONTENT. The RECIPIENT does not acquire any copyright ownership or equivalent rights in, or to, any CONTENT or any other property of the OWNER or sources of CONTENT.

Confidentiality Statement:

This electronic message, and any attachment, contains privileged and confidential information from Andrew J. DeSalvo, intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended RECIPIENT, immediately and permanently delete the message and any attachment from your system. Disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify promptly by telephone at (b) (6) or by email reply.

--- On Fri, 3/17/17, Admin, Admin <foia_resource@nrc.gov> wrote:

> From: Admin, Admin <foia_resource@nrc.gov>
> Subject: FOIA/PA-2017-0122A Final Appeal Response
> To: (b) (6)
> Cc: Scott.Britt@nrc.gov

> Date: Friday, March 17, 2017, 1:37 PM
> Dear Mr. DeSalvo:
> Please find attached NRC's final response to your FOIA
> request, FOIA/PA-2017-00122A.
> Please take a moment to help us improve our FOIA processes,
> and let us know what your experience has been. Just click
> on this embedded link: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/foia/foia-user-survey.html>.
> Once you have completed the survey, just click the
> "SUBMIT" button and your survey response will be
> returned to us.
>
>
>
> Thank you,
> Freedom of Information, Privacy & Information Collections
> Branch
>
> Customer Service Division, Office of the Chief Information
> Officer
>
> Mail Stop: T-5F09
>
> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
>
> Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
> FOIA.Resource@nrc.gov
> Ph: 301-415-7169 Fax: 301-415-5130