

Gallardy, Vivian

From: Edward Lau <eslau@mit.edu>
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Garcia Santos, Norma
Cc: John P Foster; Al Queirolo; Sarah M. Don; Paul D Same; Thomas I Bork; John A Bernard; William B McCarthy; Mary E Young; Douglas K Morrell (Douglas.Morrell@inl.gov); Edward Lau
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: returning your phone call

Dear Norma:

Correct, the gouge/dent was found and repaired prior to shipment (from MIT). Many thanks.

Edward Lau
mobile: 339-223-4006

-----Original Message-----

From: Garcia Santos, Norma [mailto:Norma.GarciaSantos@nrc.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 08:39
To: Edward Lau
Cc: John P Foster; Al Queirolo; Sarah M. Don; Paul D Same; Thomas I Bork; John A Bernard; William B McCarthy; Mary E Young; Douglas K Morrell (Douglas.Morrell@inl.gov)
Subject: RE: Re: returning your phone call

Good morning,

Thanks for the clarification. It was not clear in the initial report that we received. An additional clarification... from your e-mail below, then, the gouge/dent was found and repaired prior to shipment (from MIT). Please confirm.

Have a nice day,
Norma

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Lau [mailto:eslau@mit.edu]
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 9:37 PM
To: Garcia Santos, Norma <Norma.GarciaSantos@nrc.gov>
Cc: John P Foster <jpfoster@mit.edu>; Al Queirolo <queirolo@mit.edu>; Sarah M. Don <sdon@mit.edu>; Paul D Same <pdsame@mit.edu>; Thomas I Bork <tbork@mit.edu>; John A Bernard <bernardj@mit.edu>; William B McCarthy <wbm@mit.edu>; Mary E Young <myoung@mit.edu>; Douglas K Morrell (Douglas.Morrell@inl.gov) <Douglas.Morrell@inl.gov>; Edward Lau <eslau@mit.edu>
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: returning your phone call

Dear Norma:

Both no and yes! -- The package was loaded twice.

The gouge/dent was found following the first loading. The DOE leak examiner was on site, preparing for the post-loading leak test. Per procedure, the sealing surface was required to be inspected prior to the leak test. This was when the leak examiner spotted the problem.

We then reported it to DOE and NRC, who both agreed that the damage had to be repaired before use of the package for shipment. So the package was unloaded, then repaired and leak tested with the cask empty. It was then loaded a second time, and leak tested again for shipment.

I hope I have answered your question. Many thanks.

Edward Lau
mobile: 339-223-4006

-----Original Message-----

From: Garcia Santos, Norma [mailto:Norma.GarciaSantos@nrc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 08:14
To: Edward Lau
Subject: RE: returning your phone call

Good morning,

I am out of the office today. I just need clarification from you. My understanding is that the 8/2015 incident with the BRR was prior to loading the package. Please confirm that my understanding is correct.

Thanks in advance and have a nice day,
Norma

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Lau [mailto:eslau@mit.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 10:09 PM
To: Garcia Santos, Norma <Norma.GarciaSantos@nrc.gov>
Cc: Edward Lau <eslau@mit.edu>
Subject: [External_Sender] returning your phone call

Dear Norma:

Could I call you tomorrow at 1:00 p.m.? I am not available in the morning.

Many thanks,

Edward Lau
Assistant Director
Reactor Operations
MIT Nuclear Reactor Lab
email: eslau@mit.edu
office: 617-253-4211
mobile: 339-223-4006