

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8107160116 DOC. DATE: 81/07/14 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga. 05000275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga. 05000323

AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 GAILEY, M. Affiliation Unknown
 HOLLINGS, K. Affiliation Unknown
 HOLLINGS, L. Affiliation Unknown
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 HENDRIE, J. M. Commissioners

SUBJECT: Package of three ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 0 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000275
 1 cy: J Hanchett (Region V) 05000323

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC BUCKLEY, B.	1 1	LIC BR #3 LA	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP I&E OELD, BLANTON PUBLIC AFFAIRS	5 2 1 1	ASLB NRC PDR OGC <u>REG. FILE</u>	1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR NTIS	1 1	NSIC	1

JUL 21 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 0 ENCL 1 20

RC

1. The first part of the document discusses the general principles of the project and the objectives to be achieved.

2. The second part of the document describes the methodology used in the study and the data collection procedures.

3. The third part of the document presents the results of the study and discusses the implications of the findings.

4. The fourth part of the document concludes the study and provides recommendations for future research.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a detailed analysis of the data and discusses the statistical significance of the results.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the limitations of the study and the potential sources of error.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the study.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the broader context of the study and its contribution to the field.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the study.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a list of appendices and supplementary materials.

11. The eleventh part of the document provides a list of figures and tables included in the study.


12. The twelfth part of the document provides a list of abbreviations and acronyms used in the study.

13. The thirteenth part of the document provides a list of acknowledgments and thanks to those who assisted in the study.

14. The fourteenth part of the document provides a list of contact information for the author and the research team.

15. The fifteenth part of the document provides a list of other relevant documents and publications.

DOCKET NUMBER 50-275-3
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.




1252 Loma Dr #46
Ojai, Cal 93023
July 6, 1981

Dear Mr. Hendrie,

We are very concerned regarding the EIA
to Nuclear plant and appor. etc. licensing &
the following three important reasons: no, means
disposing of nuclear wastes, no relocation reverts,
quake fault and no evacuation plan.

Your vote opposing this dangerous proj
will be greatly appreciated.
Thank you.


Sincerely,
Lilase & Ken Hollings
head



Joseph Hendrie, Chairperson
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.
1714 4th Street NW
Washington, DC, 20555

DS03
50/1






DOCKET NUMBER 50-275-323
PROD. & UTIL FAC.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE:


I WANT TO FILE A LETTER OF PROTEST^{7/15}
ON THE PROJECTED APPROVAL OF OPENING PINBLO
CANYON NUCLEAR FACILITY LATER THIS MONTH.

QUESTIONS OF SEISMIC SECURITY SAFETY,
PLANT SECURITY AND EMERGENCY PLANNING
SHOULD BE RESOLVED BEFORE LOW-POWER
TESTING IS APPROVED.

Mark A. Gailey



MARK GAILEY
LASSEN VOLCANIC N.P.
HINGLETOWN, CA 96088



JOSEPH HENDRIE
CHAIRMAN
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
1717 H. STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555



503
50/11



July 6, 1981

Micheline Sande
3017 So. ...
Visalia, CA

Mr. John Ahearne, President
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C.



Mr. Ahearne,

I am opposed to the licensing of
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant for
various reasons. I believe that it is
irresponsible to license such a power
plant when the evidence of seismicity
indicates destructive capability. Not having
a decent evacuation system is quite clear-
ly not in the best interest of the local
citizens. The lack of "burn victim" facilities
at San Luis Obispo hospitals means that people
will have to be flown to San Francisco for
intensive care treatment. There is no doctor on
the site itself. There are no decent two-lane
roads to convey atomic workers from the site.

Besides the usual recognized arguments as to
why nuclear power is a rapidly wasteful and
unnecessarily destructive method of producing
energy these few that I've suggested are enough
to condemn this production and require either
conversion to something producing plants or a
research center for solar technology.

Sincerely,
Micheline Sande
DS05
510



1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025



1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025