

967 Garcia Road  
Santa Barbara, California 93103  
March 27, 1981

Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street  
Washington, D.C. 20515

FORM NUMBER  
P. UTIL. FAC. 50-275 323

Dear Mr. Hendrie:

As a long-time resident of California, I feel it is imperative that those of us who wish further investigation of nuclear power plants in the state let those making important decisions know our views.


PG&E is extremely anxious to open the Diablo Canyon Facility soon. However, the country deserves public hearings. The Hosgri Fault, less than three miles from the plant, is a potential time-bomb. It is estimated that it could deliver a shock 10 times the strength the plant is able to withstand. Accidents could cause community panic. Waste disposal must be another factor that the public needs to understand. Is PG&E talking about on-site toxic waste storage? No one wants the wastes stored in one's own community, yet this is what is happening around the nation.

When the 25-30-year life is over for the plant, how will PG&E decommission it? It is a costly process to which there has been no solution at Humboldt Bay and Three Mile Island.

Conservation of energy and alternative energy sources are the answer, I would think. Let's see what happens when public hearings are held. It is certainly the first step to demand these hearings, anything less is unfair to the citizens of California.

Sincerely,

Hilda E. Wenner




DS03  
S  
1/0

18106040460

H





Apr 1, 1980

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. ~~50-275-323~~

Mr. Joseph Hendrie,

As a resident of San Luis Obispo county I am writing in support of operating licensing hearings.

It is criminal to build a nuclear power plant,, in our case 2½ miles from an active earthquake fault, and not allow the public to participate in hearings which may well effect their health and safety.

Three Mile Island has proven that accidents will happen and that the utilities, as well as state and federal officials, are little prepared to deal with such occurrences.

We must strengthen our standards for the nuclear industry and allow the public, which ultimately pays all costs, to participate at every level of these hearings. Anything else is unacceptable.




Sincerely,

DS03  
s  
1/0



12

12



April 2, 1980

Mr Joseph Hendrie  
US Nuclear Regulatory Comm  
Washington, DC

DOCKET NUMBER 50-275,323  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.....

Dear Mr Hendrie ~

We in San Francisco are often reminded of the reality of earthquakes — and we plead with you to demand hearings on DIABLO CANYON'S earthquake safety and evacuation plans.

Also, DIABLO will be an easy target for saboteurs. Please see that thorough investigation precede any licensing of DIABLO.


Thank you

Forest  
77 Mirabel  
San Francisco  
Ca 94110

DS03  
s  
1/0



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100



Dennis R. Lim  
March 30, 1981

Dear Mr. Joseph Herine:

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275,323

I'm a student at Cal Poly State University & San Luis Obispo and though I'm not a permanent resident here I'm quite concerned of the problems induced by the pro-nuclear, to speed up the licensing of Diablo Canyon.

This can be an extremely costly mistake, if the necessary standards of safety are not met and if public hearings are not in agreement with the operation of the plant.

I strongly oppose the operation of the plant. Besides the simple fact that it isn't a 100% safe and potentially, extremely destructive, it is not a renewable resource. How would that be for our human history?

That we would all realize that non-renewable resources lead to dead end streets.

So as a citizen <sup>with</sup> a futuristic outlook, I urge you to reconsider speeding up the licensing of Diablo Canyon.

Sincerely,  
Dennis R. Lim



Dennis R. Lim

Dennis Richard Lim



DS03  
S  
1/0





DEAR MR. HENDRICK

50-275323


I AM EXTREMELY CONCERNED ABOUT THE MOVE TOWARD UNTIMELY LICENSING OF THE DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR PLANT.

- 1. PUBLIC HEARINGS NEC. PRIOR TO LOW POWER LICENSING
- 2. NO WASTE STORAGE PLANS
- 3. NOT ADEQUATE EARTHQUAKE SAFETY MEASURES.
- 4. INSUFFICIENT EMERGENCY PLAN.


PLEASE DO NOT RUSH APPROVAL OF THIS PLANT. ALL OUR FAMILIES AND HOMES ARE AT STAKE

THANK YOU, Ken Levin

DS03  
5  
1/0



Mar. 30, 1981



Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. Street N. W.  
Wash. D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

I am a resident of San Luis Obispo County and am concerned about the safety and survival of the Central Coast of Calif. The Hosgri Fault still lies less than three miles from the plant and evacuation plans are inadequate.

Plant security is being kept a secret from the public by PG & E.

A means of storing and disposing of the radioactive toxic waste which will be produced by an operating Diablo Canyon nuclear plant has not been developed.

In 25-30 years the plant will have to be decommissioned. Will PG & E spend the millions to decommission or will the plant sit on our coast deteriorating indefinitely as the Three Mile Island plant in Penn. and the Humboldt Bay plant in Eureka now are doing?

I urge you to call for another set of public hearings and thank you for any effort on your part.


Most sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive that reads "Lillian Tuman".

LILLIAN TUMAN  
427 Water Street  
Shell Beach, CA 93449



DS03  
5  
1/0



Mar. 30, 1981

Mr. Joseph Hendrie,  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
1717 H. Street N.W.  
Wash. D.C. 20555



Dear Sir:

I am a resident of San Luis Obispo County and am concerned about the safety and survival of the Central Coast of Calif.

The Hosgri Fault still lies less than three miles from the plant and evacuation plans are inadequate.

Plant security is being kept a secret from the public by PG & E.


A means of storing and disposing of the radioactive toxic waste which will be produced by an operating Diablo Canyon nuclear plant has not been developed.

In 25-30 years the plant will have to be decommissioned. Will PG & E spend the millions to decommission or will the plant sit on our coast deteriorating indefinitely as the - Three Mile Island plant in Penn. and the Humboldt Bay plant in Eureka now are doing?


I urge you to call for another set of public hearings and thank you for any effort on your part.

Most Sincerely,

*Dorothy Ann Tuman*  
Dorothy Ann Tuman  
847 Todd Lane  
Arroyo Grande, Ca. 93420



DS03  
5  
1/0



DOCKETED  
USNR  
APR 20 1981  
Office of the Secretary  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Branch

DOCKET NUMBER  
575-578-05

Please do not decrease the impact of public opinion re: Diablo Canyon to any nuclear power plant. The remaining unresolved issues of decommissioning, plant security & emergency planning must be open to input from the public sector!

Bob Klein

2084 Alamo Dr  
Santa Barbara, CA  
93105

RECEIVED  
JUN 03 1981  
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DS03  
S  
1/6

COMMISSION  
SECRETARY  
SERVICE SECTION  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100



Proceeds from the sale of this card will be used by Concerned Citizens of San Luis Obispo County in the fight against a nuclear Diablo Canyon power plant.

Concerned Citizens  
San Luis Obispo County  
P.O. Box 456, San Luis Obispo.



DOCKET NUMBER  
50-275-323



DS03  
s  
1/1

Dear Mr Hendrie,  
To liscence DiabLO Canyon without addressing our concerns is to slap democracy in the face! As a resident of San Luis Obispo Calif. I demand a public hearing. I am a farmer in the Los Osos Valley. My future depends on it. Enclosed you will find a copy of a few of the concerns we hold. thank you.

*James P. Hendrie*



100

100

1. Low Power Testing: PG&E is appealing the Licensing Board's decision that public hearings will be necessary before a low power license to operate is granted to Diablo. As a result of recent pro-nuclear pressure, the NRC staff is now agreeing with this contention. We must demand a public hearing!
  2. The Hosgri Fault: Lying less than three miles away from the plant, this active fault could deliver an earthquake roughly ten times stronger than the plant was originally designed to withstand. PG&E claims to have up-graded the structure, but these changes are external only- the basic plant remains unchanged.
  3. Emergency Planning: The current plan under preparation admits that under the worst rapidly-developing accident conditions, the only action local citizens can take to protect themselves is to stay indoors and close the windows. Planners admit that panic could easily ensue in such communities as Avila Beach.
  4. Plant Security: As terrorist threats grow worldwide, nuclear plants remain easy pickings for a well-planned attack. PG&E won't let even an unbiased expert evaluate their plan, but they assure us that it's perfect....
  5. Waste Storage: Will a safe, reliable method of storing Diablo's highly toxic wastes be ready when needed? Or will wastes be stored indefinitely on site, as practiced elsewhere? Perhaps they'll be stored on site permanently, as suggested recently by the U.S. General Services Admin.?
  6. Decommissioning: Will PG&E have the hundreds of millions of dollars it will need to decommission Diablo in 25-30 years, or after an accident? Or will the plant sit there deteriorating indefinitely, as Three Mile Island and PG&E's Humboldt Bay Plant currently are?
  7. Low-level Emissions: What are the long-term health effects of NRC-allowed low-level radioactive emissions from operating plants, as this material is incorporated and recycled through our soil, water and food supply in ever-increasing concentrations near the plant?
- Other issues include Cost-effectiveness, Conservation and Alternate Energy.


J.S. NO. \_\_\_\_\_ NUCLEAR COMMISSION  
 DOCKETING & SERVICE SECTION  
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
 OF THE COMMISSION

Document Statistics

Postmark Date illegible  
 Copies Received 10  
 Add'l Copies Reproduced 30 + RIDS  
 Special Distribution \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100



Roblene Henry  
243 Vista del Mar  
Shell Beach, Calif. 93449

DOCKET NUMBER ~~50-275,323~~  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

M. Hendrie

I am a resident of Shell Beach, California, and a member of the community which will be affected both physically, as well as economically by the Diablo Nuclear power plant.

It has become apparent to me that supporters of nuclear power are applying renewed pressure to speed up the licensing of nuclear power plants, and President Reagan seems very willing to comply. This pressure seems to have completely overlooked the public outcry against licensing and completely stripped the public of the ability to protest such moves.

In this rush to support the ailing nuclear industry, the President, Congress, and the NRC seem to have forgotten that Diablo Canyon has some real problems, both structural, and geological, and these problems have not been completely addressed.

DS03  
s  
1/0





I know you are completely aware of the issues of low power testing, the proximity of the Hosgri Earthquake fault, the lack of plant security, the economic hardships of Plant Decommissioning, and the lack of adequate nuclear waste storage. But the point I would like to make is that I also am aware of these unsolved problems, and I only want to let you know that I would like some answers to these questions.

It is important that Diablo Canyon does not become a political football, and that central California and its citizens not become an example to the rest of the nation of the political knowledge that Washington is capable of.

Thank-you for your past concerns. The NRC has been capable of sound decision making in the past and capable of preserving the integrity of our good nation for the future.

Kathlene Henry





DOCKET NUMBER 50-275,323  
PROD. & UTIL. SEC.

2311 Pierce Ave.  
Cambria, Ca 93428

Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H Street N.W.  
Washington DC. 20555

Dear Sir:

We are residents of San Luis Obispo County. We are building a house here and run a small business here as well. We are concerned that the NRC is confusing its present job of regulating nuclear power with its old job of promoting nuclear power when the AEC. was in existence. We realize that when nuclear power is rejected by the country for its various economic and social liabilities, their jobs may well be in jeopardy. This is precisely what worries us.

Nevertheless.... regarding Diablo Canyon -

We are demanding that public hearings be held and the following issues resolved:

Seismic safety: The active Hosgri fault is less than 3 miles from the plant and was discovered

DS03  
s  
110



after the the foundation was poured. A quake 10 times stronger than the plant's design limitations could occur there.

Emergency Planning. The same quake that would crack the plant open would also collapse the overpasses and block the few roads out of the area.

Long Term Costs. These include the costs of waste removal and storage (another issue in itself) and decommissioning. Where will the billion dollars come from to decommission the plant 25-30 years later or after an accident? Will the plant just sit there deteriorating indefinitely as Three Mile Island and P. & E's Humboldt Bay plant are doing now?

Conversion feasibility. About 50% of the capital cost of the plant is just a steam turbine generator.

As long as the reactor has not been fueled it can be converted to burn natural gas, biogas (from sewage) or any other liquid or gas fuel that can be produced.

That is why we are fighting the low power testing license.

You may consider your job to be on the line, but we consider our lives and our future to be at stake. Everyday more and more people come to this conclusion.

Yours for a self-reliant  
America,  
David Corona  
Kathy Corona



Handwritten scribbles and illegible marks in the top right corner.

DOCKET NUMBER 50-275,373  
PROD. & UTIL. REG.

March 27, 1981


Mr Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. St. N.W.  
Washington DC, 20555

Dear Mr Hendrie,

I live in Pismo Beach, about 8 miles from Diablo Canyon and am very disturbed by the recent increase in pressure to license the PG&E nuclear plant. Please insure that all issues relating to safety, plant security and emergency planning are completely resolved before any further consideration is given to the issuance of any license.


No license should be granted as long as there is any question remaining as to the safety and long-term economy of nuclear power.

I don't think we really need



DS03


1/0



it and would gladly pay  
my share of the bill for  
cancelling this project right  
now.

Sincerely,

David Roach  
851 Delano St.  
Pismo Beach, Ca  
93449






# ORVILLE ENGLE ASSOCIATES

728 NO. MONTESANO STREET

Phone 268-5655

P. O. BOX 422

WESTPORT, WASH.



Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

MAIL ROOM NUMBER 50-275,323  
UTIL. FAC.

Dear Mr. Hendrie:

I have spent a month or more, every winter, in California. My intention has been to retire to that state. My path goes through Casa Grande, on the way to my winter quarters in Santa Barbara.

I particularly liked Casa Grande. So, two years back I spent some time in that city looking for a home that would serve my needs.

However, when it was brought to my attention that the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant was less than ten miles from this city; that the Hosgri Fault was less than three miles from the Diablo site, I felt that between the two, I would forget living in Casa Grande.

1  
1  
2  
1

In further research, I find that PG & E is talking about an on-site storage of toxic wastes. No one wants the wastes stored in one's own community, yet this is what has happened at the Trojan Plant, on the Columbia River. If an earthquake should occur, through Mt. St. Helens activities, perhaps, this toxic poison would flow into the Pacific Ocean. The contamination would make all seafood inedible, and thereby destroy our entire fishing industry.

I believe that conservation of energy and alternative energy sources are the answer. The first step should be more public hearings. Anything less is unfair to the citizens of California.



Sincerely,

*Orville Engle*

Orville Engle

DS03  
5  
1/0






DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275,325

31. 81.

Dear Mr. Hendrie

Never before in my 52 years have I ever written a letter like this one. I have always thought that people with more knowledge than mine would do the right thing for the most people. But now I feel I would be neglecting my duty as a citizen of our great country if I didn't write and tell you how strongly concerned I am about the increasing



DS03  
S  
1/0




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200



of the Diablo Canyon  
Nuclear Power Plant.

This plant is less than  
three miles from the  
active Hosgri Fault and  
I cannot imagine any  
responsible person agreeing  
with this location.  
My only daughter and  
her family live in  
Morro Bay and the safety  
of them, and all the  
other people living in  
this area is surely  
the prime concern of  
everyone connected with  
reviewing the licensing  
of this plant. it must be:




I could go on for many more pages but I know you must already be aware of all the hazards, such as low level Emissions, waste storage etc. so I will close with the urgent request that at very least public hearings be held before any license is granted. Thank you for reading this


Mayorie Entyne  
803 China Way  
Roseville Ca.  
95678



11 0



Billy Parker  
Sunbird Design Studio  
581 Dana  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401



Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. Street N.W.,  
Washington DC, 20555

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC... 40-275,325

Mr. Joseph Hendrie

The focus of this campaign is our concern about the recent pro-nuclear push to speed up the licensing of Diablo Canyon. The NRC appears to be responding to this pressure, and may not fully explore the remaining unresolved issues of seismic safety, plant security and emergency planning. These issues must be resolved before any license (including a low power test license) is granted to PG&E:

1. Low Power Testing: PG&E is appealing the Licensing Board's decision that public hearings will be necessary before a low power license to operate is granted to Diablo. As a result of recent pro-nuclear pressure, the NRC staff is now agreeing with this contention. We must demand a public hearing!
2. The Hosori Fault: Lying less than three miles away from the plant, this active fault could deliver an earthquake roughly ten times stronger than the plant was originally designed to withstand. PG&E claims to have upgraded the structure, but these changes are external only- the basic plant remains unchanged.
3. Emergency Planning: The current plan under preparation admits that under the worst rapidly-developing accident conditions, the only action local citizens can take to protect themselves is to stay indoors and close the windows. Planners admit that panic could easily ensue in such communities as Avila Beach.
4. Plant Security: As terrorist threats grow worldwide, nuclear plants remain easy pickings for a well-planned attack. PG&E won't let even an unbiased expert evaluate their plan, but they assure us that it's perfect....
5. Waste Storage: Will a safe, reliable method of storing Diablo's highly toxic wastes be ready when needed? Or will wastes be stored indefinitely on site, as practiced elsewhere? Perhaps they'll be stored on site permanently, as suggested recently by the U.S. General Services Admin.?
6. Decommissioning: Will PG&E have the hundreds of millions of dollars it will need to decommission Diablo in 25-30 years, or after an accident? Or will the plant sit there deteriorating indefinitely, as Three Mile Island and PG&E's Humboldt Bay Plant currently are?
7. Low-level Emissions: What are the long-term health effects of NRC-allowed low-level radioactive emissions from operating plants, as this material is incorporated and recycled through our soil, water and food supply in ever-increasing concentrations near the plant?


DS03  
5/  
1/0

Other issues include Cost-effectiveness, Conservation and Alternate Energy.



3-28-81

Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U. S. N.R.C.  
1717 H. St. N.W.  
Wash., D.C. 20555



50-275,323

Dear Mr. Hendrie;

The licensing of Diablo Canyon must be speeded up. There must be public hearings before Diablo is allowed to operate at low power.

As the only candidate for District 1 of the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, who opposed nuclear power, I received over 12,000 votes. I live just over 22 miles from Diablo Canyon, yet am not included in any emergency evacuation plans, except to stay indoors—the same they told the people of St. George, Utah after being exposed to radiation from the bomb tests. The governments best estimates of damage due to an accident are that thousands of square miles can be contaminated permanently, but because I'm 22 miles away, I and my children have no plans in case of an accident.

Public hearings must be held before any licenses are issued. The unresolved issues of seismic safety, plant security and emergency planning demand that such hearings be held.

Diablo Canyon will produce over 25 TONS of Plutonium over its 30 year operation span. The U.S.G.S. figures that wastes can be contained at best for 100 years, yet Plutonium must be contained for 240,000 years.


Diablo Canyon will only increase California's energy supply by 6 to 7 TENTHS of 1%. Conservation is already making a greater contribution to our energy needs. Alternative energy sources can make a greater contribution.

Please recognize your official and, more importantly, your personal responsibility to avoid dumping toxic wastes of a mutagenic nature on the next 8,000 human generation just so we can increase our energy supply by 6 to 7 TENTHS of 1%.


Thank You,

*David E. Broadwater*  
David E. Broadwater

6604 Portola Rd.  
Atascadero, Calif. 93422



DS03  
5  
1/0






DOCKET NUMBER 50-275,323  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC...

Mr. Jane Wilson  
Box 22  
Piercy, Ca. 95467

4-4-81

Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. St., N.W.  
Washington D.C., 20555



Hi,

I am writing to urge you not to license the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, at the very least before a public hearing is held and until all the dangers are fully investigated. I am totally against nuclear power and would move if a plant opened in my area. In a time when this country should be leading others in investigating wind power and solar power, finding cheaper, better ways of providing for needs, nuclear power, which is expensive, dangerous and has so many unanswered or unanswerable questions, is an inexcusable dead end.

So I hope you will think long and hard and listen to those whose lives are effected before you grant any license to Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

Sincerely yours,



Jane Wilson

DS03  
5  
1/0



3-1-2

1-1-2



Mary L. Dineen  
P.O. Box F-26  
Cambria, Ca. 95425

Mr. Joseph Hendrie

DOCKET NUMBER 50-275,323  
PROD. & UTIL. PAC.


I live in San Luis Obispo County, consider my life happy, secure and worth continuing. As regards to the licensing of the nuclear power plant at Diablo Canyon, I ask you to sincerely question the potentials and consequences involved if the N.R.C. licenses this plant without needed care and consideration. As one person out of the local populace, I ask you to consider these specific issues:

1. Public hearing is necessary for any testing starts at Diablo.
2. The Hosgri fault is potentially dangerous to the plant at this site.
3. There is no development for emergency planning if there were an unforeseen accident at Diablo.
4. What is the surety of security at Diablo?
5. The question of waste storage is still unsolved.
6. The question of decommissioning this and other nuclear power plants is still unsettled.
7. What are the long-term effects of low-level emissions?



You are beset with deciding, influencing others, on behalf of many precious human lives. Please consider deeply. Thank you!

Mary L. Dineen  
-Cambria, Calif.

DS03  
5  
10



March 26, 1981



Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. Street N.W.  
Washington D.C. 20555

NUMBER 50-275,323  
UTIL. FAC.

Dear Mr. Hendrie:

As native Californians with many members of our family residing in San Luis Obispo County, my husband and I are deeply concerned with the recent drive to speed up the licensing of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

We realize there is great pressure upon you from pro-nuclear groups to grant a low-power license to Diablo, even without the public hearings that the Licensing Board decided are necessary. The people of this region, whose lives will be profoundly affected by this decision, must not be denied their right to a public hearing, and we consider it the responsibility of your Commission to uphold this right.

The current energy crisis our nation faces must not blind us to the potential disasters of a nuclear plant in operation at Diablo Canyon, nor to the unresolved problems in the nuclear industry in general. To construct a nuclear plant less than three miles from the active Hosgri Fault is to irresponsibly endanger the lives of many thousands of people, and risks irreversible contamination of a vital agricultural region. No effective emergency plan has been demonstrated which could cope with the size of earthquake this fault could easily generate, nor with a major accident resulting from any other cause. Nor has PG&E given real evidence of satisfactory plant security, despite the attractive target a nuclear plant presents in the face of ever-increasing threats of terrorist activity.

We urge you to fulfill your duty to the people of this region and this state in seeing to it that the above issues, and others such as waste disposal and plant decommissioning, are fully explored and resolved before any license, including a low-power test license, is granted to Diablo. If pro-nuclear pressure influences you to grant licenses without resolution of the issues, and an accident should indeed occur at Diablo, you will have failed to protect the people whose lives will be so disastrously affected -- and it is doubtful whether the nuclear industry would ever recover from the consequences of such an oversight. Please, do not fail us.

Sincerely,

*Richard K. Mandroian*

Mr. & Mrs. Richard K. Mandroian  
650 St. John Avenue  
Pasadena CA 91105


DS03  
5  
1/0



35  
I

1931 Red Rose Way  
Santa Barbara, California  
93109

March 29, 1981



Mr. Joseph Hendrie  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1717 H. Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

ORDER  
FILE NO. 50-275,323

I am writing in support of the need for public hearings prior to any decision concerning licensing of Diablo for low power nuclear operation. There are many questions which are not resolved concerning the safety of nuclear power in general and Diablo in particular.


I feel strongly that a much better alternative to the nuclear operation of Diablo would be conversion to another fuel source.

Thank you for your consideration of my views.

Sincerely,

*Tom Marks*

Tom Marks, Ph.D.





DS03  
S  
1/0



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100





March 25, 1981


DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-275,323

Dear Sirs,

I am writing in regards to the low power testing permit you are considering on Diablo (Devil) Canyon Nuclear power plant. We must have public hearings. We must resolve the dangerous issues of this threat to us all. As a teacher and parent I am concerned about the health problems of low level radiation, mass evacuation, waste storage and transportation. We have all conserved on energy and have no need to run the grave risk of nuclear power. Please in the name of our children and our Earth, consider what you are working on.


Jim Peace  
Conner Backman

DS03  
1/0




Dear Mr. Hendrie

DOCKET NUMBER 50-275-323  
PROP. & UTIL. FAC.



3/29/81

I am writing in concern of the public hearing to take place in May in San Luis Obispo. I live approximately 90 miles down wind in Goleta California and am concerned over the close proximity of Diablo Canyon Power plant to the Hosgri fault. The hearing is an important arena for public input into the questions of seismic safety, plant security and emergency planning. These are all issues of high concern for public safety. Three Mile Island stands as an example of what can happen and no one will insure against such an accident. Three mile Island wasn't even seismically related and it's still a contaminated problem. Public evacuation plans are of the highest importance as related to a possible accident or seismic movement at Diablo Canyon. Radiation spillage will cause irreparable damage to the environment and future generations. This public hearing is an important part of the Democratic process for public input. Documented cases of cancer relationships to experimentation with radiation in past decades is now surfacing. I request that for the good of the children that this hearing take place in San Luis Obispo.



DS03  
5  
1/0

Robert Lovato



10-1-53

10-1-53