


12/3/80



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of:

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
Units 1 & 2)

Docket Nos. 50-275 O.L.
50-323 O.L.

RECEIVED DISTRIBUTION SERVICES DIVISION
1980 DEC 29 PM 12 59
REGISTRATION SERVICES

ERRATA TO JOINT INTERVENORS'
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW


<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Now Reads</u>	<u>Should Read</u>
p.3/ln.8	that 0.75g	that the 0.75g
p.4/ln.4	reanalysis represent	reanalysis represents
p.4/ln.9	reanalysis represent	reanalysis represents
p.4/ln.26	<u>fell short</u>	<u>falls short</u>
p.5	Chart entitled Comparison of Maximum Ground Motions should be corrected so that values for a_{max} and v_{max} , $M = 6.5$ for USGS No. 672 are changed from 0.80g and 43 in/sec to 0.90g and 39 in/sec	
p.5/ln.15-16	Trifunac, J.D.	Trifunac, M.D.
p.6/ln.2	<u>fell short</u>	<u>falls short</u>
p.7/ln.4	higstress drops	high stress drops
p.7/ln.5	Tr. 7936-39 (Brune)	Tr. 7936-39 (L.B. hearing) (Brune).
p.7/ln.14	Tr. 8970 (Trifunac)	Tr. 8970 (L.B. hearing) (Trifunac).

8012310


283

G

DS03
S
||



<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Now Reads</u>	<u>Should Read</u>
p.7/ln.18	Tr. 8984-5 (Trifunac)	Tr. 8984 (L.B. hearing) (Trifunac)
p.10/ln.10	Tr. 8630 (Newmark)	Tr. 8630 (L.B. hearing) (Newmark)
p.10/ln.16	ground near the fault;	ground motion near the fault,
p.11/ln.5	Tr. 8596-8)Newmark).	Tr. 8596-8 (L.B. hearing) (Newmark)
p.11/ln.8	Tr. 5470; 5889-92; 5895-97 (Smith)	Tr. 5470; 5889-92; 5895-97 (L.B. hearing)(Smith)
p.11/ln.19	J.I. Ex. 47 at 963-4	J.I. Ex. 47 at 963-4; Tr. 616-18 (Brune).
p.12/ln.16	The extrapolation	The extrapolations
p.13/ln.1	Tr. 5940-8	Tr. 5940-8 (L.B. hearing) (Smith).
p.13/ln.4	Tr. 5936-40 (Smith)	Tr. 5936-40 (L.B. hearing) (Smith)
p.13/ln.7	.70g and .75g	0.70g and 0.75g
p.13/ln.24	Tr. 10,107;	Tr. 10,107 (L.B. hearing) (Seed);
p.14/footnote 13, ln.14	value has been assigned	value has not been assigned
p.16/ln.3	6.2 and 6.6	6.2 and 6.6"
p.17/ln.3	earthquake are.	earthquake -- M_S 6.4 - 6.9 -- are
p.17/ln.4	This data	These data
p.18/ln.2	to rebutt	to rebut
p.18/ln.5	-- M 6.4 - 6.9 --	-- M_S 6.4 - 6.9 --
p.19/ln.23	kilometers	kilometers
p.20/ln.4	zones concentrated	zones of concentrated



<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Now Reads</u>	<u>Should Read</u>
p.20/ln.20	i included	is included
p.22/ln. 7-8	Tr. 840-43 (Brune). In sum	Tr. 840-43 (Brune). He then expands the point source from which one half the energy is released to an area conservatively estimated as no larger than 10 km. In sum...
p.23/ln.31	tends to	tend to
p.24/ln.17	earthquake corroborates	earthquake corroborate
p.25/ln.25	the strcutres	the structures
p.26/ln.5	That data	Those data
p.29/ln.1	generally fits	generally fit
p.29/ln.9	the M assigned	the M_L assigned
p.29/ln.13	-- exceed the	--exceeds the
p.34/ln.15	is casued	is caused
p.35/ln.15	is genera agreement	is general agreement
p.36/ln.4	There is	There are
p.36/ln.10-11	earthquake supports	earthquake support
p.36/15	estimated of the	estimated the
p.38/ln.25	strike all of line 25 and first three words of line 26	
p.39/ln.11	Tr. 1326-30.	Tr. 1326-30 (Trifunac).
p.39/ln.22	If one knows the changes of the expected peak values.	If one knows the changes in spectral amplitudes it may be relatively easy to predict the changes of the expected peak values.
p.41/ln.37	proposed " " effect	proposed "j" effect
p.42/ln.14	characteristics entirely	characteristics and located in soil entirely




<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Now Reads</u>	<u>Should Read</u>
p.43/ln.7	Figure 6 in Appendix	Figure 6 in Appendix V.A.
p.43/ln.18	estimating " " reductions	estimating "J" reductions
p.43/ln.19	The " " reductions	The "J" reductions
p.43/ln.25	depth. He	depth." He
p.43/ln.25	He found that analyses	He found that "...analyses
p.44/ln.16	h/d ration	h/d ratio
p.44/ln.23	are significantly	is significantly
p.44/ln.25	ICSB do not	ICSB does not
p.44/ln.13	about 20% below	about 25-35% below
p.44/ln.16	NRD staff	NRC staff
p.44/ln.27	Mr. George	Dr. George
p.46/ln.25	reduction of the design accelerations.	reduction of the design accelerations of the magnitude incorporated here.
p.47/ln.17	Lomyroz	Lompoc fault
p.49/ln.25	proceeding when	proceeding, when
p.49/ln.26	require the Appeal Board	requires the Appeal Board

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Fleischaker

David S. Fleischaker, Esq.
1735 Eye Street, N.W., #709
Washington, D.C. 20016
(202) 638-6070

Attorney for Joint Intervenors



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of:)

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC)
 COMPANY)
 (Diablo Canyon Nuclear)
 Power Plant, Units 1 & 2)

Docket Nos. 50-275 O.L.
 50-323 O.L.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of December, 1980, I have served copies of the foregoing ERRATA TO JOINT INTERVENORS' PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW by mailing them through the U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid, to those persons listed below.

Richard S. Salzman,
 Chairman
 Atomic Safety & Licensing
 Appeal Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission
 4350 East West Highway
 Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. W. Reed Johnson
 Atomic Safety & Licensing
 Appeal Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission
 4350 East West Highway
 Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. John H. Buck
 Atomic Safety & Licensing
 Appeal Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission
 4350 East West Highway
 Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq.
 Chairman
 Atomic Safety & Licensing
 Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission
 Mail Drop East West 450
 Washington, D.C. 20555



Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry Kline
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docket & Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

William J. Olmstead, Esq.
Edward G. Ketchen, Esq.
Lucinda Low Swartz
Charles A. Barth
Office of the Executive Legal
Director - BETH 042
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mrs. Elizabeth Apfelberg
c/o Nancy Culver
192 Luneta Drive
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Mr. Frederick Eissler
Scenic Shoreline Preservation
Conference, Inc.
4623 More Mesa Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Sandra A. Silver
1760 Alisal Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Gordon Silver
1760 Alisal Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Joel Reynolds
John Phillips, Esq.
Center For Law In
The Public Interest
10203 Santa Monica Boulevard
Fifth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Bruce Norton, Esq.
3216 N. Third Street
Suite 202
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Andrew Baldwin, Esq.
Friends of the Earth
124 Spear Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Janice E. Kerr, Esq.
Lawrence Q. Garcia, Esq.
J. Calvin Simpson, Esq.
California Public Utilities
Commission
5346 State Building
350 McAllister Street
San Francisco, Calif. 94102

Malcolm H. Furbush, Esq.
Vice President and
General Counsel
Philip A. Crane, Esq.
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
31st Floor
77 Beale Street, Room 3127
San Francisco, CA 94106

Arthur C. Gehr, Esq.
Snell & Wilmer
3100 Valley Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85073

10/10/10



Mrs. Raye Fleming
1920 Mattie Road
Shell Beach, Ca 93499

MHB Technical Associates
1723 Hamilton Avenue
Suite K
San Jose, CA 95125

Carl Neiburger
Telegram Tribune
P.O. Box 112
San Luis Obispo, CA 93402

Byron S. Georgiou
Legal Affairs Secretary
Governor's Office
State Capitol
Sacramento, California 95814

Herbert H. Brown, Esq.
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Hill, Christopher & Phillips
1900 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036



David S. Fleischaker, Esq.

SECRET