UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

'į̇́0/3j/80

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2)

من بناين

Docket Nos. 50-275 0.L.

NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION OF SLOMFP FOR RECONSIDERATION

On September 2, 1980, Intervenor San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace (SLONFP) submitted contentions which they wished to litigate in the captioned proceeding. The Appeal Board ruled on the admissibility of those contentions on September 18, 1980. "Rulings on Contentions," dated September 18, 1980. At that time, the Appeal Board "tentatively rejected" SLONFP Contention 12 which asserted that "the security plan entails procedures... which are illegal under state and federal laws, and which are inimical or violative of rights of privacy or other constitutional rights or guarantees."¹/₁ The Appeal Board did allow SLONFP to submit a revised Contention 12 "in light of" the Applicant's responses to SLONFP's interrogatories on this subject matter. The revised contention was to be filed on October 2, 1980.

8 011040 422.

^{1/} The Staff originally objected to Contention 12 as "patently unsupported." "NRC Staff Response to Amended Contentions of Intervenor SLOMFP," September 8, 1980. The Staff also noted that the specific procedures which allegedly violate various laws, as well as the laws which are allegedly violated, were not set forth in the Contention. Staff Response at 6. The Staff continues to assert this objection.

, • . . • •

· ,

. .

"~~ " 4

Although the Applicant provided responses to the interrogatories on September 19, 1980, the Intervenor believed those responses to be inadequate and thus filed a "Motion to Compel PG&E to Respond to Interrogatories" on September 26, 1980. The Intervenors also believed that the time in which they could file a revised contention was automatically extended and did not file a new contention on October 2, 1980.

The Appeal Board, at the same time they granted SLOMFP's Motion to Compel, finally rejected Contention 12 in their "Ruling on Motions to Compel and on Remaining Contention" dated October 10, 1980. SLOMFP, on October 15, 1980, filed the instant "Motion for Reconsideration of the Board's Order of October 10, 1980" (Motion) asking that they once again be permitted to file a revised Contention 12 after reviewing the Applicant's further answers to SLOMFP's interrogatories which were to be filed on October 21, 1980. The Staff opposes the Motion.

While the original Contention 12 was overly vague, the Appeal Board did give SLOMFP the opportunity to revise the Contention based on information, the security plan in particular, which they obtained from the applicant. SLOMFP argues that inasmuch as the Applicant did not fully provide information concerning Contention 12 in its discovery responses until October 21, 1980 it would be unfair to hold SLOMFP to the October 2, 1980 date for filing a revised Contention 12. SLOMFP fails to explain, however, why access to the security plan on which Contention 12 was based, was not a sufficient basis on which to clarify their Contention.

- 2 -

. • • • • . -. · · · · · · <u>,</u> *

, ,

۵

.

Direct testimony in response to the Consolidated Issues set forth by the Appeal Board in their September 18, 1980 "Rulings on Contentions" was filed by all the parties on October 29, 1980 and the security hearing is scheduled to begin on November 10, 1980. If SLOMFP's Motion were granted, the revised Contention 12 would not be available to the parties in time to reasonably respond to that Contention and prepare supplemental testimony prior to the hearing.

Thus, SLOMFP's Motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

William

William J. Olmstead Lead Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 31st day of October, 1980 - 3 -

۰. ۲.

·

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Docket Nos. 50-275 O.L. 50-323 O.L.

(Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION OF SLOMFP FOR RECONSIDERATION" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 31st day of October, 1980.

*Richard S. Salzman, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Dr. John H. Buck Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Dr. W. Reed Johnson Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Mr. Thomas S. Moore, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Elizabeth Apfelberg 1415 Cozadero San Luis Cbispo, California 93401 *Mr. Glenn O. Bright Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. William E. Martin Senior Ecologist Battelle Memorial Institute Columbus, Ohio 43201

 Philip A. Crane, Jr., Esq.
 Pacific Gas and Electric Company Room 3127
 77 Beale Street
 San Francisco, California 94106

Mr. Frederick Eissler
Scenic Shoreline Preservation
Conference, Inc.
4623 More Mesa Drive
Santa Barbara, California 93105

Mrs. Raye Fleming 1920 Mattie Road Shall Beach, California 93449

Richard E. Blankenburg, Co-publisher Wayne A. Sorovan, News Reporter South County Publishing Company P.O. Box 460 Arroyo Grande, California 93420

ر

1

* *

•

• •

· · ·

.

- ilajorie Nordlinger
 Office of General Counsel
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.
 Washington, D. C. 20555
 - Mr. Gordon Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401
- John R. Phillips, Esq. Simon Klevansky, Esq. Margaret Blodgett, Esq. Marion P. Johnston, Esq. Center for Law in the Public Interest 10203 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067
- Arthur C. Gehr, Esq.
 Snell & Wilmer
 3100 Valley Center
 Phoenix, Arizona 85073
- Paul C. Valentine, Esq. 321 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, California 94302
 - Harry M. Willis Seymour & Willis 601 California St., Suite 2100 San Francisco, California 94108

Janice E. Kerr, Esq. Lawrence Q. Garcia, Esq. 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. James O. Schuyler Nuclear Projects Engineer Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, California 94106

Bruce Norton, Esq. 3216 North 3rd Street Suite 202 Phoenix, Arizona 85102

David S. Fleischaker, Esq. Suite 709 1735 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Mrs. Sandra A. Silver 1760 Alisal Street San Luis Obispo, California 93401 -2-

Richard B. Hubbard MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue - Suite K San Jose, California 95125

- John Marrs Managing Editor San Luis Obispo County Telegram-Tribune 1321 Johnson Avenue P. O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, California 93406
- Andrew Baldwin, Esq. 124 Spear Street San Francisco, California 94105
- Herbert H. Brówn Hill, Christopher & Phillips, P.C. 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036
 - J. Anthony Klein Legal Affairs Secretary Governor's Office State Capitol Sacramento, California 95814
 - *Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555
 - *Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Chief, Docketing & Service Br. Washington, D.C. 20555

11 alia William J. Olmstead

Counsel for NRC Staff

L. ۷

. •

. . , ۰. ۲

. . .