

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8005090448 DOC. DATE: 80/05/07 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-275 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Pacific Ga 05000275
 50-323 Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Pacific Ga 05000323
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CRANE, P.A. Pacific Gas & Electric Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 STOLTZ, J.F. Systems Interaction Branch

SUBJECT: Forwards "Description of Sys Interaction Program for Seismically Induced Events." Status of overall program will be documented prior to full-power operation.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2+14
 TITLE: PSAR/FSAR AMDTS and Related Correspondence

NOTES: ADD: M. WILLIAMS J. Hanchett w/1
CY ALL MATL

ACTION:	RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME		LTR	ENCL
	05 PMB. Buckley	1	1	AD <u>AD/LWR</u>	1	0	
	BC <u>LWR #1</u>	1	0	LA <u>LWR #1</u>	1	0	
INTERNAL:	01 <u>REG FILE</u>	1	1	02 NRC PDR	1	1	
	06 I & E	3	3	08 OPERA LIC BR	1	1	
	09 GEOSCIEN BR	4	4	10 QAB	1	1	
	11 MECH ENG BR	1	1	12 STRUC ENG BR	1	1	
	13 MATL ENG BR	2	2	15 REAC SYS BR	1	1	
	16 ANALYSIS BR	1	1	17 CORE PERF BR	1	1	
	18 AUX SYS BR	1	1	19 CONTAIN SYS	1	1	
	20 I & C SYS BR	1	1	21 POWER SYS BR	1	1	
	22 AD SITE TECH	1	0	26 ACCDNT ANLYS	1	1	
	27 EFFL TRT SYS	1	1	28 RAD ASMT BR	1	1	
	29 KIRKWOOD	1	1	AD FOR ENG	1	0	
	AD PLANT SYS	1	0	AD REAC SAFETY	1	0	
	AD SITE ANLYSIS	1	0	DIRECTOR NRR	1	0	
	HYDRO-METEOR BR	2	2	MPA	1	0	
	OELD	1	0				
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1	1	04 NSIC	1	1	
	30 ACRS	10	10				

MAY 12 1980



TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 54 ENCL 43

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the statistical analysis performed.

3. The third part of the document presents the results of the study, including a comparison of the different methods and techniques used. It discusses the strengths and weaknesses of each method and provides a summary of the findings.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the study and provides recommendations for future research. It highlights the need for further investigation into the effectiveness of the different methods and techniques used.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PG&E + 77 BEALE STREET, 31ST FLOOR • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 • (415) 781-4211

MALCOLM H. FURBUSH
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL

ROBERT OHLBACH
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL

CHARLES T. VAN DEUSEN
PHILIP A. CRANE, JR.

HENRY J. LAPLANTE

JOHN B. GIBSON

ARTHUR L. HILLMAN, JR.

CHARLES W. THISSELL

DANIEL E. GIBSON

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

May 7, 1980

GILBERT L. HARRICK
GLENN WEST, JR.
JOSEPH I. KELLY
HOWARD V. GOLUB
JAMES C. LOGSDON
ROBERT L. BORDON
PETER W. HANSENEN
THEODORE L. LINDBERG, JR.
DOUGLAS A. DOLESBY

EDWARD J. MCGANNEY
DAN GRAYSON LUSBOCK
JACK F. FALLIN, JR.
BERNARD J. DELLASANTA
JOSHUA BAR-LEV
JOSEPH B. ENGLERT, JR.
ROBERT L. HARRIS
RICHARD F. LOCKE
DAVID L. LUDVIGSON
SENIOR COUNSEL

DAVID W. ANDERSON
DIANA BERGMAN
LEIGH B. CASHBY
HEATHER S. GIBSON
BRIAN S. DENTON
WILLIAM H. EDWARDS
DONALD D. ERICSON
DAVID C. GILBERT
JUAN M. JAYO
F. RONALD LAUPHEIMER
HARRY W. LONG, JR.
PAUL A. MAINE
ROBERT S. MCGLENNAN
RICHARD H. MOSS
J. MICHAEL REIDENBACH
IVOR S. SAMPSON
SUE ANN LEVIN SCHIFF
JACK W. SHUCK
DAVID J. WILKINSON
BRUCE R. WORTHINGTON

J. PETER BAUMDARTNER
STEVEN P. BURKE
PAMELA CHAPPELLE
AUDREY DAINES
MICHAEL D. DESHARAI
GARY P. ENDINAS
JOHN N. FRYE
PATRICK D. GOLDEN
KERMIT R. KUSITZ
MERCK E. LIPSON
JOHN R. LOW
A. KIRK MCKENZIE
RICHARD L. MEISS
ROGER J. PETERS
ROBERT R. RICKETT
SHIRLEY A. SANDERSON
JO ANN SHAFER
LOUIE C. VINCENT
SHIRLEY A. WOOD
KENNETH YANG
ATTORNEYS

Mr. John F. Stolz, Chief
Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Project Management
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Docket No. 50-275
Docket No. 50-323
Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2

Dear Mr. Stolz:

Enclosed for your information and review are twenty copies of a document describing a Systems Interaction Program for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2. This program, currently in progress, is intended to resolve concerns originally expressed by members of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards and later included by the Regulatory Staff in NUREG-0660, "NRC Action Plans Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident."

The objective of the Systems Interaction Program is to identify and eliminate any potential, undesirable, seismically-induced interactions between non-safety-related plant features and those structures, systems and components important to safety.

For those systems inside the containment, the Systems Interaction Program, including any necessary modifications to the plant, will be completed prior to fuel loading. For other systems, the program will be completed prior to full power operation.

Working documents related to the program are available at the site and in PG&E's San Francisco offices for review by NRC personnel. These documents include procedures, detailed working criteria, documentation of program activities, and program results.

As noted in the Program Description, independent audits of the program are being made to verify program adequacy and proper implementation.

Boo!
ADD!
M Williams

Dear Mr. [Name],
I am writing to you regarding the [subject].
I hope this letter finds you well.

Very truly yours,
[Signature]

cc: [Name]

The [subject] is a matter of [importance].
I have discussed this with [Name] and we have
reached a preliminary agreement. I am sure
that you will find this arrangement satisfactory.
I will be in touch with you again in a few days.

I am sure that you will find this arrangement
satisfactory. I will be in touch with you
again in a few days.

I am sure that you will find this arrangement
satisfactory. I will be in touch with you
again in a few days.

I am sure that you will find this arrangement
satisfactory. I will be in touch with you
again in a few days.

I am sure that you will find this arrangement
satisfactory. I will be in touch with you
again in a few days.

Mr. John F. Stolz

2

May 7, 1980

The status of the overall program, including modifications, will be documented prior to full power operation.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this material on the enclosed copy of this letter and return it to me in the enclosed addressed envelope.

Very truly yours,

Philip A. Green, Jr.

Enclosures

CC w/enc.: Service List

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000
 100-100000-100000
 100-100000-100000
 100-100000-100000
 100-100000-100000

100-100000-100000
 100-100000-100000