

2.22a-rev: Review the capabilities of MELCOR and SIMMER for SFR confirmatory analysis for severe accidents. Select a code for future development, and identify models most necessary for the development efforts.

2.25a-rev: Review the capabilities of MELCOR for molten salt reactor analysis. Propose a preliminary plan for severe accident analysis of fixed core MSR and liquid fuel MSR.

Projected Resources: []

Offsite Consequence Analysis

Offsite Consequence Analysis is an area that will be important as additional details on the various designs of advanced non-light-water reactors (LWRs) are presented to the staff for licensing reviews. Each reactor type and design could possibly have different design basis accident (DBA) and severe accident source terms compared to those for the current fleet of large LWRs. Offsite consequence analysis is also important given the expectation that advanced non-LWR applicants may seek relaxed emergency planning zone sizes and potentially other offsite capabilities.

2.60a: Investigate what previous work has been done in development of source terms for DBAs and severe accidents and characterize the range of potential offsite consequences for the different non-LWR technologies.

Projected Resources: []

Materials Research

Work in materials is largely generic, and can proceed. Work proposed for FY17 (and FY18) that can be addressed are:

2.29a: Assess the performance needs and issues for structural materials to be used in non-LWRs, such as HTGR, SFR, and MSR. Including, for example, material behavior of concrete under sustained elevated temperatures (especially for HTGR designs) for structures in the proximity of the reactor vessel, and material performance and requirements for concrete-based reactor pressure vessels (HTGR designs) (temperature, pressure and radiation) Identify major materials issues related to non-LWRs. Adopt PIRT –like process.

2.29b: Identify the need for additional materials test data for developing confirmatory assessment tools.

2.30c: Assess existing regulatory guidance applicable to non- LWRs.

Projected Resources: []

I&C

Instrumentation and Controls is an area that will become important as additional details on the various designs are presented to the staff. Each reactor type, assuming high temperature

operation may result in a harsh environment for instrumentation. Therefore, some initial work is warranted. For FY17 work to be limited to MSR application:

2.70a: Identify new instruments and actuators needed in advanced reactors but not used in LWRs. Identify issues of instruments and actuators in specific harsh environmental conditions in advanced reactors (non-LWRs). Adopt PIRT –like process. (Literature review, collaboration and information sharing with DOE/NE, vendors, international partners, contract support).

Deliverable: Assessment Report

Projected Resources: []

Human and Organizational Factors - - - - ON HOLD.

Non-LWRs are expected to take advantage of ongoing technological developments (e.g., advanced human-system interfaces (HSIs), portable devices, automation, remote operations) to support all operational phases (at power, shutdown, emergency) to reduce operating costs, increase efficiency, perform in new operational modes (e.g., flexible power) while ensuring safety and security.

2.70a: Investigate if previous work has been done in human performance for non-LWR designs

Projected Resources: []

PRA

Probabilistic Risk Assessment needs a higher priority than originally considered based on comments from the technical experts and the December 2016 public meeting on advanced reactors. Some effort early on will be needed to help identify risk-significant accident scenarios and provide policy guidance. Work suggested for FY17:

2.80a: Investigate what previous work has been done in PRA for non-LWR designs

2.80b: Perform scoping study to understand if any work identified above can be used again or if gaps still exist

2.80c: Evaluate if new PRA policy will be needed to support non-LWR designs, including risk surrogates

2.80d: Identify any other relevant technological trends in PRA methods, models, tools, or data collection

2.80e: Identify guidance documents that would need to be updated to support the reviews

Projected Resources: []

Internal & External Hazards - - - - ON HOLD

Internal and external hazards is an area that can be started in FY17 without contract resources. This will help the staff identify long range issues. For FY17:

2.90a: Investigate what previous work has been done in external hazards for non-LWR designs. (This will only be partly completed in FY17, with an additional [] staff-hours needed in FY18.)

Projected Resources: []

FY17 Product

A progress report with multiple chapters. Each will summarize the efforts completed or underway in each of the functional areas for FY17. The report is to provide a broad overview of RES activity and reference any reports or documents produced as part of those efforts. (Some chapters may be empty at this point, but that will be to emphasize that the work is on hold pending additional funding or more specific design information.) Each should indicate high priority tasks for the following year(s), and note any emerging or significant technical challenges.

Resource Summary

Functional Area	Division	Estimated Staff-Hours	Estimated Contract \$
Neutronics & Kinetics	DSA	[]	[]
Fuel Performance	DSA	[]	[]
Thermal-Hydraulics	DSA	[]	[]
Severe Accident Phenomena	DSA	[]	[]
Offsite Consequences	DSA	[]	[]
Materials Research	DE	[]	[]
Seismic and Structural Research	DE	[]	[]
Instrumentation & Controls	DE	[]	[]
Human and Organizational Factors	DRA	[]	[]
Probabilistic Risk Assessment	DRA	[]	[]
Internal & External Hazards	DRA	[]	[]
Total Projected Resources	RES	[]	[]