

March 17, 2017

MEMORANDUM TO: Robert C. Johnson, Chief  
Security Performance and Evaluation Branch  
Division of Security Operations  
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response

Alison Rivera, Chief  
Reactor Security Branch  
Division of Physical and Cyber Security Policy  
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response

FROM: Todd Keene, Project Manager */RA/*  
Security Performance and Evaluation Branch  
Division of Security Operations  
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response

SUBJECT: PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY - STATUS OF THE SECURITY  
BASELINE INSPECTION PROGRAM ASSESSMENT IN  
RESPONSE TO SRM-SECY-16-0073.

On March 2, 2017, a Category 2 public meeting was held between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff, utility groups, and other stakeholders at NRC headquarters, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The NRC staff presented the status of the staff's assessment of the security baseline inspection program as directed by Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM)-SECY-16-0073<sup>1</sup>, "Options and Recommendations for the Force-on-Force Inspection Program in Response to SRM-SECY-14-0088." The public meeting notice<sup>2</sup> was issued on February 16, 2017, and was posted on the NRC's external (public) web page.

There were 19 industry representatives and 10 staff representatives in attendance locally and 16 attendees participated as remote attendees via webinar. The list of attendees is provided in the attached enclosure.

CONTACT: Todd Keene, NSIR/DSO/SPEB  
(301) 287-0726

---

<sup>1</sup> Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16279A345

<sup>2</sup> ADAMS Accession No. ML17059C805

NRC staff members in the Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR) Security Performance and Evaluation Branch (DSO/SPEB) and the Reactor Security Branch (DPCP/RSB) presented an overview of the status of the staff's assessment of the security baseline inspection program<sup>3</sup>. During and after the staff presentation, attendees had the opportunity to present comments and questions and engaged in discussions in order to gain clarification of staff and stakeholder positions regarding actions in response to SRM-SECY-16-0073.

The following comments are a brief summary of the meeting discussion:

- Representatives from the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) stated that they intend to submit a document that outlines the Security Event Mitigation Assessment (SEMA) to the NRC for endorsement. They anticipate delivering the document to the staff in March, or early April.
- In response to the NRC staff's presentation and discussion of potential options for crediting of law enforcement, industry representatives stated that they are interested in pursuing this and the NEI SEMA process will demonstrate that based on reasonable assurance, credit could be given for law enforcement response to a site.
  - The Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) questioned where funding would come from if local law enforcement will be tasked in responding to a site.
- Industry representatives believe that expanding the use of vulnerability assessment tools will result in cost savings and efficiency improvements.
- A participant stated that that it may be appropriate to revisit the preventive actions listed in Regulatory Guide 5.81 based on several factors (e.g., delay barriers, physical aspects of the site defensive components) and the validation of the protective strategy through the force-on-force (FOF) inspection program.
- The UCS representative provided feedback regarding NEI's letter to the NSIR Office Director<sup>4</sup> and expressed that UCS did not agree with the idea of moving the FOF efforts from headquarters to the regions. He also cautioned the staff to ensure that aggregate of the individual changes made in the name of efficiency does not undermine the intent of the baseline inspection program.
- Regarding potential pilot programs:
  - Industry representatives stated that they were very interested in discussing the NRC's initiative to minimize the number and duration of time-outs during FOF exercises.
  - Industry representatives stated that they have additional information concerning attachments 2 and 3 of the NEI letter. Due to the information being security related, further discussion of the items will need to take place in a closed meeting.
- Industry representatives stated that they do not intend to either pursue or submit a proposal for the NRC to observe licensee conducted FOF in lieu of the NRC conducting

---

<sup>3</sup> "Status of Staff Assessment in Response to SRM-SECY-16-0073", ADAMS Accession No. ML17059C459.

<sup>4</sup> NEI Letter dated January 26, 2017 - ADAMS Accession No. ML17046A218.

FOF exercises for the foreseeable future. They noted that there are many other items that they are focused on in the short-term.

Attendees were reminded during the meeting that although their questions and comments were discussed with the staff, no policy decisions would be made at the meeting.

Enclosure:  
Attendee List

SUBJECT: PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY - STATUS OF THE SECURITY  
BASELINE INSPECTION PROGRAM ASSESSMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
SRM-SECY-16-0073.

DATE: March 17, 2017

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	PMNS	ARivera
RidsNsirDso	RJohnson	TKeene
RidsNsirDsp	RidsNrrDssSrx	RidsOpaMail
RidsOgcMailCenter	RidsOgcMailCenter	RidsNrrDirs

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

Susan Perkins-Grew – spg@nei.org  
Edwin Lyman – ELyman@ucsusa.org

**ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML17073A045**

\*concurrent via email

NRC-001

OFFICE	PM:NSIR/DSO/SPEB	BC:NSIR/DPCP/RSB	BC:NSIR/DSO/SPEB	PM:NSIR/DSO/SPEB
NAME	TKeene	ARivera	RJohnson	TKeene
DATE	03/ 15 /2017	03/ 16 /2017	03/ 16 /2017	03/ 17 /2017

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

## Attendance List

PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS THE STATUS OF THE STAFF  
ASSESSMENT IN RESPONSE TO SRM-SECY-16-0073, "Options and Recommendations for  
the Force-on-Force Inspection Program in Response to SRM-SECY-14-0088"

March 02, 2017, 1:30 p.m. – 4:30 p.m.  
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
One White Flint North, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Commission Hearing Room  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852

Name	Affiliation
Jana Bergman	Curtis-Wright
Michael Whitlock	Dominion
Dave Berkenpas	Entergy
Mark Fencil	Florida Power and Light (FPL)
Charles Coles	STARS/APS
Sue Perkins	Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)
David Young	NEI
AJ Clore	NEI
Eric Brooks	NEI
Rob White	Xcel
Pat Asendorf	Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)
Mike Kosan	Exelon
Chris Mudrick	Exelon
R. Wealey	Dominion
Michael Lory	Southern Nuclear (SNC)
Ed O'Neil	Duke Energy
Cynthia Wilson	Exelon
Chris Kelly	Exelon
Edwin Lyman	Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS)
Chris Newton*	Duke Energy
Darani Reddick*	Exelon
David Kline*	Public Stakeholder
Donald Fries*	Talen Energy
Gerald Durham*	SNC
Greg Anderson*	DTE Energy
Greg Douglass*	Scana
Jim Peschel*	Certtec
Lisa Hogg*	SNC
Mike McNally*	Public Stakeholder
Randy Ford*	Areva
Robin Savage*	South Texas Project
Steven Dolley*	Platts

\* Webinar Attendee

ENCLOSURE

## Attendance List

PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS THE STATUS OF THE STAFF

ASSESSMENT IN RESPONSE TO SRM-SECY-16-0073, "Options and Recommendations for the Force-on-Force Inspection Program in Response to SRM-SECY-14-0088"

Name	Affiliation
Steven West	NRC
Marissa Bailey	NRC
Jim Andersen	NRC
Andrew Pretzello	NRC
Russ Felts	NRC
Clay Johnson	NRC
Alison Rivera	NRC
Patrick Hanks	NRC
James Maltese	NRC
David Holman*	NRC
James Sheehee*	NRC
Randy Peterson*	NRC
Todd Keene	NRC

\* Webinar Attendee