

WCS_CISFEISCEm Resource

From: Mit Allenby <mitallenby@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 8:10 AM
To: WCS_CISFEIS Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023: Extend Comment Period, Include Transport in EIS, Reject Centralized

To the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

Waste Control Specialists' (WCS) application to store tons of irradiated nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste from nuclear reactors around the country in Andrews County will cause thousands of unnecessary nuclear waste shipment across the US.

Please ensure the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) includes the risks of national transportation as well as the risks of the site becoming permanent by default. Include transportation methods, specific routes, and all their potential impacts in the Environmental Impact Statement.

Extend the public comment period on the scoping of the Environmental Impact Statement for WCS application for 90 more days to enable parties along all potential routes to comment. Additional time is needed to alert those potentially impacted and for those already aware to provide meaningful input.

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Waste Control Specialists' license application should include a designation of transportation routes and the array of potential impacts of accidents or malicious attacks that could occur along those routes. If the license gets approved, deadly waste would be transported through communities, farmland, sensitive natural areas, and watersheds throughout the country for 24 years. Even one small accident would be one too many. Despite assurances that accident damage would be minimal, real life disasters have been known to exceed the worst anticipated scenarios.

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Waste Control Specialists' license application must include national transportation to and FROM Andrews since it will allegedly leave some day. It should designate the routes and cover all potential consequences of transport, including the legally allowed routine radioactive emissions from transport and storage casks and the array of potential impacts of accidents or attacks that could occur along those routes.

The EIS should independently review the risk of groundwater contamination at the site, especially since the entire Texas Commission on Environmental Quality TCEQ Radioactive Materials Division recommended denying a license for "low-level" radioactive waste at the Waste Control Specialists site due to the proximity of groundwater.

The EIS should consider potential impacts from accidents or radioactive waste related acts of malice along transport routes and at the site, including impacts to people, land and water. In-depth research should examine radiation monitoring and cumulative impacts of multiple facilities near the WCS site, site security, engineering adequacy of the storage pad and seismic stresses, the adequacy of the crane that would move radioactive waste.

The report should include exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, as it appears there would be no wet pool or hot cell at the WCS site. It appears that no one knows yet how to transfer waste from dry cask to dry cask. WCS should have to explain how this would be accomplished and not just say they'll figure it out when the problem arises.

Since there is hazardous and mixed waste at the WCS site, the EIS must review the multiple, additive, cumulative and synergistic effect of radioactivity and hazardous waste on workers, residents, people of all ages with varying susceptibilities to radiation, animals, plants, microbes, water, soil, etc. Please clarify the physical impacts of hazardous materials on WCS's many sites and nearby facilities (including Urenco) on people, animals, plants, etc.

Currently there is no way to re-containerize the waste if and when needed. The EIS must analyze and explain how WCS will do this now and how they will guarantee it can be done in perpetuity. The EIS must cover the millions of years the waste will stay dangerous--consider the future as there is NO GUARANTEE in the license that the waste will ever leave.

Please extend the public comment period on the scoping of the Environmental Impact Statement for WCS's application 90 more days. Communities along transport routes and those near the waste site need to time to have their questions answered and the proper forum to provide their input.

Please hold public scoping meetings in Dallas, San Antonio, Chicago, Charlotte, Atlanta, and other transport corridor communities so that those of would be put at risk can address the NRC on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Mit Allenby
4A Hillside Rd.
Greenbelt, MD 20770

Federal Register Notice: 81FR79531
Comment Number: 3985

Mail Envelope Properties (602022503.26328.1487941817652.JavaMail.tomcat)

Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023: Extend Comment Period, Include
Transport in EIS, Reject Centralized
Sent Date: 2/24/2017 8:10:17 AM
Received Date: 2/24/2017 8:10:19 AM
From: Mit Allenby

Created By: mitallenby@gmail.com

Recipients:

Post Office: vweb102

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4539	2/24/2017 8:10:19 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: