

WCS_CISFEISCEm Resource

From: Theresa Kardos <terrykardos@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:07 PM
To: WCS_CISFEIS Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023

To the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

As an environmental educator and field biologist, a parent, and a citizen who cares deeply about the health of our planet and all its inhabitants, I believe that Waste Control Specialists' (WCS) application to store tons of irradiated nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste from nuclear reactors around the country in Andrews County, Texas, will cause thousands of unnecessary nuclear waste shipments across the US.

Risks of Transporting Nuclear Waste:

As you prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) of WCS's nuclear waste storage application, I urge you to include the risks of transporting toxic waste on our country's highways, railways and waterways.

The EIS for Waste Control Specialists' license application should include a designation of transportation routes and the array of potential impacts of accidents or malicious attacks that could occur along those routes. If the license is approved, deadly waste would be transported through communities, farmland, sensitive natural areas and watersheds throughout the country for 24 years. It is my understanding that first responders in communities have no standardized training or equipment for disasters such as oil spills, and they would be similarly unprepared for disasters involving radioactive waste. The delays involved getting properly trained and equipped personnel on site could be catastrophic.

The public comment period should be extended for 90 more days to enable parties along all these potential routes to comment.

Risks to Local Groundwater:

The EIS should independently review the risk of groundwater contamination at the site, especially since the entire Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Radioactive Materials Division recommended denying a license for "low-level" radioactive waste at the Waste Control Specialists site due to the proximity of groundwater. During this time of climate change, weather patterns are becoming unpredictable, and the availability of water may become a serious concern. Anything that threatens groundwater, especially for an indefinite time as does radioactivity, should be avoided if it all possible.

Risks of Accident:

The EIS should consider potential impacts from accidents or radioactive waste-related acts of malice along transport routes and at the site, including impacts to people, land and water. In-depth research should examine radiation monitoring and cumulative impacts of multiple facilities near the WCS site, site security, engineering adequacy of the storage pad and seismic stresses, and the adequacy of the crane that would move radioactive waste.

Local Community Does Not Consent:

The local community has not consented to becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. They should not have to risk contamination of our land, aquifers or air or the health of plants, wildlife and livestock.

Risks of Temporary Site Becoming Permanent:

The EIS should address the impacts of “interim storage” becoming dangerous permanent de facto disposal, and the possibility that the waste might never be disposed of in a scientifically viable geologic repository using a reliable isolation system. With political pressure gone, the waste would likely never move again.

These risks - when included in your review – make the decision to reject WCSs application clear.

Sincerely,

Theresa Kardos

10567

Federal Register Notice: 81FR79531
Comment Number: 3838

Mail Envelope Properties (102151590.20842.1487876823528.JavaMail.tomcat)

Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023
Sent Date: 2/23/2017 2:07:03 PM
Received Date: 2/23/2017 4:27:11 PM
From: Theresa Kardos

Created By: terrykardos@aol.com

Recipients:

Post Office: vweb50

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 3440 | 2/23/2017 4:27:11 PM |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: