

ITAAC CLOSURE VERIFICATION EVALUATION FORM (VEF-ICN)

An ITAAC engineer from the Office of New Reactors, Division of Construction Inspection, and Operational Programs (DCIP) in concert with other reviewer(s) assigned to an ITAAC closure review will complete this form for the ITAAC under review.

Docket No:	5200026	Plant Name:	Vogtle Unit 4 Combined License
Licensee Name:	Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc	Combined License No:	NPF-92
ITAAC ID No:	2.7.01.02a	ITAAC Type:	Non-Targeted
ITAAC Family Designation or enter N/A:	12F		
ITAAC Closure Notification (ICN) ADAMS ML No:			ML16278A415
Name of ITAAC Engineer:			Cozens, Ian

Enter "Yes" in the blank at the beginning of a statement below if the whole statement is true, "No" if the whole or part of the statement is not true, and "N/A" if the statement is not applicable.

- a. **Yes** The ICN identifies all of the following: (1) licensee, (2) plant site name, (3) unit number, and (4) plant's docket number.
- b. **Yes** The ITAAC as stated in the ICN matches the ITAAC as stated in the combined license.
- c. **No** NRC personnel with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the ITAAC Determination Basis (IDB) of the ICN contains sufficient information including a summary of the methodology for performing the ITAAC, to demonstrate that the licensee has successfully performed the inspection, test, and/or analysis stated in the ITAAC. The methodology described in the IDB of the ICN either was reviewed and approved by the NRC, or is acceptable based on sound scientific, mathematical, and/or engineering principles, and is repeatable, if necessary, without any significant change to the reported result.
- d. **No** NRC personnel with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the IDB of the ICN contains sufficient information to demonstrate that the licensee has fully met the entire acceptance criterion stated in the ITAAC.
- e. **No** For ITAAC specified as being performed on "as-built" structures, systems, or components (SSCs): If the licensee performed the inspections, tests, and/or analyses of the ITAAC at location(s) other than the final installed

ITAAC CLOSURE VERIFICATION EVALUATION FORM (VEF-ICN)

location, the licensee has based on the guidance in NEI 08-01 either summarized a technical justification or provided a reference to a generic technical justification in the IDB of the ICN that establishes why it was acceptable to perform the ITAAC at location(s) other than the final installed location. [Enter N/A if the ITAAC was not performed at a remote location or if the ITAAC is not specified as “as-built.”]

- f. **N/A** If ITAAC is a “Reference ITAAC”, all the ITAAC it references have been verified as successfully completed. (Enter “N/A” if ITAAC is not a reference ITAAC.)
- g. **Yes** All planned inspections for this ITAAC (if any) have been completed as indicated in the Construction Inspection Program Management System (CIPIMS). Either NRC inspectors found no ITAAC findings for this ITAAC or any ITAAC findings are closed as indicated in CIPIMS and the ICN for this ITAAC.
- h. **Yes** The ICN indicates that the licensee completed the ITAAC as affirmed by the signature of a licensee representative.
- i. **No** During concurrence review, a potential problem was identified which prevents verifying the completion of the ITAAC
- j. **No** An additional reviewer was assigned to the ITAAC closure review with his or her name entered into the blank at the top of this page based on his or her expertise being required.

If statements “a” through “h” are all “Yes” (or all are “Yes” except statement(s) “e” and/or “f” are “N/A”), and statement “i” is “No”, then the ICN has sufficient information; otherwise, the ICN is rejected, and the NRC must communicate with the licensee regarding the need for a new ICN of record. A conclusion that an ICN has sufficient information represents an NRC staff determination that the ICN has a sufficient, self-contained discussion of the completion of the ITAAC.

If a potential problem is identified which prevents verifying an ITAAC as completed, an evaluation will be performed which may or may not result in Region II inspections. If an ITAAC finding is confirmed by Region II as a result of the potential problem identified in statement “i”, (1) a new ICN will be submitted by the licensee, (2) the ITAAC will be categorized as not completed, and (3) other ITAAC in the same family will be assessed with appropriate actions taken. If there is a potential problem, for which Region II did not identify an ITAAC finding, the licensee must submit a new ICN to address that concern.

For statements “c” and “d”, the person(s) making those determinations should refer to Section 3.2 in Revision 1 of the Office Instruction for ITAAC Closure Verification (NRO-REG-103) for additional information to assist them. If the ITAAC completion package at the plant site was used in the evaluation of the ITAAC in accordance with this form, indicate in the space below what documents were reviewed.

The reviewers may provide integrated comments in the “Closure Support Notes” field below that support the bases for verifying that the licensee successfully completed the ITAAC when it is necessary for the sake of clarification of that ITAAC status. Such additional information may

ITAAC CLOSURE VERIFICATION EVALUATION FORM (VEF-ICN)

include, but is not limited to, identifying pertinent ICN statements, comments on performance of ITAAC at other than the final installed location, listing documents reviewed from the licensee's ITAAC completion package, conditional status of ITAAC, etc. If the ITAAC was not verified as successfully completed, the reviewers must provide comments in the "Insufficient ICN/Deficiency Notes" field below explaining the basis for this determination.

ITAAC Engineer: Cozens, Ian

Date: 2/28/2017

DCIP Branch Chief or Designee: Welch, Christopher

Date: 2/28/2017

DNRL PM: Hoellman, Jordan