

## **PMTurkeyCOLPEm Resource**

---

**From:** Williamson, Alicia  
**Sent:** Monday, February 27, 2017 3:57 PM  
**To:** TurkeyCOL Resource  
**Subject:** TP Testimony - EPA Method 624 Purgeables  
**Attachments:** method\_624\_1984.pdf

**Hearing Identifier:** TurkeyPoint\_COL\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1266

**Mail Envelope Properties** (59e029ae0faf4e75b5f3ba6746861b8f)

**Subject:** TP Testimony - EPA Method 624 Purgeables  
**Sent Date:** 2/27/2017 3:57:08 PM  
**Received Date:** 2/27/2017 3:57:12 PM  
**From:** Williamson, Alicia

**Created By:** Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"TurkeyCOL Resource" <TurkeyCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQPWMSMRS05.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	0	2/27/2017 3:57:12 PM
method_624_1984.pdf	369543	

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# Method 624: Purgeables

---

**APPENDIX A TO PART 136  
METHODS FOR ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF MUNICIPAL AND  
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER**

**METHOD 624—PURGEABLES**

**1. Scope and Application**

1.1 This method covers the determination of a number of purgeable organics. The following parameters may be determined by this method:

Parameter	STORET No.	CAS No.
Benzene . . . . .	34030	71-43-2
Bromodichloromethane . . . . .	32101	75-27-4
Bromoform . . . . .	32104	75-25-2
Bromomethane . . . . .	34413	74-83-9
Carbon tetrachloride . . . . .	32102	56-23-5
Chlorobenzene . . . . .	34301	108-90-7
Chloroethane . . . . .	34311	75-00-3
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether . . . . .	34576	110-75-8
Chloroform . . . . .	32106	67-66-3
Chloromethane . . . . .	34418	74-87-3
Dibromochloromethane . . . . .	32105	124-48-1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	34536	95-50-1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	34566	541-73-1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	34571	106-46-7
1,1-Dichloroethane . . . . .	34496	75-34-3
1,2-Dichloroethane . . . . .	34531	107-06-2
1,1-Dichloroethane . . . . .	34501	75-35-4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene . . . . .	34546	156-60-5
1,2-Dichloropropane . . . . .	34541	78-87-5
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	34704	10061-01-5
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	34699	10061-02-6
Ethyl benzene . . . . .	34371	100-41-4
Methylene chloride . . . . .	34423	75-09-2
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane . . . . .	34516	79-34-5
Tetrachloroethene . . . . .	34475	127-18-4
Toluene . . . . .	34010	108-88-3
1,1,1-Trichloroethene . . . . .	34506	71-55-6
1,1,2-Trichloroethene . . . . .	34511	79-00-5
Trichloroethane . . . . .	39180	79-01-6
Trichlorofluoromethane . . . . .	34488	75-69-4
Vinyl chloride . . . . .	39175	75-01-4

1.2 The method may be extended to screen samples for acrolein (STORET No. 34210, CAS No. 107-02-8) and acrylonitrile (STORET No. 34215, CAS No. 107-13-1), however, the preferred method for these two compounds is Method 603.

- 1.3 This is a purge and trap gas chromatographic/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) method applicable to the determination of the compounds listed above in municipal and industrial discharges as provided under 40 CFR Part 136.1.
- 1.4 The method detection limit (MDL, defined in Section 14.1)<sup>1</sup> for each parameter is listed in Table 1. The MDL for a specific wastewater may differ from those listed, depending upon the nature of interferences in the sample matrix.
- 1.5 Any modification to this method, beyond those expressly permitted, shall be considered as a major modification subject to application and approval of alternate test procedures under 40 CFR Parts 136.4 and 136.5. Depending upon the nature of the modification and the extent of intended use, the applicant may be required to demonstrate that the modifications will produce equivalent results when applied to relevant wastewaters.
- 1.6 This method is restricted to use by or under the supervision of analysts experienced in the operation of a purge and trap system and a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer and in the interpretation of mass spectra. Each analyst must demonstrate the ability to generate acceptable results with this method using the procedure described in Section 8.2.

## **2. Summary of Method**

- 2.1 An inert gas is bubbled through a 5 mL water sample contained in a specially-designed purging chamber at ambient temperature. The purgeables are efficiently transferred from the aqueous phase to the vapor phase. The vapor is swept through a sorbent trap where the purgeables are trapped. After purging is completed, the trap is heated and backflushed with the inert gas to desorb the purgeables onto a gas chromatographic column. The gas chromatograph is temperature programmed to separate the purgeables which are then detected with a mass spectrometer.<sup>2,3</sup>

## **3. Interferences**

- 3.1 Impurities in the purge gas, organic compounds outgassing from the plumbing ahead of the trap, and solvent vapors in the laboratory account for the majority of contamination problems. The analytical system must be demonstrated to be free from contamination under the conditions of the analysis by running laboratory reagent blanks as described in Section 8.1.3. The use of non-Teflon plastic tubing, non-Teflon thread sealants, or flow controllers with rubber components in the purge and trap system should be avoided.
- 3.2 Samples can be contaminated by diffusion of volatile organics (particularly fluorocarbons and methylene chloride) through the septum seal into the sample during shipment and storage. A field reagent blank prepared from reagent water and carried through the sampling and handling protocol can serve as a check on such contamination.
- 3.3 Contamination by carry-over can occur whenever high level and low level samples are sequentially analyzed. To reduce carry-over, the purging device and sample syringe must be rinsed with reagent water between sample analyses. Whenever an

unusually concentrated sample is encountered, it should be followed by an analysis of reagent water to check for cross contamination. For samples containing large amounts of water-soluble materials, suspended solids, high boiling compounds or high pureeable levels, it may be necessary to wash the purging device with a detergent solution, rinse it with distilled water, and then dry it in a 105°C oven between analyses. The trap and other parts of the system are also subject to contamination; therefore, frequent bakeout and purging of the entire system may be required.

#### **4. Safety**

- 4.1 The toxicity or carcinogenicity of each reagent used in this method has not been precisely defined; however, each chemical compound should be treated as a potential health hazard. From this viewpoint, exposure to these chemicals must be reduced to the lowest possible level by whatever means available. The laboratory is responsible for maintaining a current awareness file of OSHA regulations regarding the safe handling of the chemicals specified in this method. A reference file of material data handling sheets should also be made available to all personnel involved in the chemical analysis. Additional references to laboratory safety are available and have been identified<sup>4-6</sup> for the information of the analyst.
- 4.2 The following parameters covered by this method have been tentatively classified as known or suspected, human or mammalian carcinogens: benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, and vinyl chloride. Primary standards of these toxic compounds should be prepared in a hood. A NIOSH/MESA approved toxic gas respirator should be worn when the analyst handles high concentrations of these toxic compounds.

#### **5. Apparatus and Materials**

- 5.1 Sampling equipment, for discrete sampling.
  - 5.1.1 Vial—25 mL capacity or larger, equipped with a screw cap with a hole in the center (Pierce #13075 or equivalent). Detergent wash, rinse with tap and distilled water, and dry at 105°C before use.
  - 5.1.2 Septum—Teflon-faced silicone (Pierce #12722 or equivalent). Detergent wash, rinse with tap and distilled water, and dry at 105°C for one hour before use.
- 5.2 Purge and trap system—The purge and trap system consists of three separate pieces of equipment: A purging device, trap, and desorber. Several complete systems are now commercially available.
  - 5.2.1 The purging device must be designed to accept 5 mL samples with a water column at least 3 cm deep. The gaseous head space between the water column and the trap must have a total volume of less than 15 mL. The purge gas must pass through the water column as finely divided bubbles with a diameter of less than 3 mm at the origin. The purge gas must be introduced no more than 5 mm from the base of the water column. The purging device illustrated in Figure 1 meets these design criteria.

- 5.2.2 The trap must be at least 25 cm long and have an inside diameter of at least 0.105 in. The trap must be packed to contain the following minimum lengths of adsorbents: 1.0 cm of methyl silicone coated packing (Section 6.3.2), 15 cm of 2,6-dyphenylene oxide polymer (Section 6.3.1), and 8 cm of silica gel (Section 6.3.3). The minimum specifications for the trap are illustrated in Figure 2.
- 5.2.3 The desorber should be capable of rapidly heating the trap to 180°C. The polymer section of the trap should not be heated higher than 180°C and the remaining sections should not exceed 200°C. The desorber illustrated in Figure 2 meets these design criteria.
- 5.2.4 The purge and trap system may be assembled as a separate unit or be coupled to a gas chromatograph as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4.
- 5.3 GC/MS system
- 5.3.1 Gas chromatograph—An analytical system complete with a temperature programmable gas chromatograph suitable for on-column injection and all required accessories including syringes, analytical columns, and gases.
- 5.3.2 Column—6 ft long x 0.1 in ID stainless steel or glass, packed with 1% SP-1000 on Carboxen B (60/80 mesh) or equivalent. This column was used to develop the method performance statements in Section 14. Guidelines for the use of alternate column packings are provided in Section 11.1.
- 5.3.3 Mass spectrometer—Capable of scanning from 20-260 amu every seven seconds or less, utilizing 70 V (nominal) electron energy in the electron impact ionization mode, and producing a mass spectrum which meets all the criteria in Table 2 when 50 ng of 4-bromofluorobenzene (BFB) is injected through the GC inlet.
- 5.3.4 GC/MS interface—Any GC to MS interface that gives acceptable calibration points at 50 ng or less per injection for each of the parameters of interest and achieves all acceptable performance criteria (Section 10) may be used. GC to MS interfaces constructed of all glass or glass-lined materials are recommended. Glass can be deactivated by silanizing with dichlorodimethylsilane.
- 5.3.5 Data system—A computer system must be interfaced to the mass spectrometer that allows the continuous acquisition and storage on machine-readable media of all mass spectra obtained throughout the duration of the chromatographic program. The computer must have software that allows searching any GC/MS data file for specific m/z (masses) and plotting such m/z abundances versus time or scan number. This type of plot is defined as an Extracted Ion Current Profile (EICP). Software must also be available that allows integrating the abundance in any EICP between specified time or scan number limits.
- 5.4 Syringes—5 mL, glass hypodermic with Luerlok tip (two each), if applicable to the purging device.

- 5.5 Micro syringes—25  $\mu$ L, 0.006 in. ID needle.
- 5.6 Syringe valve—Two-way, with Luer ends (three each).
- 5.7 Syringe—5 mL, gas-tight with shut-off valve.
- 5.8 Bottle—15 mL, screw-cap, with Teflon cap liner.
- 5.9 Balance—Analytical, capable of accurately weighing 0.0001 g.

## **6. Reagents**

- 6.1 Reagent water—Reagent water is defined as a water in which an interferent is not observed at the MDL of the parameters of interest.
  - 6.1.1 Reagent water can be generated by passing tap water through a carbon filter bed containing about 1 lb of activated carbon (Filtrisorb-300, Calgon Corp., or equivalent).
  - 6.1.2 A water purification system (Millipore Super-Q or equivalent) may be used to generate reagent water.
  - 6.1.3 Reagent water may also be prepared by boiling water for 15 minutes. Subsequently, while maintaining the temperature at 90°C, bubble a contaminant-free inert gas through the water for one hour. While still hot, transfer the water to a narrow mouth screw-cap bottle and seal with a Teflon-lined septum and cap.
- 6.2 Sodium thiosulfate—(ACS) Granular.
- 6.3 Trap materials
  - 6.3.1 2,6-Diphenylene oxide polymer—Tenax, (60/80 mesh), chromatographic grade or equivalent.
  - 6.3.2 Methyl silicone packing—3% OV-1 on Chromosorb-W (60/80 mesh) or equivalent.
  - 6.3.3 Silica gel—35/60 mesh, Davison, Grade-15 or equivalent.
- 6.4 Methanol—Pesticide quality or equivalent.
- 6.5 Stock standard solutions—Stock standard solutions may be prepared from pure standard materials or purchased as certified solutions. Prepare stock standard solutions in methanol using assayed liquids or gases as appropriate. Because of the toxicity of some of the compounds, primary dilutions of these materials should be prepared in a hood. A NIOSH/MESA approved toxic gas respirator should be used when the analyst handles high concentrations of such materials.



- 6.5.1 Place about 9.8 mL of methanol into a 10 mL ground glass stoppered volumetric flask. Allow the flask to stand, unstoppered, for about 10 minutes or until all alcohol wetted surfaces have dried. Weigh the flask to the nearest 0.1 mg.
- 6.5.2 Add the assayed reference material
- 6.5.2.1 Liquids—Using a 100  $\mu\text{L}$  syringe, immediately add two or more drops of assayed reference material to the flask, then reweigh. Be sure that the drops fall directly into the alcohol without contacting the neck of the flask.
- 6.5.2.2 Gases—To prepare standards for any of the four halocarbons that boil below 30°C (bromomethane, chloroethane, chloromethane, and vinyl chloride), fill a 5 mL valved gas-tight syringe with the reference standard to the 5.0 mL mark. Lower the needle to 5 mm above the methanol meniscus. Slowly introduce the reference standard above the surface of the liquid (the heavy gas will rapidly dissolve in the methanol).
- 6.5.3 Reweigh, dilute to volume, stopper, then mix by inverting the flask several times. Calculate the concentration in  $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$  from the net gain in weight. When compound purity is assayed to be 96% or greater, the weight may be used without correction to calculate the concentration of the stock standard. Commercially prepared stock standards may be used at any concentration if they are certified by the manufacturer or by an independent source.
- 6.5.4 Transfer the stock standard solution into a Teflon-sealed screw-cap bottle. Store, with minimal headspace, at -10 to -20°C and protect from light.
- 6.5.5 Prepare fresh standards weekly for the four gases and 2-chloroethylvinyl ether. All other standards must be replaced after one month, or sooner if comparison with check standards indicates a problem.
- 6.6 Secondary dilution standards—Using stock solutions, prepare secondary dilution standards in methanol that contain the compounds of interest, either singly or mixed together. The secondary dilution standards should be prepared at concentrations such that the aqueous calibration standards prepared in Section 7.3 will bracket the working range of the analytical system. Secondary dilution standards should be stored with minimal headspace and should be checked frequently for signs of degradation or evaporation, especially just prior to preparing calibration standards from them.
- 6.7 Surrogate standard spiking solution—Select a minimum of three surrogate compounds from Table 3. Prepare stock standard solutions for each surrogate standard in methanol as described in Section 6.5. Prepare a surrogate standard spiking solution from these stock standards at a concentration of 15  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  in water. Store the solutions at 4°C in Teflon-sealed glass containers with a minimum of headspace. The solutions should be checked frequently for stability. The addition of 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of this

solution of 5 mL of sample or standard is equivalent to a concentration of 30 µg/L of each surrogate standard.

6.8 BFB Standard—Prepare a 25 µg/mL solution of BFB in methanol.

6.9 Quality control check sample concentrate—See Section 8.2.1.

## 7. Calibration

7.1 Assemble a purge and trap system that meets the specifications in Section 5.2. Condition the trap overnight at 180°C by backflushing with an inert gas flow of at least 20 mL/min. Condition the trap for 10 minutes once daily prior to use.

7.2 Connect the purge and trap system to a gas chromatograph. The gas chromatograph must be operated using temperature and flow rate conditions equivalent to those given in Table 1.

7.3 Internal standard calibration procedure—To use this approach, the analyst must select three or more internal standards that are similar in analytical behavior to the compounds of interest. The analyst must further demonstrate that the measurement of the internal standard is not affected by method or matrix interferences. Some recommended internal standards are listed in Table 3.

7.3.1 Prepare calibration standards at a minimum of three concentration levels for each parameter by carefully adding 20.0 µL of one or more secondary dilution standards to 50 mL, 250 mL, or 500 mL of reagent water. A 25 µmL syringe with a 0.006 in. ID needle should be used for this operation. One of the calibration standards should be at a concentration near, but above, the MDL (Table 1) and the other concentrations should correspond to the expected range of concentrations found in real samples or should define the working range of the GC/MS system. These aqueous standards can be stored up to 24 hours, if held in sealed vials with zero headspace as described in Section 9.2. If not so stored, they must be discarded after one hour.

7.3.2 Prepare a spiking solution containing each of the internal standards using the procedures described in Sections 6.5 and 6.6. It is recommended that the secondary dilution standard be prepared at a concentration of 15 µg/mL of each internal standard compound. The addition of 10 µL of this standard to 5.0 mL of sample or calibration standard would be equivalent to 30 µg/L.

7.3.3 Analyze each calibration standard according to Section 11, adding 10 µL of internal standard spiking solution directly to the syringe (Section 11.4). Tabulate the area response of the characteristic m/z against concentration for each compound and internal standard, and calculate response factors (RF) for each compound using Equation 1.

Equation 1

$$RF = \frac{(A_s) (C_{is})}{(A_{is}) (C_s)}$$

where:

$A_s$  = Area of the characteristic m/z for the parameter to be measured.

$A_{is}$  = Area of the characteristic m/z for the internal standard.

$C_{is}$  = Concentration of the internal standard.

$C_s$  = Concentration of the parameter to be measured.

If the RF value over the working range is a constant (<35% RSD), the RF can be assumed to be invariant and the average RF can be used for calculations. Alternatively, the results can be used to plot a calibration curve of response ratios,  $A_s/A_{is}$ , vs. concentration ratios  $C_s/C_{is}$  \*.

7.4 The working calibration curve or RF must be verified on each working day by the measurement of a QC check sample.

7.4.1 Prepare the QC check sample as described in Section 8.2.2.

7.4.2 Analyze the QC check sample according to the method beginning in Section 10.

7.4.3 For each parameter, compare the response (Q) with the corresponding calibration acceptance criteria found in Table 5. If the responses for all parameters of interest fall within the designated ranges, analysis of actual samples can begin. If any individual Q falls outside the range, proceed according to Section 7.4.4.

*NOTE:* The large number of parameters in Table 5 present a substantial probability that one or more will not meet the calibration acceptance criteria when all parameters are analyzed.

7.4.4 Repeat the test only for those parameters that failed to meet the calibration acceptance criteria. If the response for a parameter does not fall within the range in this second test, a new calibration curve or RF must be prepared for that parameter according to Section 7.3.

## 8. Quality Control

8.1 Each laboratory that uses this method is required to operate a formal quality control program. The minimum requirements of this program consist of an initial demonstration of laboratory capability and an ongoing analysis of spiked samples to evaluate and document data quality. The laboratory must maintain records to

---

\*This equation corrects an error made in the original method publication (49 FR 43234, October 26, 1984). This correction will be formalized through a rulemaking in FY97.

document the quality of data that is generated. Ongoing data quality checks are compared with established performance criteria to determine if the results of analyses meet the performance characteristics of the method. When results of sample spikes indicate atypical method performance, a quality control check standard must be analyzed to confirm that the measurements were performed in an in-control mode of operation.

- 8.1.1 The analyst must make an initial, one-time, demonstration of the ability to generate acceptable accuracy and precision with this method. This ability is established as described in Section 8.2.
  - 8.1.2 In recognition of advances that are occurring in chromatography, the analyst is permitted certain options (detailed in Section 11.1) to improve the separations or lower the cost of measurements. Each time such a modification is made to the method, the analyst is required to repeat the procedure in Section 8.2.
  - 8.1.3 Each day, the analyst must analyze a reagent water blank to demonstrate that interferences from the analytical system are under control.
  - 8.1.4 The laboratory must, on an ongoing basis, spike and analyze a minimum of 5% of all samples to monitor and evaluate laboratory data quality. This procedure is described in Section 8.3.
  - 8.1.5 The laboratory must, on an ongoing basis, demonstrate through the analyses of quality control check standards that the operation of the measurement system is in control. This procedure is described in Section 8.4. The frequency of the check standard analyses is equivalent to 5% of all samples analyzed but may be reduced if spike recoveries from samples (Section 8.3) meet all specified quality control criteria.
  - 8.1.6 The laboratory must spike all samples with surrogate standards to monitor continuing laboratory performance. This procedure is described in Section 8.5.
  - 8.1.7 The laboratory must maintain performance records to document the quality of data that is generated. This procedure is described in Section 8.6.
- 8.2 To establish the ability to generate acceptable accuracy and precision, the analyst must perform the following operations.
- 8.2.1 A quality control (QC) check sample concentrate is required containing each parameter of interest at a concentration of 10 µg/mL in methanol. The QC check sample concentrate must be obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory in Cincinnati, Ohio, if available. If not available from that source, the QC check sample concentrate must be obtained from another external source. If not available from either source above, the QC check sample concentrate must be prepared by the laboratory using stock standards prepared independently from those used for calibration.

- 8.2.2 Prepare a QC check sample to contain 20 µg/L of each parameter by adding 200 µL of QC check sample concentrate to 100 mL of reagent water.
- 8.2.3 Analyze four 5 mL aliquots of the well-mixed QC check sample according to the method beginning in Section 10.
- 8.2.4 Calculate the average recovery ( $\bar{X}$ ) in µg/L, and the standard deviation of the recovery (s) in µg/L, for each parameter of interest using the four results.
- 8.2.5 For each parameter compare s and  $\bar{X}$  with the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision and accuracy, respectively, found in Table 5. If s and  $\bar{X}$  for all parameters of interest meet the acceptance criteria, the system performance is acceptable and analysis of actual samples can begin. If any individual s exceeds the precision limit or any individual  $\bar{X}$  falls outside the range for accuracy, the system performance is unacceptable for that parameter.

*NOTE:* The large number of parameters in Table 5 present a substantial probability that one or more will fail at least one of the acceptance criteria when all parameters are analyzed.

- 8.2.6 When one or more of the parameters tested fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, the analyst must proceed according to Section 8.2.6.1 or 8.2.6.2.
  - 8.2.6.1 Locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all parameters of interest beginning with Section 8.2.3.
  - 8.2.6.2 Beginning with Section 8.2.3, repeat the test only for those parameters that failed to meet criteria. Repeated failure, however, will confirm a general problem with the measurement system. If this occurs, locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all compounds of interest beginning with Section 8.2.3.
- 8.3 The laboratory must, on an ongoing basis, spike at least 5% of the samples from each sample site being monitored to assess accuracy. For laboratories analyzing one to 20 samples per month, at least one spiked sample per month is required.
  - 8.3.1 The concentration of the spike in the sample should be determined as follows:
    - 8.3.1.1 If, as in compliance monitoring, the concentration of a specific parameter in the sample is being checked against a regulatory concentration limit, the spike should be at that limit or one to five times higher than the background concentration determined in Section 8.3.2, whichever concentration would be larger.
    - 8.3.1.2 If the concentration of a specific parameter in the sample is not being checked against a limit specific to that parameter, the spike should be at 20 µg/L or one to five times higher than the background concentration determined in Section 8.3.2, whichever concentration would be larger.

- 8.3.2 Analyze one 5 mL sample aliquot to determine the background concentration (B) of each parameter. If necessary, prepare a new QC check sample concentrate (Section 8.2.1) appropriate for the background concentrations in the sample. Spike a second 5 mL sample aliquot with 10  $\mu$ L of the QC check sample concentrate and analyze it to determine the concentration after spiking (A) of each parameter. Calculate each percent recovery (P) as  $100 (A-B)\%/T$ , where T is the known true value of the spike.
- 8.3.3 Compare the percent recovery (P) for each parameter with the corresponding QC acceptance criteria found in Table 5. These acceptance criteria were calculated to include an allowance for error in measurement of both the background and spike concentrations, assuming a spike to background ratio of 5:1. This error will be accounted for to the extent that the analyst's spike to background ratio approaches 5:1.<sup>7</sup> If spiking was performed at a concentration lower than 20  $\mu$ g/L, the analyst must use either the QC acceptance criteria in Table 5, or optional QC acceptance criteria calculated for the specific spike concentration. To calculate optional acceptance criteria for the recovery of a parameter: (1) Calculate accuracy ( $X'$ ) using the equation in Table 6, substituting the spike concentration (T) for C; (2) calculate overall precision ( $S'$ ) using the equation in Table 6, substituting  $X'$  for  $\bar{X}$ ; (3) calculate the range for recovery at the spike concentration as  $(100 X'/T) \pm 2.44 (100 S'/T)\%$ .<sup>7</sup>
- 8.3.4 If any individual P falls outside the designated range for recovery, that parameter has failed the acceptance criteria. A check standard containing each parameter that failed the criteria must be analyzed as described in Section 8.4.
- 8.4 If any parameter fails the acceptance criteria for recovery in Section 8.3, a QC check standard containing each parameter that failed must be prepared and analyzed.

*NOTE:* The frequency for the required analysis of a QC check standard will depend upon the number of parameters being simultaneously tested, the complexity of the sample matrix, and the performance of the laboratory. If the entire list of parameters in Table 5 must be measured in the sample in Section 8.3, the probability that the analysis of a QC check standard will be required is high. In this case the QC check standard should be routinely analyzed with the spiked sample.

- 8.4.1 Prepare the QC check standard by adding 10  $\mu$ L of QC check sample concentrate (Section 8.2.1 or 8.3.2) to 5 mL of reagent water. The QC check standard needs only to contain the parameters that failed criteria in the test in Section 8.3.
- 8.4.2 Analyze the QC check standard to determine the concentration measured (A) of each parameter. Calculate each percent recovery ( $P_s$ ) as  $100 (A/T)\%$ , where T is the true value of the standard concentration.
- 8.4.3 Compare the percent recovery ( $P_s$ ) for each parameter with the corresponding QC acceptance criteria found in Table 5. Only parameters that failed the test in Section 8.3 need to be compared with these criteria. If the recovery of any such parameter falls outside the designated range, the laboratory performance



for that parameter is judged to be out of control, and the problem must be immediately identified and corrected. The analytical result for that parameter in the unspiked sample is suspect and may not be reported for regulatory compliance purposes.

- 8.5 As a quality control check, the laboratory must spike all samples with the surrogate standard spiking solutions as described in Section 11.4, and calculate the percent recovery of each surrogate compound.
- 8.6 As part of the QC program for the laboratory, method accuracy for wastewater samples must be assessed and records must be maintained. After the analysis of five spiked wastewater samples as in Section 8.3, calculate the average percent recovery ( $\bar{P}$ ) and the standard deviation of the percent recovery ( $s_p$ ). Express the accuracy assessment as a percent recovery interval from  $\bar{P}-2s_p$  to  $\bar{P}+2s_p$ . If  $\bar{P}=90\%$  and  $s_p=10\%$ , for example, the accuracy interval is expressed as 70-110%. Update the accuracy assessment for each parameter a regular basis (e.g., after each 5-10 new accuracy measurements).
- 8.7 It is recommended that the laboratory adopt additional quality assurance practices for use with this method. The specific practices that are most productive depend upon the needs of the laboratory and the nature of the samples. Field duplicates may be analyzed to assess the precision of the environmental measurements. Whenever possible, the laboratory should analyze standard reference materials and participate in relevant performance evaluation studies.

## **9. Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling**

- 9.1 All samples must be iced or refrigerated from the time of collection until analysis. If the sample contains residual chlorine, add sodium thiosulfate preservative (10 mg/40 mL is sufficient for up to 5 ppm  $\text{Cl}_2$ ) to the empty sample bottle just prior to shipping to the sampling site. EPA Methods 330.4 and 330.5 may be used for measurement of residual chlorine.<sup>8</sup> Field test kits are available for this purpose.
- 9.2 Grab samples must be collected in glass containers having a total volume of at least 25 mL. Fill the sample bottle just to overflowing in such a manner that no air bubbles pass through the sample as the bottle is being filled. Seal the bottle so that no air bubbles are entrapped in it. If preservative has been added, shake vigorously for one minute. Maintain the hermetic seal on the sample bottle until time of analysis.
- 9.3 Experimental evidence indicates that some aromatic compounds, notably benzene, toluene, and ethyl benzene are susceptible to rapid biological degradation under certain environmental conditions.<sup>3</sup> Refrigeration alone may not be adequate to preserve these compounds in wastewaters for more than seven days. For this reason, a separate sample should be collected, acidified, and analyzed when these aromatics are to be determined. Collect about 500 mL of sample in a clean container. Adjust the pH of the sample to about 2 by adding 1+1 HCl while stirring vigorously. Check pH with narrow range (1.4-2.8) pH paper. Fill a sample container as described in Section 9.2.
- 9.4 All samples must be analyzed within 14 days of collection.<sup>3</sup>

## 10. Daily GC/MS Performance Tests

- 10.1 At the beginning of each day that analyses are to be performed, the GC/MS system must be checked to see if acceptable performance criteria are achieved for BFB.<sup>9</sup> The performance test must be passed before any samples, blanks, or standards are analyzed, unless the instrument has met the DFTPP test described in Method 625 earlier in the day.<sup>10</sup>
- 10.2 These performance tests require the following instrumental parameters:
- |                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Electron Energy: | 70 V (nominal)   |
| Mass Range:      | 20-260 amu   |
| Scan Time:       | To give at least five scans per peak but not to exceed seven seconds per scan. |
- 10.3 At the beginning of each day, inject 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of BFB solution directly on the column. Alternatively, add 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of BFB solution to 5.0 mL of reagent water or standard solution and analyze the solution according to Section 11. Obtain a background-corrected mass spectrum of BFB and confirm that all the key  $m/z$  criteria in Table 2 are achieved. If all the criteria are not achieved, the analyst must retune the mass spectrometer and repeat the test until all criteria are achieved.

## 11. Sample Purging and Gas Chromatography

- 11.1 Table 1 summarizes the recommended operating conditions for the gas chromatograph. Included in this table are retention times and MDL that can be achieved under these conditions. An example of the separations achieved by this column is shown in Figure 5. Other packed columns or chromatographic conditions may be used if the requirements of Section 8.2 are met.
- 11.2 After achieving the key  $m/z$  abundance criteria in Section 10, calibrate the system daily as described in Section 7.
- 11.3 Adjust the purge gas (helium) flow rate to 40 mL/min. Attach the trap inlet to the purging device, and set the purge and trap system to purge (Figure 3). Open the syringe valve located on the purging device sample introduction needle.
- 11.4 Allow the sample to come to ambient temperature prior to introducing it into the syringe. Remove the plunger from a 5 mL syringe and attach a closed syringe valve. Open the sample bottle (or standard) and carefully pour the sample into the syringe barrel to just short of overflowing. Replace the syringe plunger and compress the sample. Open the syringe valve and vent any residual air while adjusting the sample volume to 5.0 mL. Since this process of taking an aliquot destroys the validity of the sample for future analysis, the analyst should fill a second syringe at this time to protect against possible loss of data. Add 10.0  $\mu\text{L}$  of the surrogate spiking solution (Section 6.7) and 10.0  $\mu\text{L}$  of the internal standard spiking solution (Section 7.3.2) through the valve bore, then close the valve. The surrogate and internal standards may be mixed and added as a single spiking solution.



- 11.5 Attach the syringe-syringe valve assembly to the syringe valve on the purging device. Open the syringe valves and inject the sample into the purging chamber.
- 11.6 Close both valves and purge the sample for  $11.0 \pm 0.1$  minute at ambient temperature.
- 11.7 After the 11-minute purge time, attach the trap to the chromatograph, adjust the purge and trap system to the desorb mode (Figure 4), and begin to temperature program the gas chromatograph. Introduce the trapped materials to the GC column by rapidly heating the trap to  $180^{\circ}\text{C}$  while backflushing the trap with an inert gas between 20 and 60 mL/min. for four minutes. If rapid heating of the trap cannot be achieved, the GC column must be used as a secondary trap by cooling it to  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  (subambient temperature, if problems persist) instead of the initial program temperature of  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$ .
- 11.8 While the trap is being desorbed into the gas chromatograph, empty the purging chamber using the sample introduction syringe. Wash the chamber with two 5 mL flushes of reagent water.
- 11.9 After desorbing the sample for four minutes, recondition the trap by returning the purge and trap system to the purge mode. Wait 15 seconds then close the syringe valve on the purging device to begin gas flow through the trap. The trap temperature should be maintained at  $180^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After approximately seven minutes, turn off the trap heater and open the syringe valve to stop the gas flow through the trap. When the trap is cool, the next sample can be analyzed.
- 11.10 If the response for any  $m/z$  exceeds the working range of the system, prepare a dilution of the sample with reagent water from the aliquot in the second syringe and reanalyze.

## 12. Qualitative Identification

- 12.1 Obtain EICPs for the primary  $m/z$  (Table 4) and at least two secondary masses for each parameter of interest. The following criteria must be met to make a qualitative identification:
  - 12.1.1 The characteristic masses of each parameter of interest must maximize in the same or within one scan of each other.
  - 12.1.2 The retention time must fall within  $\pm 30$  seconds of the retention time of the authentic compound.
  - 12.1.3 The relative peak heights of the three characteristic masses in the EICPs must fall within  $\pm 20\%$  of the relative intensities of these masses in a reference mass spectrum. The reference mass spectrum can be obtained from a standard analyzed in the GC/MS system or from a reference library.
- 12.2 Structural isomers that have very similar mass spectra and less than 30 seconds difference in retention time, can be explicitly identified only if the resolution between authentic isomers in a standard mix is acceptable. Acceptable resolution is achieved if

the baseline to valley height between the isomers is less than 25% of the sum of the two peak heights. Otherwise, structural isomers are identified as isomeric pairs.

### 13. Calculations

- 13.1 When a parameter has been identified, the quantitation of that parameter should be based on the integrated abundance from the EICP of the primary characteristic m/z given in Table 4. If the sample produces an interference for the primary m/z, use a secondary characteristic m/z to quantitate.

Calculate the concentration in the sample using the response factor (RF) determined in Section 7.3.3 and Equation 2.

*Equation 2*

$$\text{Concentration } (\mu\text{g/L}) = \frac{(A_s) (C_{is})}{(A_{is}) (RF)}$$

where:

$A_s$  = Area of the characteristic m/z for the parameter or surrogate standard to be measured.

$A_{is}$  = Area of the characteristic m/z for the internal standard.

$C_{is}$  = Concentration of the internal standard.

- 13.2 Report results in  $\mu\text{g/L}$  without correction for recovery data. All QC data obtained should be reported with the sample results.

### 14. Method Performance

- 14.1 The method detection limit (MDL) is defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the value is above zero.<sup>1</sup> The MDL concentrations listed in Table 1 were obtained using reagent water.<sup>11</sup> Similar results were achieved using representative wastewaters. The MDL actually achieved in a given analysis will vary depending on instrument sensitivity and matrix effects.
- 14.2 This method was tested by 15 laboratories using reagent water, drinking water, surface water, and industrial wastewaters spiked at six concentrations over the range 5-600  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .<sup>12</sup> Single operator precision, overall precision, and method accuracy were found to be directly related to the concentration of the parameter and essentially independent of the sample matrix. Linear equations to describe these relationships are presented in Table 5.

## References

1. 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B.
2. Bellar, T.A. and Lichtenberg, J.J. "Determining Volatile Organics at Microgram-per-Litre Levels by Gas Chromatography," *Journal American Water Works Association*, 66, 739 (1974).
3. Bellar, T.A. and Lichtenberg, J.J. "Semi-Automated Headspace Analysis of Drinking Waters and Industrial Waters for Purgeable Volatile Organic Compounds," Measurement of Organic Pollutants in Water and Wastewater, C.E. Van Hall, editor, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA. Special Technical Publication 686, 1978.
4. "Carcinogens-Working With Carcinogens," Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Publication No. 77-206, August 1977.
5. "OSHA Safety and Health Standards, General Industry," (29 CFR Part 1910), Occupational Safety and Health Administration, OSHA 2206 (Revised, January 1976).
6. "Safety in Academic Chemistry Laboratories," American Chemical Society Publication, Committee on Chemical Safety, 3rd Edition, 1979.
7. Provost, L.P. and Elder, R.S. "Interpretation of Percent Recovery Data," *American Laboratory*, 15, 58-63 (1983). (The value 2.44 used in the equation in Section 8.2.3 is two times the value 1.22 derived in this report.)
8. "Methods 330.4 (Titrimetric, DPD-FAS) and 330.5 (Spectrophotometric, DPD) for Chlorine, Total Residual," Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, EPA-600/4-79-020, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, March 1979.
9. Budde, W.L. and Eichelberger, J.W. "Performance Tests for the Evaluation of Computerized Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry Equipment and Laboratories," EPA-600/4-80-025, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, April 1980.
10. Eichelberger, J.W., Harris, L.E., and Budde, W.L. "Reference Compound to Calibrate Ion Abundance Measurement in Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Systems," *Analytical Chemistry*, 47, 995-1000 (1975).
11. "Method Detection Limit for Methods 624 and 625," Olynyk, P., Budde, W.L., and Eichelberger, J.W. Unpublished report, May 14, 1980.
12. "EPA Method Study 29 EPA Method 624-Purgeables," EPA 600/4-84-054, National Technical Information Service, PB84-209915, Springfield, Virginia 22161, June 1984.

13. "Method Performance Data for Method 624," Memorandum from R. Slater and T. Pressley, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, January 17, 1984.

**Table 1—Chromatographic Conditions and Method Detection Limits**

Parameter	Retention time (min.)	Method detection limit( $\mu$ /L)
Chloromethane	2.3	nd
Bromomethane	3.1	nd
Vinyl chloride	3.8	nd
Chloroethane	4.6	nd
Methylene chloride	6.4	2.8
Trichlorofluoromethane	8.3	nd
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.0	2.8
1,1-Dichloroethane	10.1	4.7
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10.8	1.6
Chloroform	11.4	1.6
1,2-Dichloroethane	12.1	2.8
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	13.4	3.8
Carbon tetrachloride	13.7	2.8
Bromodichloromethane	14.3	2.2
1,2-Dichloropropane	15.7	6.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	15.9	5.0
Trichloroethene	16.5	1.9
Benzene	17.0	4.4
Dibromochloromethane	17.1	3.1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	17.2	5.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	17.2	nd
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	18.6	nd
Bromoform	19.8	4.7
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	22.1	6.9
Tetrachloroethene	22.2	4.1
Toluene	23.5	6.0
Chlorobenzene	24.6	6.0
Ethyl benzene	26.4	7.2
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	33.9	nd
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	35.0	nd
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	35.4	nd

Column conditions: Carbopak B (60/80 mesh) coated with 1% SP-1000 packed in a 6 ft by 0.1 in. ID glass column with helium carrier gas at 30 mL/min. flow rate. Column temperature held at 45°C for three minutes, then programmed at 8°C/min. to 220°C and held for 15 minutes.

nd = Not determined.

**Table 2—BFB Key m/z Abundance Criteria**

Mass	m/z Abundance criteria
50	15-40% of Mass 95.
75	30-60% of Mass 95.
95	Base Peak, 100% Relative Abundance.
96	5-9% of Mass 95.
173	<2% of Mass 174.
174	>50% of Mass 95.
175	5-9% of Mass 174.
176	>95% but 101% of Mass 174.
177	5-9% of Mass 176.

**Table 3—Suggested Surrogate and Internal Standards**

Compound	Retention time (min) <sup>a</sup>	Primary m/z	Secondary masses
Benzene d-6	17.0	84	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	28.3	95	174, 176
1,2-Dichloroethane d-4	12.1	102	
1,4-Difluorobenzene	19.6	114	63, 88
Ethylbenzene d-5	26.4	111	
Ethylbenzene d-10	26.4	98	
Fluorobenzene	18.4	96	70
Pentafluorobenzene	23.5	168	
Bromochloromethane	9.3	128	49, 130, 51
2-Bromo-1-chloropropane	19.2	77	79, 156
1,4-Dichlorobutane	25.8	55	90, 92

<sup>a</sup>For chromatographic conditions, see Table 1.

Table 4—Characteristic Masses for Purgeable Organics

Parameter	Primary	Secondary
Chloromethane . . . . .	50	52.
Bromomethane . . . . .	94	96.
Vinyl chloride . . . . .	62	64.
Chloroethane . . . . .	64	66.
Methylene chloride . . . . .	84	49, 51, and 86.
Trichlorofluoromethane . . . . .	101	103.
1,1-Dichloroethene . . . . .	96	61 and 98.
1,1-Dichloroethane . . . . .	63	65, 83, 85, 98, and 100.
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene . . . . .	96	61 and 98.
Chloroform . . . . .	83	85.
1,2-Dichloroethane . . . . .	98	62, 64, and 100.
1,1,1-Trichloroethane . . . . .	97	99, 117, and 119.
Carbon tetrachloride . . . . .	117	119 and 121.
Bromodichloromethane . . . . .	127	83, 85, and 129.
1,2-Dichloropropane . . . . .	112	63, 65, and 114.
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	75	77.
Trichloroethene . . . . .	130	95, 97, and 132.
Benzene . . . . .	78	. . . . .
Dibromochloromethane . . . . .	127	129, 208, and 206.
1,1,2-Trichloroethane . . . . .	97	83, 85, 99, 132, and 134.
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	75	77.
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether . . . . .	106	63 and 65.
Bromoform . . . . .	173	171, 175, 250, 252, 254, and 256.
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane . . . . .	168	83, 85, 131, 133, and 166.
Tetrachloroethene . . . . .	164	129, 131, and 166.
Toluene . . . . .	92	91.
Chlorobenzene . . . . .	112	114.
Ethyl benzene . . . . .	106	91.
1,3-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	146	148 and 113.
1,2-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	146	148 and 113.
1,4-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	146	148 and 113.

Table 5—Calibration and QC Acceptance Criteria-Method 624<sub>a</sub>

Parameter	Range for Q (µg/L)	Limit for s (µg/L)	Range for $\bar{X}$ (µg/L)	Range for P, P <sub>s</sub> (%)
Benzene . . . . .	12.8 - 27.2	6.9	15.2 - 26.0	37 - 151
Bromodichloromethane . . . . .	13.1 - 26.9	6.4	10.1 - 28.0	35 - 155
Bromoform . . . . .	14.2 - 25.8	5.4	11.4 - 31.1	45 - 169
Bromomethane . . . . .	2.8 - 37.2	17.9	D - 41.2	D - 242
Carbon tetrachloride . . . . .	14.6 - 25.4	5.2	17.2 - 23.5	70 - 140
Chlorobenzene . . . . .	13.2 - 26.8	6.3	16.4 - 27.4	37 - 160
Chloroethane . . . . .	7.6 - 32.4	11.4	8.4 - 40.4	14 - 230
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether . . . . .	D - 44.8	25.9	D - 50.4	D - 305
Chloroform . . . . .	13.5 - 26.5	6.1	13.7 - 24.2	51 - 138
Chloromethane . . . . .	D - 40.8	19.8	D - 45.9	D - 273
Dibromochloromethane . . . . .	13.5 - 26.5	6.1	13.8 - 26.6	53 - 149
1,2-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	12.6 - 27.4	7.1	11.8 - 34.7	18 - 190
1,3-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	14.6 - 25.4	5.5	17.0 - 28.8	59 - 156
1,4-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	12.6 - 27.4	7.1	11.8 - 34.7	18 - 190
1,1-Dichloroethane . . . . .	14.5 - 25.5	5.1	14.2 - 28.5	59 - 155
1,2-Dichloroethane . . . . .	13.6 - 26.4	6.0	14.3 - 27.4	49 - 155
1,1-Dichloroethene . . . . .	10.1 - 29.9	9.1	3.7 - 42.3	D - 234
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene . . . . .	13.9 - 26.1	5.7	13.6 - 28.5	54 - 156
1,2-Dichloropropane . . . . .	6.8 - 33.2	13.8	3.8 - 36.2	D - 210
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	4.8 - 35.2	15.8	1.0 - 39.0	D - 227
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene . . . . .	10.0 - 30.0	10.4	7.6 - 32.4	17 - 183
Ethyl benzene . . . . .	11.8 - 28.2	7.5	17.4 - 26.7	37 - 162
Methylene chloride . . . . .	12.1 - 27.9	7.4	D - 41.0	D - 221
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane . . . . .	12.1 - 27.9	7.4	13.5 - 27.2	46 - 157
Tetrachloroethene . . . . .	14.7 - 25.3	5.0	17.0 - 26.6	64 - 148
Toluene . . . . .	14.9 - 25.1	4.8	16.6 - 26.7	47 - 150
1,1,1-Trichloroethane . . . . .	15.0 - 25.0	4.6	13.7 - 30.1	52 - 162
1,1,2-Trichloroethane . . . . .	14.2 - 25.8	5.5	14.3 - 27.1	52 - 150
Trichloroethene . . . . .	13.3 - 26.7	6.6	18.6 - 27.6	71 - 157
Trichlorofluoromethane . . . . .	9.6 - 30.4	10.0	8.9 - 31.5	17 - 181
Vinyl chloride . . . . .	0.8 - 39.2	20.0	D - 43.5	D - 251

Q = Concentration measured in QC check sample, in µg/L (Section 7.5.3).

s = Standard deviation of four recovery measurements, in µg/L (Section 8.2.4).

$\bar{X}$  = Average recovery of four recovery measurements, in µg/L (Section 8.2.4).

P, P<sub>s</sub> = Percent recovery measured, (Section 8.3.2, Section 8.4.2).

D = Detected; result must be greater than zero.

<sup>a</sup>Criteria were calculated assuming a QC check sample concentration of 20 µg/L.

**NOTE:** These criteria are based directly upon the method performance data in Table 6. Where necessary, the limits for recovery have been broadened to assure applicability of the limits to concentrations below those used to develop Table 6.



**Table 6—Method Accuracy and Precision as Functions of Concentration—Method 624**

Parameter	Accuracy, as recovery, $X'$ ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Single analyst precision, $s_r'$ ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Overall precision, $S'$ ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )
Benzene . . . . .	$0.93C+2.00$	$0.26\bar{X}-1.74$	$0.25\bar{X}-1.33$
Bromodichloromethane . . . . .	$1.03C-1.58$	$0.15\bar{X}+0.59$	$0.20\bar{X}+1.13$
Bromoform . . . . .	$1.18C-2.35$	$0.12\bar{X}+0.36$	$0.17\bar{X}+1.38$
Bromomethane <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.43\bar{X}$	$0.58\bar{X}$
Carbon tetrachloride . . . . .	$1.10C-1.68$	$0.12\bar{X}+0.25$	$0.11\bar{X}+0.37$
Chlorobenzene . . . . .	$0.98C+2.28$	$0.16\bar{X}-0.09$	$0.26\bar{X}-1.92$
Chloroethane . . . . .	$1.18C+0.81$	$0.14\bar{X}+2.78$	$0.29\bar{X}+1.75$
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.62\bar{X}$	$0.84\bar{X}$
Chloroform . . . . .	$0.93C+0.33$	$0.16\bar{X}+0.22$	$0.18\bar{X}+0.16$
Chloromethane . . . . .	$1.03C+0.81$	$0.37\bar{X}+2.14$	$0.58\bar{X}+0.43$
Dibromochloromethane . . . . .	$1.01C-0.03$	$0.17\bar{X}-0.18$	$0.17\bar{X}+0.49$
1,2-Dichlorobenzene <sup>b</sup> . . . . .	$0.94C+4.47$	$0.22\bar{X}-1.45$	$0.30\bar{X}-1.20$
1,3-Dichlorobenzene . . . . .	$1.06C+1.68$	$0.14\bar{X}-0.48$	$0.18\bar{X}-0.82$
1,4-Dichlorobenzene <sup>b</sup> . . . . .	$0.94C+4.47$	$0.22\bar{X}-1.45$	$0.30\bar{X}-1.20$
1,1-Dichloroethane . . . . .	$1.05C+0.36$	$0.13\bar{X}-0.05$	$0.16\bar{X}+0.47$
1,2-Dichloroethane . . . . .	$1.02C+0.45$	$0.17\bar{X}-0.32$	$0.21\bar{X}-0.38$
1,1-Dichloroethene . . . . .	$1.12C+0.61$	$0.17\bar{X}+1.06$	$0.43\bar{X}-0.22$
trans-1,2,-Dichloroethene . . . . .	$1.05C+0.03$	$0.14\bar{X}+0.09$	$0.19\bar{X}+0.17$
1,2-Dichloropropane <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.33\bar{X}$	$0.45\bar{X}$
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.38\bar{X}$	$0.52\bar{X}$
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.25\bar{X}$	$0.34\bar{X}$
Ethyl benzene . . . . .	$0.98C+2.48$	$0.14\bar{X}+1.00$	$0.26\bar{X}-1.72$
Methylene chloride . . . . .	$0.87C+1.88$	$0.15\bar{X}+1.07$	$0.32\bar{X}+4.00$
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane . . . . .	$0.93C+1.76$	$0.16\bar{X}+0.69$	$0.20\bar{X}+0.41$
Tetrachloroethene . . . . .	$1.06C+0.60$	$0.13\bar{X}-0.18$	$0.16\bar{X}-0.45$
Toluene . . . . .	$0.98C+2.03$	$0.15\bar{X}-0.71$	$0.22\bar{X}-1.71$
1,1,1-Trichloroethane . . . . .	$1.06C+0.73$	$0.12\bar{X}-0.15$	$0.21\bar{X}-0.39$
1,1,2-Trichloroethane . . . . .	$0.95C+1.71$	$0.14\bar{X}+0.02$	$0.18\bar{X}+0.00$
Trichloroethene . . . . .	$1.04C+2.27$	$0.13\bar{X}+0.36$	$0.12\bar{X}+0.59$
Trichloroflouromethane . . . . .	$0.99C+0.39$	$0.33\bar{X}-1.48$	$0.34\bar{X}-0.39$
Vinyl chloride . . . . .	$1.00C$	$0.48\bar{X}$	$0.65\bar{X}$

$X'$  = Expected recovery for one or more measurements of a sample containing a concentration of  $C$ , in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

$S_r'$  = Expected single analyst standard deviation of measurements at an average concentration found of  $\bar{X}$ , in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

$S'$  = Expected interlaboratory standard deviation of measurements at an average concentration found of  $\bar{X}$ , in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

$C$  = True value for the concentration, in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

$\bar{X}$  = Average recovery found for measurements of samples containing a concentration of  $C$ , in  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

<sup>a</sup>Estimates based upon the performance in a single laboratory<sup>13</sup>.

<sup>b</sup>Due to chromatographic resolution problems, performance statements for these isomers are based upon the sums of their concentrations.





Figure 1. Purging device.

## PACKING PROCEDURE



## CONSTRUCTION






Figure 2. Trap packings and construction to include desorb capability.



**Figure 3. Purge and trap system - purge mode.**



**Figure 4. Purge and trap system - desorb mode.**

COLUMN: 1% SP-1000 ON CARBOPACK-B  
PROGRAM: 45°C FOR 3 MIN, 8°C/MIN TO 220°C  
DETECTOR: MASS SPECTROMETER




Figure 5. Gas chromatogram of volatile organics.