



Michael J. Yox
Regulatory Affairs Director
Vogtle 3 & 4

7825 River Road
Waynesboro, GA 30830
706-848-6459 tel
410-474-8587 cell
myox@southernco.com

FEB 28 2017

Docket No.: 52-025

ND-17-0274
10 CFR 52.99(c)(1)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3
ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.07d [Index Number 537]

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99(c)(1), this letter is to notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) of the completion of Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3 Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC) Item 2.5.02.07d [Index Number 537] for verification of the Protection and Safety Monitoring System (PMS) control priority. The closure process for this ITAAC is based on the guidance described in NEI 08-01, Industry Guideline for the ITAAC Closure Process under 10 CFR Part 52, which was endorsed by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.215.

This letter contains no new NRC regulatory commitments. Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) requests NRC staff confirmation of this determination and publication of the required notice in the Federal Register per 10 CFR 52.99.

If there are any questions, please contact David Woods at 706-848-6903.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Yox
Regulatory Affairs Director Vogtle 3&4

Enclosure: Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3
Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.07d [Index Number 537]

MJY/BH/amm

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ND-17-0274

Page 2 of 3

To:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company/ Georgia Power Company

Mr. D. A. Bost (w/o enclosures)

Mr. M. D. Rauckhorst (w/o enclosures)

Mr. M. D. Meier

Mr. D. H. Jones (w/o enclosures)

Ms. K. D. Fili

Mr. D. L. McKinney

Mr. M. J. Yox

Mr. D. L. Fulton

Mr. D. F. Woods

Mr. F. H. Willis

Ms. A. L. Pugh

Mr. A. S. Parton

Mr. W. A. Sparkman

Mr. C. E. Morrow

Ms. K. M. Stacy

Mr. J. P. Redd

Ms. A. C. Chamberlain

Mr. D. R. Culver

Document Services RTYPE: VND.LI.L06

File AR.01.02.06

cc:

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. W. Jones (w/o enclosures)

Ms. J. M. Heisserer

Mr. C. P. Patel

Mr. M. E. Ernstes

Mr. G. J. Khouri

Mr. J. D. Fuller

Mr. T. E. Chandler

Ms. S. E. Temple

Ms. P. Braxton

Mr. T. C. Brimfield

Mr. A. J. Lerch

Mr. C. J. Even

Ms. V. L. Ordaz

Oglethorpe Power Corporation

Mr. K. T. Haynes

Mr. R. B. Brinkman

Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia

Mr. J. E. Fuller

Mr. S. M. Jackson

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ND-17-0274

Page 2 of 3

Dalton Utilities

Mr. T. Bundros

WECTEC

Mr. C. A. Castell

Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC

Mr. R. Easterling (w/o enclosures)

Mr. G. Koucheravy (w/o enclosures)

Ms. K. B. Chesko

Mr. J. Hopkins

Mr. D. Hawkins

Mr. C. F. Landon

Mr. M. L. Clyde

Ms. S. DiTommaso

Mr. A. F. Dohse

Other

Mr. J. E. Hesler, *Bechtel Power Corporation*

Ms. L. Matis, *Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.*

Dr. W. R. Jacobs, Jr., Ph.D., *GDS Associates, Inc.*

Mr. S. Roetger, *Georgia Public Service Commission*

Ms. S. W. Kernizan, *Georgia Public Service Commission*

Mr. K. C. Greene, *Troutman Sanders*

Mr. S. Blanton, *Balch Bingham*

**Southern Nuclear Operating Company
ND-17-0274
Enclosure**

**Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 3
Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.07d [Index Number 537]**

ITAAC Statement

Design Commitment:

- 7.d) The PMS ensures that the automatic safety function and the Class 1E manual controls both have priority over the non-Class 1E soft controls.

Inspections, Tests, Analyses:

Type tests, analyses, or a combination of type tests and analyses of the PMS manual control circuits and algorithms will be performed.

Acceptance Criteria:

A report exists and concludes that the automatic safety function and the Class 1E manual controls both have priority over the non-Class 1E soft controls.

ITAAC Determination Basis

Type testing of the Protection and Safety Monitoring System (PMS) manual control circuits and algorithms was performed to demonstrate the automatic safety function and Class 1E manual controls both have priority over the non-Class 1E soft controls.

The PMS function of prioritizing Class 1E controls (both automatic and manual) over non-Class 1E soft controls is performed by the Component Interface Module (CIM), which arbitrates between PMS and the non-safety Instrumentation and Controls (I&C) system, Plant Control System (PLS), demands. The CIM is an integral part of the PMS and receives inputs from the safety (PMS) and non-safety (PLS) systems. The primary function of the CIM is to ensure safety actuations have priority over non-safety actuations and to provide the interface for the actuated devices.

The testing was performed with the CIM fully integrated into the PMS cabinet. The safety actuation (automatic safety function and the Class 1E manual control signal) was generated by PMS. An Ovation suitcase was used to generate the external non-safety (non-Class 1E soft controls) signals as an input to the CIM. The testing was performed as part of PMS System Integration Test in a controlled environment, and is documented in the AP1000 PMS System Integrated Test CIM Priority Test Report (Reference 1).

The type testing performed on the selected CIMs included three different hardware configurations and eleven separate and independent test cases, which encompassed the entirety of the CIM priority logic to verify that safety signals have priority over non-safety signals. Specifically, the type tests showed that when a safety signal was present and a non-safety signal was actuated, the safety signal took priority; and when a non-safety signal was present and a safety signal was actuated, the safety signal overrode the non-safety signal and took priority. During the test, the output of the CIM was monitored to verify that the safety actuation signals continued to have priority over the non-safety signals. In all cases, the test results show that the Class 1E safety signals (both the automatic safety function and the Class 1E manual signals) have priority over non-safety signals (non-Class 1E soft controls) regardless of timing of the actuation.

The results of the PMS CIM priority logic testing are documented in the AP1000 PMS System Integrated Test CIM Priority Test Report (Reference 1) and demonstrate that the PMS ensures that the automatic safety function and the Class 1E manual controls both have priority over the non-Class 1E soft controls.

ITAAC Finding Review

In accordance with plant procedures for ITAAC completion, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) performed a review of all ITAAC findings pertaining to the subject ITAAC and associated corrective actions. This review found that there are no relevant ITAAC findings associated with this ITAAC. The ITAAC completion review document number is included in the Vogtle Unit 3 ITAAC Completion Package for ITAAC 2.5.02.07d (Reference 2) and available for NRC inspection.

ITAAC Completion Statement

Based on the above information, SNC hereby notifies the NRC that ITAAC 2.5.02.07d was performed for VEGP Unit 3 and that the prescribed acceptance criteria are met.

Systems, structures, and components verified as part of this ITAAC are being maintained in their as-designed, ITAAC compliant condition in accordance with approved plant programs and procedures.

References (available for NRC inspection)

1. APP-PMS-T2R-080, Revision 0, "AP1000 Protection and Safety Monitoring System System Integration Test CIM Priority Test Report"
2. SVP_SV0_004719, Attachment 1, "Submittal of Inspections, Test, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC) Completion Package for Unit 3 ITAAC 2.5.02.07d [COL Index Number 537] (PMS Control Priority)"