

## **WCS\_CISFEISCEm Resource**

---

**From:** Larry McFall <mcfallmusic@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:39 PM  
**To:** WCS\_CISFEIS Resource  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023

To the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

Waste Control Specialists' (WCS) has applied for a license to store tons of irradiated nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste from nuclear reactors around the country in Andrews County, Texas. This would, in all probability be an ongoing national disaster. You must reject this crazy scheme.

An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) of WCS's MUST address the DANGERS OF TRANSPORTING TOXIC WASTE on our country's highways, railways and waterways. If approved, deadly waste will be transported through communities, farmland, sensitive natural areas and watersheds throughout the country for 24 years. AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY HAS NOT CONSENTED TO BECOMING A NATIONAL RADIOACTIVE WASTE DUMPING GROUND, destroying their lives and communities. NOT RIGHT!

The EIS for Waste Control Specialists' license application must include all transportation routes and the array of potential impacts of accidents or malicious attacks that could occur along those routes. THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD SHOULD BE EXTENDED FOR 90 MORE DAYS TO ENABLE PARTIES ALONG ALL THESE POTENTIAL ROUTES TO COMMENT.

There needs to be an INDEPENDENT REVIEW of the RISK OF GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION at the site, ESPECIALLY SINCE the entire texas commission on environmental quality radioactive materials recommended denying a license for "low-level" radioactive waste at the waste control specialists site due to the proximity of groundwater.

The EIS has to consider likely IMPACTS FROM ACCIDENTS or acts of malice along transport routes and at the site, including impacts to people, land and water. In-depth research should examine radiation monitoring and cumulative impacts of multiple facilities near the WCS site, site security, engineering adequacy of the storage pad and seismic stresses, and the adequacy of the crane that would move radioactive waste.

The EIS needs to consider the impacts of "INTERIM STORAGE" BECOMING FESTERING PERMANENT DE FACTO DISPOSAL. Take the political pressure off and there is the terrible possibility that the waste might never be disposed of in a scientifically viable geologic repository using a reliable isolation system. The waste would most likely never move again

If you do a real EIS, you will see that the only safe and ethical, sane decision will be to reject WCSs application.

Sincerely, Larry McFall

Larry McFall

95062

**Federal Register Notice:** 81FR79531  
**Comment Number:** 2621

**Mail Envelope Properties** (1834541384.20634.1487878766863.JavaMail.tomcat)

**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID NRC=2016-023  
**Sent Date:** 2/23/2017 2:39:26 PM  
**Received Date:** 2/23/2017 2:59:38 PM  
**From:** Larry McFall

**Created By:** mcfallmusic@comcast.net

**Recipients:**

**Post Office:** vweb40

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2416	2/23/2017 2:59:38 PM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**