

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 2/22/17 2:40 PM
Received: February 21, 2017
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k1-8uv8-4ki5
Comments Due: March 13, 2017
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2016-0231

Waste Control Specialists LLC's Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility Project

**Comment On:** NRC-2016-0231-0005

Environmental Reviews: Waste Control Specialists, LLC; Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility Project

**Document:** NRC-2016-0231-DRAFT-0031

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-01966

11/14/2016

81 FR 79531

(40)

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Dorothy Anderson

**Address:**

125 River St

Weymouth, MA, 02191

**Email:** gramdot@hotmail.com

RECEIVED

2017 FEB 22 PM 2:43

RULES AND REGULATIONS

## General Comment

I am against WCS Spent Fuel Storage Project. First is the matter of getting the nuclear waste to Texas. It is dangerous to ship this material over the road or on water. The region where they will dispose this material is an environmental justice community that will be impacted by any escape of radiation, Poor communities do not have the means to relocate when their environment is poisoned. Dry cask storage is not forever and the company doing the work is not forever either. The NRC needs to put much more research into this type of storage before they authorize nuclear waste moved to any facility.

*SUNSI Review Complete*

*Template = ADN-013*

*E-RIDS = ADN-03*

*Add = J. Park (JRP)*