

50-220



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 3, 1997

Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia
Executive Vice President Generation
Business Group and Chief Nuclear Officer
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Nuclear Learning Center
450 Lake Road
Oswego, NY 13126

SUBJECT: CORE SHROUD REINSPECTION PLANS FOR REFUELING OUTAGE 14 (RFO-14),
NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1, (TAC NO. M97917)

Dear Mr. Sylvia:

The NRC staff has reviewed the reinspection plans submitted by the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) for the repaired core shroud at the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1 (NMP-1). The plans are presented in your letter dated February 7, 1997, as supplemented February 28, 1997.

By letter dated March 31, 1995, the NRC staff issued a safety evaluation (SE) regarding the NMP-1 core shroud stabilizer design. The SE acknowledged that NMPC would address certain issues by the spring 1997 inspection during RFO-14, and that NMPC had committed to submit its plan for reinspection of the core shroud and core shroud repair assemblies following RFO-13. The NRC staff recommended that NMPC perform certain actions to qualify the ultrasonic testing (UT) techniques used to inspect weld H8 and to develop an effective method to locate the segment welds of the top guide support ring. The safety evaluation further noted that NMPC would reinspect all the reported indications on the top side of the H8 weld during the spring 1997 outage.

As stated in the enclosed SE, the NRC staff finds NMPC has adequately addressed these issues by the February 7 letter as supplemented February 28, 1997. However, after completing the inspections for RFO-14, NMPC should evaluate the results of its reinspection of the H8 weld, develop a plan for the next reinspection of this weld, and submit the results and the plan to the NRC staff before the next scheduled refueling outage.

You state that NMPC will conduct shroud inspections in accordance with BWRVIP-07, "Guidelines for Reinspection of BWR Core Shrouds," (EPRI Report TR-105747), dated February 1996, except for the vertical weld inspections to be conducted during the spring 1997 refueling outage. Specifically, you propose to change the BWRVIP criteria for sample expansion because the NMP-1 core shroud has less total length of vertical welds than other typical boiling water reactor plants. As noted in the enclosed SE, the NRC staff has reviewed your proposed change to the BWRVIP's recommendation for conducting reinspection of the vertical welds and finds it to be unacceptable at this

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

1/1 DFO

9703060312 970303
PDR ADOCK 05000220
G PDR



000000

March 3, 1997

B. Ralph Sylvia

- 2 -

time. It is our position that NMPC should conduct its inspections in accordance with the BWRVIP-07 criteria during RFO-14. Although the NRC staff's review of these guidelines has not been completed, our review to date indicates their use is acceptable for the near term while we complete our generic review.

This completes our efforts under TAC No. M97917. If you have questions regarding this letter, contact me by phone at (301) 415-3049, or by electronic mail at dsh@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Darl Hood, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: SE

Docket No. 50-220

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	SVarga	DHood	CCowgill, RI
PUBLIC	JZwolinski	OGC	SLittle
PDI-1 Reading	SBajwa	ACRS	MBanic
DTERao	KWickman		THarris (e-mail TLH3)

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\NMP1\NM197917.LTR

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PH:PDI-1	E	LA:PDI-1	D:PDI-1				
NAME	DHood/rsf		SLittle	SBajwa				
DATE	03/3/97		03/1/97	03/3/97				

Official Record Copy



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for robust data management systems that can handle large volumes of information and provide meaningful insights into the organization's performance.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in enhancing data collection and analysis. It discusses the use of advanced software and hardware solutions to streamline data processing and improve the accuracy of the results.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data collection and analysis. It identifies common issues such as data quality, consistency, and security, and provides strategies to overcome these challenges.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of continuous monitoring and evaluation to ensure that the data collection and analysis process remains effective and efficient over time.

B. Ralph Sylvia

- 2 -

time. It is our position that NMPC should conduct its inspections in accordance with the BWRVIP-07 criteria during RFO-14. Although the NRC staff's review of these guidelines has not been completed, our review to date indicates their use is acceptable for the near term while we complete our generic review.

This completes our efforts under TAC No. M97917. If you have questions regarding this letter, contact me by phone at (301) 415-3049, or by electronic mail at dsh@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,



Darl Hood, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: SE

Docket No. 50-220

cc w/encl: See next page



B. Ralph Sylvia
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

cc:

Mr. Richard B. Abbott
Vice President and General Manager -
Nuclear
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Martin J. McCormick, Jr.
Vice President
Nuclear Safety Assessment
and Support
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Ms. Denise J. Wolniak
Manager Licensing
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Kim A. Dahlberg
General Manager - Projects
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Norman L. Rademacher
Plant Manager, Unit 1
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 126
Lycoming, NY 13093

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
Unit No. 1

Charles Donaldson, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
New York Department of Law
120 Broadway
New York, NY 10271

Mr. Paul D. Eddy
State of New York
Department of Public Service
Power Division, System Operations
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

Mr. F. William Valentino, President
New York State Energy, Research,
and Development Authority
Corporate Plaza West
286 Washington Avenue Extension
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Gary D. Wilson, Esquire
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, NY 13202

Supervisor
Town of Scriba
Route 8, Box 382
Oswego, NY 13126

Warren Bilanin, EPRI Task Manager
3412 Hillview Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Robin Dyle, Technical Chairman
BWRVIP Assessment Task
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Post Office Box 236
40 Inverness Center Parkway
Birmingham, AL 35201

