



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 11, 1996

Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia  
Executive Vice President Generation  
Business Group and Chief Nuclear Officer  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nuclear Learning Center  
450 Lake Road  
Oswego, NY 13126

SUBJECT: DRAFT NUREG-1560, "INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION PROGRAM:  
PERSPECTIVES ON REACTOR SAFETY AND PLANT PERFORMANCE, SUMMARY  
REPORT," - REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Sylvia:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a draft of "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance, Summary Report," NUREG-1560. Draft NUREG-1560 is comprised of two volumes. Volume 1 (Part 1) provides an overall summary of the key perspectives. Volume 2 (Parts 2 through 5) provides a more in-depth discussion of the perspectives summarized in Part 1. This report summarizes the insights and findings from our review of the Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) submitted to the NRC in response to Generic Letter 88-20. A copy of this draft NUREG was recently mailed to your organization for review and comment. The distribution to your organization included: the Plant Managers of the nuclear plants for which you are responsible, the licensee-identified contacts at those plants, and a nuclear executive. Notification of the availability of the NUREG for public comment was also published in the Federal Register on November 14, 1996 (61 FR 58249).

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your personal attention the availability of this report. We would appreciate any comments you may have as discussed below; however, any response to this letter is voluntary. If you require an additional copy of the report, you may request one by writing to Distribution Series, Printing and Mail Services Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Draft NUREG-1560 is based entirely on docketed information submitted to the NRC in conjunction with the IPE program. NUREG-1560 is published as a draft for comment, partly because the staff recognizes that most licensees have updated their IPEs and have made hardware and procedural/operational modifications that have not been reflected in the docketed information. As part of any comments on Part 1, updated information regarding your IPE(s) would be appreciated.

Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of NUREG-1560 discusses the potential need for followup regulatory activities by the NRC based on IPE insights. Comments on those activities would also be helpful.

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

7612180153 761211  
PDR ADOCK 05000220  
P PDR

1/0  
D-F/2

96-108

MP



100

100

identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

original signed by A. Thadani for

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No: 50-220  
and 50-410

cc: See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\NMIPENUR.LTR

\*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	PDI13/PH*	PH:PDI-1	LA:PDI-1	RES/PRAB/C*	PD:PDI-1	R:DRPE	ADPR*	NRR/D
NAME	RHernan	DHood:cdw	SLittle	MCunningham	SBajwa	SVarga	RZimmerman	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96	12/10/96	12/10/96	11/12/96	12/10/96	12/11/96	11/22/96	12/11/96



B. Sylvia

- 2 -

identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.


The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,



Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos: 50-220  
and 50-410

cc: See next page



B. Ralph Sylvia  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
Unit No. 1

cc:

Mr. Richard B. Abbott  
Vice President and General Manager -  
Nuclear  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Charles Donaldson, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
New York Department of Law  
120 Broadway  
New York, NY 10271

Mr. Martin J. McCormick, Jr.  
Vice President  
Nuclear Safety Assessment  
and Support  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Paul D. Eddy  
State of New York  
Department of Public Service  
Power Division, System Operations  
3 Empire State Plaza  
Albany, NY 12223

Mr. F. William Valentino, President  
New York State Energy, Research,  
and Development Authority  
Corporate Plaza West  
286 Washington Avenue Extension  
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Ms. Denise J. Wolniak  
Manager Licensing  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire  
Winston & Strawn  
1400 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Mr. Kim A. Dahlberg  
General Manager - Projects  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093


Gary D. Wilson, Esquire  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
300 Erie Boulevard West  
Syracuse, NY 13202

Mr. Norman L. Rademacher  
Plant Manager, Unit 1  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Supervisor  
Town of Scriba  
Route 8, Box 382  
Oswego, NY 13126

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 126  
Lycoming, NY 13093





DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File

PUBLIC

PDI-1 Reading

SVarga

JZwolinski

SBajwa

SLittle

DHood

OGC

ACRS

LDoerflein, RGN-I

RHernan

MDrouin

