November 21, 1994



Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia Executive Vice President, Nuclear Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, New York 13093

Dear Mr. Sylvia:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE TO REVISE THE PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE LIMITS FOR NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT NO. 1 (NMP-1) (TAC NO. M90288)

By letter dated September 1, 1994, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC), proposed a license amendment to revise the pressure-temperature limits for the NMP-1 reactor vessel.

The NRC staff has begun its review of NMPC's September 1, 1994, submittal. However, we have determined that additional information, as identified in the enclosure, is required to complete our review of the submittal. As indicated in the attached request for additional information (RAI), additional information is required regarding the calculation of the proposed pressuretemperature limits for the NMP-1 reactor vessel. NMPC is requested to respond to this RAI within 30 days of receipt of this letter in order for us to complete our review in a timely manner.

This requirement affects one respondent and, therefore, is not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

/s/

Donald S. Brinkman, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-220

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

✓Docket-Eile PUBLIC PDI-1 Reading SVarga JZwolinski MCase CVogan DBrinkman AWilford

JStrosnider OGC ACRS (4) CCowgill, RGN-I

DOCUMENT NAME: H:\NMP1\NM190288.LTR

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E", = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDI-1	PM:PDI-1	É (A)D:PDI-1	2			
NAME	CVogan 🗘	DBrinkman:cn	$MCase N^{\vee}$				
DATE	11/21/94	11/21/94 /	4 ²⁵ 11/2/94				
(7411280241 741121) OFFICIAL RECORD COPY							
PDR	ADDCK 05000220				DPD		

4

·

> v ≯ _____

Å

. *

119

Mr. B. Ralph Sylvia Executive Vice President, Nuclear Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, New York 13093

Dear Mr. Sylvia:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE TO REVISE THE PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE LIMITS FOR NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT NO. 1 (NMP-1) (TAC NO. M90288)

By letter dated September 1, 1994, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC), proposed a license amendment to revise the pressure-temperature limits for the NMP-1 reactor vessel.

The NRC staff has begun its review of NMPC's September 1, 1994, submittal. However, we have determined that additional information, as identified in the enclosure, is required to complete our review of the submittal. As indicated in the attached request for additional information (RAI), additional information is required regarding the calculation of the proposed pressuretemperature limits for the NMP-1 reactor vessel. NMPC is requested to respond to this RAI within 30 days of receipt of this letter in order for us to complete our review in a timely manner.

This requirement affects one respondent and, therefore, is not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

/s/

Donald S. Brinkman, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-220

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	MCase	JStrosnider
PUBLIC	CVogan	OGC
PDI-1 Reading	DBrinkman	ACRS (4)
SVarga	AWilford	CCowaili. RGN-I
JZwolinski		

DOCUMENT NAME: H:\NMP1\NM190288.LTR

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E", = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDI-1	E	PM:PDI-1	Ë	(A)D:PDI-1	2		· ·	
NAME	CVogan		DBrinkman	:cn _A ,	MCase N				
DATE	11/21/94		11/21/94	PAR	11/2/ /94				
			••••••	OFFIC		<u>nnv</u>			•

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

· · · · · ·

.

``

,

.

· , · · · ·

B. Ralph Sylvia Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

cc:

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-3502

Supervisor Town of Scriba Route 8, Box 382 Oswego, NY 13126

Mr. Louis F. Storz Vice President - Nuclear Generation Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 126 Lycoming, NY 13093

Gary D. Wilson, Esquire Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation 300 Erie Boulevard West Syracuse, NY 13202

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Ms. Donna Ross New York State Energy Office 2 Empire State Plaza 16th Floor Albany, NY 12223 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit No. 1

Mr. Richard B. Abbott Unit 1 Plant Manager Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. David K. Greene Manager Licensing Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093

Charles Donaldson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General New York Department of Law 120 Broadway New York, NY 10271

Mr. Paul D. Eddy State of New York Department of Public Service Power Division, System Operations 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Mr. Martin J. McCormick, Jr. Vice President Nuclear Safety Assessment and Support Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093 ±

·

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

REGARDING PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE REACTOR VESSEL PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE LIMITS

NIAGARA_MOHAWK_POWER_CORPORATION

NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-220

1. <u>Scope/Status of Review</u>

The fracture toughness requirements for ferritic materials in the pressure-retaining components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary are specified for testing and operational conditions, including anticipated operational occurrences, in Section IV of Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50. This appendix requires the acceptance and performance criteria of Appendix G of Section III of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code). Pressure-temperature calculation procedures are described in Appendix G of the ASME Code. Changes in the fracture-toughness properties of materials in the beltline region, resulting from neutron irradiation and the thermal environment, are monitored by a surveillance program in compliance to the requirements of Appendix H of 10 CFR Part 50. The effect of neutron fluence on the shift in the nil-ductility temperature of pressure vessel steel is predicted by Regulatory Guide 1.99 (RG 1.99), "Effect of Residual Elements on Predicted Radiation Damage to Reactor Vessel Materials." The licensee, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, has prepared an application for amendment to the operating license in order to revise TS 3.2.2, "Minimum Reactor Vessel Temperature for Pressurization."

2. Additional Information Required

Based on the review of the licensee's submittal, the NRC staff has concluded that the following information and/or clarification is required to complete the review of the amendment request:

A. For the surveillance plate material, Criteria 1 of RG 1.99, Rev. 2 was not met because the limiting material (upper plate G-307-4) is not the surveillance material. Criteria 3 was not met because the method described in Regulatory Position 2.1 was not used to obtain the best-fit line of the plant specific data.. Verify and provide the basis for determining that the surveillance data are credible.

Enclosure

•

•

. • n

*

B. For equation (2-2) on Page 5 of the submittal (calculation of ΔRT_{ndt} for the beltline plate material);

(1) Identify all raw data used to arrive at this equation,

- C. Figure 2-1 on Page 11 of the submittal compares the RG 1.99, Rev. 2 model with the plant specific ΔRT_{model} model. For each data point:
 - (1) Provide the copper and nickel content,
 - (2) Identify the plant from which each data point was obtained, and
 - (3) Identify which data were not used in development of the curve.
- D. Provide the basis and data used to conclude that "... most BWRs operate at fluences below the fluence threshold for significant Cu precipitation." (Page 3 of submittal)
- E. Provide the basis for using a margin of 17 °F as opposed to 34 °F as specified in RG 1.99 in the calculation of the adjusted reference temperature for the beltline plates.
- F. Provide applicable information, with respect to questions 1-6 above, regarding the beltline welds.

ئى . 1

.

•

)

4.

,

.