## NOTATION VOTE

cc: Stello

Taylor

## RESPONSE SHEET

FYI:

Beckjord, RES

MDey, RES

TRehm

T0:

SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM:

COMMISSIONER CARR

SUBJECT:

SECY-88-142 - STAFF PLAN AND SCHEDULE FOR PROPOSED

RULEMAKING FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF NUCLEAR POWER

**PLANTS** 

NRC-SECY FORM May, 1987

APPROVED XX W/comme	DISAPPROVED		ABSTAIN _	······································
NOT PARTICIPATING	·———	REQUEST DIS	CUSSION _	

## **COMMENTS:**

I agree with Commissioner Rogers' vote on this matter. I approve staff's plan to develop a maintenance rule modified to reflect a November 1, 1988, date for submission of the proposed rule to the Commission, and an August 1, 1989, date for submission of the final package to the Commission.

This slip from our earlier date for the proposed rule of August 1, 1988, is unfortuante, but I recognize we have asked for a lot in a relatively short period of time. The EDO should manage this rulemaking effort carefully to ensure no further delays are requested.

Finally, I note on the top of page 2 of the paper the phrase "however, specific requirements may vary commensurate with their safety significance." I do not agree that this meets the intent of the "one standard approach" to maintenance expressed in our Policy, and therefore this concept does not fall within the framework of the Policy Statement.

	Karnettom. Carr	
er was a second of the second	SIGNATURE	
7305070301 711031 PDR ADDCK 05000410 S PDR	4. % 88	
•	DATE	
<u>YES</u> <u>NO</u>		
ENTERED ON "AS" / / / /	0301	

