## NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

CC: Stello

Taylor

FYI: Beckjord, RES

MDey, RES TRehm

-	•	_	
	П	П	

SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM:

COMMISSIONER ROGERS

SUBJECT:

SECY-88-142 - STAFF PLAN AND SCHEDULE FOR PROPOSED

RULEMAKING FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF NUCLEAR POWER

**PLANTS** 

•				
APPROVED DIS	SAPPROVED XX KCP	ABSTAIN		
NOT PARTICIPATING	REQUEST DIS	SCUSSION		
COMMENTS:	P	•		
I find the schedule for Management The staff should review a process to produce a fina Commission by no later the	again the schedule and al package for present	accelerate the		
This SECY does not present a compelling case for a twenty-month process for development of a final rule with supporting NUREG for submission to the Commission.				
In my opinion, some of the activities presently scheduled as "front-end" could be treated as confirmatory "back-end" activities, thereby reducing the schedule. The periods for Review of Comments and Finalization of a NUREG could be reduced by several months. Development of a draft Rule for presentation to the Commission by November 1, 1988 rather than December 1, 1988 should be possible as should presentation of a final package to the Commission by August 1, 1989.				

PDR ADDCK 05000410

1200 6, 1888 DATE

YES NO

ENTERED ON "AS" / / /

apos07029\$

SIGNATUREJ

• • The second secon