07-118A-91 ORIGINAL OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency: Nuclear Regulatory Commission Incident Investigation Team Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant Title:

Interview of: BOB SPOONER

Docket No.

Ű

61

435

Scriba, New York LOCATION:

DATE: Sunday, August 18, 1991

60237 911031 ADDCK 05000410

PDR

9305060237

PAGES: 1 - 27

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950.

9305060237

۱ ۱

• • •

. .

.

• • •

•

P

e 6

٩ì

Exhibit 3-1	(continued)	-3-
ADDEND	UM TO INTE	RVIEW OF BUB Spooner (So) (Name/Position)
Page	Line	Correction and Reason for Correction
/8	2	1986, AUGUST OF 86' THAT IS WHEN I OPSTAINED MY
<u>18</u>	4-5	MARCH 1984 TO AUGUST OF 1986 APPROXIMATELY 21/2 YEARS
·····		
		A
		······································
•	, 	
	······	
a		
Page <u>/ of</u>	<u>/</u> Signature	Date <u>8 /22/9/</u>

.

an sa thair An Ballanan Agenta an thair an

x 3

W. . . P

+

¥

۹.

<u>ر</u>ه

β

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	INCIDENT INVESTIGATION TEAM
4	
5	
6	Interview of :
7	BOB SPOONER :
8	(Closed) :
9	
10	
11	Conference Room B
12	Administration Building
13	Nine Mile Point Nuclear
14	Power Plant, Unit Two
15	Lake Road
16	Scriba, New York 13093
17	Sunday, August 18, 1991
18	The interview commenced, pursuant to notice,
19	at 3:15 p.m.
20	PRESENT FOR THE IIT:
21	John Kauffman, NRC
22	Michael Jordan, NRC
23	William Vatter, INPO
24	PRESENT WITH MR. DENNY:
25	Jerry Helker, Niagara Mohawk

1

*



.

,

.

t

PROCEEDINGS

4

1 2 [3:15 p.m.] 3 MR. KAUFFMAN: It's August 18, 1991, 3:15 p.m. We're at Nine Mile Point, Unit Two, admin building, to 4 conduct an interview with Bob Spooner in his involvement 5 6 with the August 13, 1991, event at Nine Mile Point, Unit 7 My name is John Kauffman, and I'm with NRC/AEOD. Two. MR. VATTER: I'm Bill Vatter. I work for INPO. 8 MR. JORDAN: My name is Michael Jordan. 9 I'm with 10 the NRC. 11 MR. SPOONER: My name is Bob Spooner. I'm a 12 licensed reactor operator at Nine Mile Two. 13 MR. HELKER: Jerry Helker, general supervisor, Nine Mile Two, here at Bob Spooner's request. 14 15 MR. KAUFFMAN: Bob, I'd like to start by having 16 you tell us when you came into the control room -- I think it was during the event -- what you saw, and the activities 17 18 that you saw others doing, and then the involvement you had 19 in the activities that morning. 20 Okay. I understand you want me to MR. SPOONER: start from the time I arrived in the control room, or would 21 22 you rather hear from on site? 23 MR. KAUFFMAN: Your choice. 24 Okay. MR. SPOONER: MR. VATTER: Why don't you tell it from when it 25

ې

.

•

,

· ·

1 started to seem that things were not like they usually are.

The first thing I noticed, which 2 MR. SPOONER: 3 really didn't trigger anything, was when I came in. Ι normally come in through the cardox room, elevation 261, and 4 I have access to the control building elevator, which takes 5 you up to the control room level. There was reduced 6 It didn't seem abnormal at the time, 7 lighting in that area. 8 because there was still lighting available. I called for the elevator; when the elevator arrived, it was pitch dark 9 10 in the elevator; there were no lights at all in the 11 elevator, although the elevator was operational.

12 I took the elevator up to control room. I entered 13 the back of the control room, came up through the center of 14 I think the first person I saw was the CSO. the panels. 15 Some comments were made about a reactor scram. The unit had 16 tripped off line. There were no annunciators available. Ι proceeded to the back of the control room, just to monitor 17 the activities and stay out of the way. The SROs were in 18 19 the control room at the time. There were three or four 20 reactor operators at the panels doing various things at the 21 direction of the senior reactor operators.

I overheard comments about, the 1-series uninterruptable power supplies were not available. I monitored the control room activities for probably a couple of minutes. I proceeded across the hall to the break area.

. .

I obtained my hard hat and safety shoes because I figured they would need additional help, whether it was in the plant or in the control room.

I met another operator in the hallway, Mike Garbus. I told him that things weren't going well in the control room. We went back into the control room.

MR. VATTER: Mike is another oncoming operator.
MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

9 MR. VATTER: He was just getting there. 10 MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

17

We both were in the back of the control room. We did not perform any manipulations in the control room at this time. We were there probably a couple minutes. We both then proceeded out of the control room, and we were going to go down to the location of the UPS's, 1-Alpha, Bravo, Charlie, and Delta.

MR. VATTER: Excuse me. Before we go any further, could you try to fix some part of that sequence that you just gave us in time. Do you know what time it was you came into the control room?

21 MR. SPOONER: I'm not sure of the exact times --22 somewhere between 0600, 0610, somewhere in that time frame. 23 That's a guess.

24 MR. KAUFFMAN: One of the things we're trying to 25 do is keep our time line straight here. Normally in an

I a⊷ , \$i

•

× • х **ж** .

· · · · · · ·

.

event investigation, you have all kinds of alarm printers. 1 2 Right. The security computer was MR. SPOONER: 3 operational, as I was able to card in and out. 4 MR. KAUFFMAN: Right. 5 I'm not sure whether you can obtain MR. SPOONER: entry and exit times from that computer, if you needed to. 6 7 MR. KAUFFMAN: Sure. 8 MR. SPOONER: We proceeded out the back of the 9 control room, down the corridor, to the southwest control building stairwell. When we opened the door to the 10 11 stairwell, it was dark. There were no lights at all in the stairwell. 12 13 We cautiously proceeded down the stairwell to the 14 261 elevation. 15 MR. VATTER: Did you have flashlights? 16 MR. SPOONER: No, I did not. 17 If you had had one, would you have MR. VATTER: used it? 18 19 MR. SPOONER: Yes. 20 MR. KAUFFMAN: You were going down there because 21 you thought it needed to be done, or you had been directed 22 to go down there. 23 We were going down to the UPS 1-MR. SPOONER: series, right, because there was no power available. 24 25 MR. KAUFFMAN: And you were directed to do that,

5

ų,

--

ι .

.

1

.

1 or you just thought it needed to be done?

N)

2 MR. SPOONER: We saw it was needed to be done. 3 When we got to 261, we went to the locker room and obtained a couple flashlights. We then proceeded into the 4 5 normal switch gear building. We did some cursory checks of the switch gears. We knew the UPS 1-series received power 6 7 through normal distribution. We had looked at a few 8 breakers that we knew supplied power to the UPS's. All the breakers that we looked at were closed and had no trips in 9 10 on them.

11 We then proceeded to the 237 elevation from normal switch gear, from 261. Again, the stairwell was dark, but 12 13 this time we had flashlights. We proceeded into the room 14 where the UPS's are located, the 1-series. There were 15 several operators in the area, one licensed operator, Dave 16 He informed us that he had attempted to start the Hanczyk. uninterruptable power supplies per the procedure with no 17 18 success. Mike Garbus and myself proceeded to the Alpha 19 unit, UPS-1-Alpha --

20 MR. VATTER: Is that in the room there? 21 MR. SPOONER: Where there are four of them. 22 That's correct. It's the room we went down to to look at, 23 the big group of us.

24 MR. VATTER: Okay.

25

MR. SPOONER: We had two alarms in on the unit.

•

ŗ 4 ٨ •

.

. , , (, **F** (- x

All the breakers indicated open on the logic mimic. We
 looked at a couple of the breakers on the UPS itself. The
 breakers were not in a trip-free condition; in other words,
 they were just in the open position.

5 MR. VATTER: Do you remember which ones those 6 were?

MR. SPOONER: No, I don't.

8 MR. VATTER: Did you have the cabinet doors open? 9 MR. SPOONER: Yes, we opened the cabinet doors. 10 MR. VATTER: There are like one, two, three, four 11 across, as you look at them from the right.

12 MR. SPOONER: Right.

\$

7

25

MR. VATTER: Do you remember the location of those breakers that you saw were open, whether they were on the left side or on the right side?

MR. SPOONER: I couldn't say for sure. We looked
at a couple of them.

18 MR. VATTER: They were fully to the off position. 19 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. The ones we looked 20 at were. I know for certain that the CB-4 breaker was fully 21 off, because ultimately that would be the one that we closed 22 down.

23 MR. VATTER: That's the one that's covered up with 24 that motor operator.

MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

,

and the second second

ι.

• •

4

1 .

<u>,</u>2

4

.

•

,

٩

.

I guess 3 and 4 are both covered up 1 MR. VATTER: 2 with motor operators, aren't they? That sounds right. 3 MR. SPOONER: 4 MR. VATTER: Okay. 5 MR. SPOONER: Looking at the mimic, I said we determined that all the breakers were open. 6 7 MR. VATTER: That's from that little --MR. SPOONER: The little logic mimic that has 8 9 indicator lights on it. 10 MR. VATTER: Yes. 11 We had a short discussion with Dave MR. SPOONER: Hanczyk, in which he reiterated that he was unable to 12 13 restart any UPS's and that the procedure requirement for the section that he was in required that the UPS maintenance 14 15 supply was energized, which it was not. 16 MR. VATTER: Did he indicate to you that he didn't 17 do anything because he didn't have the maintenance supply 18 energized, or did he indicate that he tried by operating some switches or breakers or something to get it to work? 19 20 MR. SPOONER: His words were that he did not have 21 any success trying to restart the UPS's. 22 I don't know if he stopped when he got to the 23 procedural step that required him to have the CB4 breaker 24 closed in or if he tried to continue on in the procedure. I

25 am not sure of that.

4

8 -

, .

•

.

.

ji.

I had a discussion with Dave Hanczyk. I recommended that we just go ahead and override and close in these maintenance supply breakers.

ų,

25

You recommended that to Dave? 4 MR. VATTER: 5 Right, and Mike Garbus was in the MR. SPOONER: Based on what we saw we didn't see any breakers in a 6 area. 7 trip-free conditions. We knew that all five UPS's were not 8 doing their job. They were not energizing the critical 9 That didn't make any sense based on our knowledge of buses. 10 how these things operate. They each have three power 11 supplies and their logic is set up such that they are going 12 to make every attempt to get some sort of power onto that 13 critical bus, okay?

The fact that all five of them were not doing their job we deduced in our own minds that more than likely there was not a physical electrical fault on five critical buses. We determined that it was appropriate to re-energize those buses by overriding the UPS logic.

19 MR. VATTER: Okay. How did you do that? 20 · MR. SPOONER: We had to --21 Did you have a procedure for that? MR. VATTER: 22 MR. SPOONER: No. 23 Is there a procedure that tells you MR. VATTER: 24 how to do that?

MR. SPOONER: My understanding is presently there

pl

1¹ .

*

.

,

di,

is not. There is not a section of the procedure that
 directs you to override these breakers.

Okay.

MR. VATTER:

4

3

MR. SPOONER: Several of the operators in the area have done it before during the startup program so we knew what we had to do to get these maintenance breakers shut.

7 MR. VATTER: Are you one of those guys that knew 8 it from the startup program?

9 MR. SPOONER: I knew that it could be done. I 10 knew that there was a way to just remove the actuator from 11 CB4 and that there would be a manual, just a circuit breaker 12 there that could be manually closed.

Other operators in the area knew how to remove that actuator. There is a latch mechanism underneath it and it swings out like a door. We did that. We opened the doors or removed the mechanisms from the breakers. The breakers were not in a trip-free condition. They were actually opened and we closed the maintenance bus power supply.

20 MR. VATTER: Did somebody there show you how to 21 move that motor operator off the breaker?

22 MR. SPOONER: Yes.

23 MR. VATTER: Who was that?

24 MR. SPOONER: I'm not sure. I think it was Jim25 Stevens.

· ·

, ,

•

1 f

MR. VATTER: Okay. Then what happened when you
 closed the breaker?

22

Simultaneously there was several 3 MR. SPOONER: 4 other operators in the area. We had closed all four breakers 5 for the associated Alpha, Bravo, Charlie, Delta, UPS 1's and then proceeded to the Gaitronics, called the control room 6 and asked the individual on the other end of the line 7 8 whether they had regained annunciators and control room 9 indications. The feedback I got was that yes, they had.

10 They asked for my name. I gave it to them. Then 11 we proceeded, I proceeded back to the control room.

MR. VATTER: Who do you think was in charge in the room there with the UPS's or were they just kind of doing their own thing or was somebody giving direction?

MR. SPOONER: I got the impression when I arrived that Dave Hanczyk was in charge. He had the procedure in his hand.

MR. VATTER: Did you take over since you are -MR. SPOONER: No, I did not. I wouldn't say that
I took over. Dave was the -- he was the on-shift of record
licensed reactor operator, one of the shift of record.

22 MR. VATTER: So you recommended to Dave and Dave 23 made the decision to do this?

24 MR. SPOONER: That sounds right. I guess we were 25 in concurrence. I don't know as you could say one person

1 g

.

,

1 made the decision.

Ň3

MR. VATTER: You talked about it. 2 MR. SPOONER: We talked -- the three reactor 3 operators discussed it, right, and we were all in agreement 4 5 that that was the avenue to take. MR. VATTER: Now the other reactor operator was? 6 MR. SPOONER: Mike Garbus, myself, Dave Hanczyk. 7 MR. VATTER: Okay, so then the control room told 8 9 you what to do on the Gaitronics? MR. SPOONER: We re-energized the four critical 10 buses. 11 12 MR. VATTER: In that room? In that room. That restored the 13 MR. SPOONER: Gaitronics. We then communicated to the control room what we 14 15 had done. MR. VATTER: What did they tell you to do? 16 MR. SPOONER: They did not tell us -- there was no 17 18 direction given. 19 MR. VATTER: Okay, so what did you do? MR. SPOONER: I proceeded back to the control 20 21 room. 22 Okay. Did everybody come up then or MR. VATTER: did they do other things? 23 MR. SPOONER: Mike Garbus returned to the control 24 room with me. I am not sure -- I can't account for the 25

.

۰ ۰

--

,

1 other people in the area.

2 Okay, so then what did you do? MR. VATTER: Arrived in the control room. I made 3 MR. SPOONER: 4 a report to the Station Shift Supervisor, Mike Conway, to 5 explain to him exactly what the configuration was at the UPS 1 series, to make him understand that the maintenance 6 7 breakers were closed in and that they were manually 8 overridden.

9

ζ,

ί.

MR. VATTER: Go ahead.

MR. SPOONER: Okay. I then remained in the control room. I stood back to monitor what was going on to get a feel for the direction that the SSS was taking and the shift operators.

One of the first things I was asked to do was make the emergency announcement for site area emergency and I did that.

MR. VATTER: Do you remember what time it was?
MR. SPOONER: No, I do not.

19MR. VATTER: Who was keeping a log? Or maybe20nobody was keeping a log.

21 MR. SPOONER: I am not sure who was keeping the 22 log. I know the log was being maintained.

23 MR. VATTER: You made an announcement on the 24 Gaitronics?

25

MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

· ·

• . · · · ·

' м м .

MR. VATTER: For site area emergency? 1 2 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. MR. VATTER: Is the Gaitronics the only public 3 address system that you have available? It's not something 4 you can dial up with the telephones? 5 6 MR. SPOONER: Can you rephrase that? 7 MR. VATTER: Yes, I'll try. Is there any other way to make a public address type announcement besides using 8 the Gaitronics? 9 MR. SPOONER: Okay, the Gaitronics is just for the 10 site. 11 12 MR. VATTER: I understand that. 13 MR. SPOONER: It's the speaker system. 14 MR. VATTER: Yes. Is there another --15 MR. SPOONER: It's the only system that is 16 available to broadcast to the entire site. 17 MR. VATTER: That's what I wanted to know. 18 It has two redundant systems within MR. SPOONER: 19 itself but because all the UPS's, the 1 series, were down 20 both whatever you call it -- the Blue and the Red system I believe -- they were both de-energized. 21 22 MR. KAUFFMAN: It is my understanding that you 23 made the announcement on Unit Two and that previously they had called over to Unit One and had Unit One make the 24 announcement on Gaitronics. 25

. ۰. ۱

MR. SPOONER: I obtained that information also at a later time that the information was -- right, like you say, the announcement was made at Unit One but even in the merge mode that did not transmit at Unit Two because we had no power.

When I made the announcement I merged so in effect Unit One made that announcement twice, myself making it the second time.

9 MR. KAUFFMAN: Did you get any further assignments 10 after the site area emergency and do any further activities? 11 MR. SPOONER: As I progressed through this thing 12 the plant conditions were stabilized, verified. I was asked 13 to follow along with the cool-down and stabilization of the 14 plant with the normal shutdown procedure, which would be OP-

15 101 Charlie.

25

¢

We obtained a working copy of that and I proceeded to follow along, verify the control room operators, that they performed actions in accordance with that procedure and sign off the steps as appropriate.

20 MR. KAUFFMAN: At some point in here did you, did 21 the shift turn over and come on shift and relieve the shift 22 that was there?

MR. SPOONER: No. That was not part of my duties
for that week.

MR. KAUFFMAN: That's right.

() ()

•

· · · ·

.

•

.

,

:

1 MR. SPOONER: I am a Shift Foreman for B shift, 2 which we were an extra shift for that week, so no I did not 3 take any shift duties.

MR. KAUFFMAN: And I guess you stayed in the control room until your normal shift time was -- I am trying to get a closeout on how long you were up there and when you finally left.

8 MR. SPOONER: I was in the control room until some 9 time in the afternoon.

10 MR. KAUFFMAN: Early? Late?

11 MR. SPOONER: Early afternoon.

MR. VATTER: What can you tell us about the equipment that didn't operate properly or as expected might be a better way to say it? For example, the feed pumps tripped at the time of the scram.

16

C

Do you know anything about that?

MR. SPOONER: I'm aware that there was a feed pump trip but my knowledge of that was obtained by attending the post-event critique.

20 MR. VATTER: Okay. We also heard from others that 21 the RCIC system operated in an unstable way and had to be 22 run manually when they first started it.

Do you know anything about that?
 MR. SPOONER: Again, my knowledge of that was
 obtained from the post-event critique.

· · · · . * , 4 . · · ·
1 MR. HELKER: The following morning we had a post-2 event critique when all the shifts were out there and 3 involved and stepped through it similarly to the way a 4 simulator critique is held.

¢

5 That is when a lot of this information was brought 6 out.

7 MR. KAUFFMAN: When you were following along in 8 the shutdown procedure, we know the operators had some 9 problems for example restoring condensate booster pumps. Do 10 you have any knowledge or any involvement in the problems 11 that were encountered as the cooldown and shutdown 12 continued?

MR. SPOONER: I remember orders being given from
the SSS concerning the condensate system.

MR. KAUFFMAN: But you weren't really involved?
MR. SPOONER: I was not involved in the
manipulations.

18 MR. KAUFFMAN: If we could I would like to change 19 tracks. I forgot a question I normally lead off with and 20 that's to get a little background for the human performance 21 people about your experience and background that you brought 22 to your job, so if you would, like we did prior to the 23 interview, run through your educational and work experience. 24 MR. SPOONER: Okay. Let me look here. 25 [Pause.]

, . . . , . . .

.

MR. SPOONER: I have been a licensed reactor operator on Unit Two I think since 1984, August of '84. Previous to that I held a reactor operator license on Nine Mile Point, Unit One for probably a year and a half, two years.

I was an aux operator at Unit One. I was hired by
Niagra-Mohawk in 1982, November of '82.

8 Prior to that I had six years in the Nuclear Navy, 9 submarines. I was a mechanical operator and I had a lot of 10 time in the ship yard. I decommissioned one submarine and 11 commissioned a newer submarine so I had a significant amount 12 of shipyard time.

13 High school graduate from Camillus, New York.14 MR. KAUFFMAN: Okay.

MR. VATTER: I don't think you said, as we were talking about your role in the control room, if you ever had actually relieved the shift in this CSO position?

18 MR. SPOONER: No, I did not.

¢

19MR. VATTER: So you were like an extra guy?20MR. SPOONER: Extra -- yes. That's correct,21assigned to an extra shift working the day shift hours.22MR. VATTER: And that's what you expected to be23doing?

24 MR. SPOONER: That's what I expected to be doing 25 the entire week, yes.

· • • '

,

MR. KAUFFMAN: I'd like you to brainstorm if you could. We know that there were some things that allowed or helped in the response to this event. The example was that occurred close to shift relief time and a lot of extra people showed up when they were needed and that helped the response. That may have been fortunate.

Have you thought about the event? If you could tell us things that helped you or that helped the site have a good response -- maybe it was training, experience of the people, just any things you felt really helped in your response or the group response to the event.

MR. SPOONER: Okay. You touched on one of them, the fact that -- the hour that it occurred. There was probably a handful or better of operators that were inside the protected area or made it inside the protected area prior to security I guess closing down the turnstiles, myself being one of those.

Operators after a certain point as well as other people assigned to the site weren't allowed in by Security because of the site area emergency. Obviously the more operators that made it in prior to that, the better, as it gave the operating crew better resources to combat the casualty.

A lot of people made the comment that our training did us well in this situation. I think I would have to

: · ·

р**у**

. .

I know personally I have seen portions of this in 1 agree. 2 In other words they may have taken one of the simulator. 3 the UPS's away from us. I think I found myself in a similar situation in the simulator in that I was unable to 4 verify the control rods being inserted because they took a 5 6 UPS away that looked very similar to what the crew had to 7 deal with in this situation.

6

8 I would have to agree that training is a fairly 9 strong point although they haven't identified this as a 10 possibility, losing all the 1 series UPS's.

I I think the EOPs proved themselves in this
situation as well as the operators' ability to execute them
in this situation.

Again, training showed up in that in that I didn't notice any reluctancy for people to perform steps that we know are or that we think of as rather extreme.

The first thing that comes to mind is RPS jumpers. There didn't seem to be any hesitancy to perform these various attachments of the EOPs which we do on the simulator and we hoped that we would never have to do at the plant.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: Are you actually able to walk 22 through in the simulator and place the jumpers and I guess 23 what I am talking about is actual walk-arounds in the plant 24 or in the simulator to perform the various EOP attachments. 25 MR. SPOONER: The training gets accomplished. In

.

.

.

a case like that it is a two-step process.

0

1

There's various scenarios in the simulator where we would be required to install jumpers. The simulator is not set up such that we can but then when we do our JPMs, Job Performance Measures, there's JPMs related to the EOPs so that completes the training loop in that we go to the panel and simulate the installation of those jumpers, for example.

9 MR. KAUFFMAN: Can you think of any more things 10 that aided the response?

MR. SPOONER: I guess looking at specifically the UPS's the experience of the operators in that there was a number of us there that have taken this plant through the startup phase and we knew some off-normal procedures that could be performed on these UPS's to re-energize the critical bus without procedural guidance.

17 MR. KAUFFMAN: I'd like to turn this question 18 around. You touched on at least one point earlier, where 19 things could have been better. An example was that the UPS 20 procedure didn't really work for the condition that the 21 UPS's were in. Do you know or have any other areas where 22 difficulties were encountered in response to the event that could be identified and maybe fixed in the future? 23

24 MR. SPOONER: That particular case was identified 25 in the post-event critique. There was another procedure

. ан маралариянан аларын . · · · · · • · · ۰. • • ×

-

•

· .

, ,

۲,

that was identified as a problem area, with the condensate booster in relation to the feed pump suction valves. I believe that problem was resolved and the procedure was possibly not applicable to what they were doing and the fact that they couldn't perform all aspects of it. As it turned out, the access to the turbine building was restricted, and therefore they couldn't complete the procedure.

8 Other than that, I'm not aware of any procedural 9 problems.

10 MR. KAUFFMAN: Any hardware? If you had 11 everything in the world at your disposal, can you think of 12 anything that you would have liked to have had in this 13 event? It's just a brainstorming session. If you can't 14 come up with anything, that's fine.

15 MR. SPOONER: Right.

C

Again, out of the post-event critique, a big problem was control room-to-plant communications. I don't have an answer as to the solution to the problem, but it definitely came out as a problem. Again, it falls back on that these are uninterruptable power supplies.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: These mostly went away after the 22 power was restored, 6:22.

MR. SPOONER: The problems went away.
MR. KAUFFMAN: The radio came back.
MR. SPOONER: Yes, and the Gaitronics returned.

N

k. · · · · · · а. Эн

•

· · · ·

Ψ.,

24 ,

•

ķ

1 That's correct.

4

2 MR. HELKER: May I make a statement in regard to 3 procedures?

MR. KAUFFMAN: Sure.

MR. HELKER: I think in regard to the use of 5 б procedures down at the UPS, they found that, when they got down there, the procedure wasn't specifically written to 7 address the particular set of plant conditions at which they 8 9 found the UPS. I think it's difficult to write procedures to address every conceivable plant condition or situation at 10 11 which you might have to operate equipment. For those 12 conditions, frankly, in emergency situations, we do have a clause -- administrative guidance -- in a procedure, which 13 14 addresses emergency situation. Basically, it says that, 15 under emergency conditions for which there is no procedure guidance, individuals are allowed and expected to operate 16 17 equipment where it's necessary to protect personnel safety 18 and plant equipment. I think that this is one particular 19 instance when we had to utilize that particular clause of 20 our administrative procedures, simply because you cannot write procedures to address every conceivable -- or, in this 21 22 case, what we didn't conceive as a possible situation or 23 plant condition.

24 MR. KAUFFMAN: Jerry, will you please state the 25 procedure reference?

. · · · . . •

а

1 MR. HELKER: It's in AP-2. 2 MR. VATTER: Bob, have you ever had training on 3 **UPS** operation? Yes. We've had training on the 4 MR. SPOONER: uninterruptable power supplies. 5 6 MR. VATTER: What kind of training? Classroom 7 training? Primarily what I recall is classroom 8 MR. SPOONER: 9 training. Did it address how you operate them, 10 MR. VATTER: 11 turn them on, get them started, shift to maintenance power 12 supply, that kind of stuff? 13 MR. SPOONER: Yes. 14 Did it address abnormal operation, MR. VATTER: like how to start it up on a dead bus? 15 16 MR. SPOONER: I don't recall that it did? 17 MR. VATTER: So the knowledge that you had that 18 you could close in the maintenance power supply manually was not from training. 19 20 I'm just trying to repeat what I think you said. I guess I would say that it didn't 21 MR. SPOONER: 22 directly come from training. It came from knowledge 23 obtained in training, knowledge obtained during the startup 24 program, and just an analysis of the situation that we were 25 in.

. [.] . . .

· · · · · · ·

MR. KAUFFMAN: And you also rotate in plant and in 1 control room in your normal duties, right? 2 MR. SPOONER: That is correct. 3 MR. KAUFFMAN: You get some on-the-job, in-plant 4 5 related experience. That's correct. I've been a chief 6 MR. SPOONER: shift operator for over a year, and prior to that I was in 7 8 the situation that you're talking about, where I was a 9 licensed reactor operator but we rotated back and forth. I have no further questions. Bill, 10 MR. KAUFFMAN: 11 do you have more questions? 12 MR. VATTER: Just a second. 13 [Pause.] 14 MR. VATTER: Could you talk to us a little bit 15 about the way in which they got rod position indication back 16 in the control room? Our understanding is that, when the 17 uninterruptable power supply was re-energized, a number of 18 the rods had indication right away, but some did not. Are you aware of that situation, or did all that happen before 19 20 you got back to the control room? I was aware that there were a number 21 MR. SPOONER: 22 of control rods that they had problems verifying the 23 position of. 24 MR. VATTER: Were you involved in that verification? 25

. 1

•

MR. SPOONER: No, I was not.

2 MR. VATTER: So you don't know which ones had 3 problems?

MR. SPOONER: No, I do not know.

1

4

5 MR. VATTER: Do you know how they addressed that 6 problem?

7 MR. SPOONER: I'm not certain how they addressed 8 that problem.

9 MR. VATTER: If you were in that situation, would 10 you known what to do? What would you do to try to -- What 11 would you have thought would be reasonable things to do?

MR. SPOONER: I know that what they did was, they were in the EOPs, which address -- there's a portion that addresses reactivity, so your guideline comes from the EOPs, RQ, and then you get directed into a contingency.

MR. VATTER: What to do if you don't know where
17 all the rods are.

18 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. There's prereqs.
19 If you cannot confirm the reactor shutdown --

20 MR. VATTER: Does it have any guidance on what to 21 do with regard to finding out where the rods are?

22 MR. SPOONER: It gives you guidance in that it 23 gives you the vehicles by which you can verify examples: 24 rod worth minimizer, rod sequence control system, full core 25 display, process computer. As far as specific operation of

those systems, you may be directed to an attachment of the
 EOPs or the normal operating procedure.

MR. HELKER: It's our scram procedure, OP-101-Charlie, which specifically provides that listing, or sections which tell us how to determine what rod position is.

7 MR. VATTER: OP-101-Charlie is both the scram
8 procedure and the normal shutdown procedure?

9 MR. HELKER: That's correct. OP-101-Charlie, 10 section H.1, is the scram procedure. It's part of the 11 normal shutdown procedure.

MR. KAUFFMAN: You'll provide us with that, right?
MR. HELKER: One is currently being copied as we
speak.

MR. VATTER: Well, I guess I'm out of questions. MR. KAUFFMAN: We're out of questions. At this point, we throw the table open to you. If you have anything that you want to bring up, you're free to make a statement for the record.

20 MR. SPOONER: No.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: Okay. That concludes the 22 interview.

23 [Whereupon, at 3:56 p.m., the taking of the 24 investigative interview was concluded.]

25

v .

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

in the matter of:

NAME OF PROCEEDING: Int. of BOB SPOONER

DOCKET NUMBER:

PLACE OF PROCEEDING: Scriba, N.Y.

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

JON HUNDLEY

Official Reporter Ann Riley & Associates, Ltd.

n mar ann an tha c they are

e.

.

•

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency:Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Incident Investigation TeamTitle:Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant
Interview of: BOB SPOONER

Docket No.

5

LOCATION: Scriba, New York

DATE: Sunday, August 18, 1991

PAGES: 1 - 27

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950.

Dupe of 9305060237

. • · · · · ۴ a . .

;

:

.

. .

• , . ۰ . ×

•

; •

•

Exhibit 3-1 (continue	<u>ed)</u> -3-
ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF BUB Spearce (So) (Name/Position)	
Page Line	Correction and Reason for Correction
18 2.	1986, AUGUST OF '86'
	UNIT F R.O. LICFNSE
18	MOME MILE POINT, UNIT ONE FROM MARCH 1984 TO AUGUST OF 1986 APPROXIMATELY 21/2 YEARS
······································	
Page <u>/</u> of / Signat	ure Date 8/22/91

ŝ

i.



1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 INCIDENT INVESTIGATION TEAM 4 5 6 Interview of : 7 BOB SPOONER : 8 (Closed) : 9 10 11 Conference Room B Administration Building 12 Nine Mile Point Nuclear 13 14 Power Plant, Unit Two 15 Lake Road 16 Scriba, New York 13093 17 Sunday, August 18, 1991 18 The interview commenced, pursuant to notice, 19 at 3:15 p.m. 20 PRESENT FOR THE IIT: 21 John Kauffman, NRC 22 Michael Jordan, NRC William Vatter, INPO 23 24 PRESENT WITH MR. DENNY: 25 Jerry Helker, Niagara Mohawk

् 🤻



.

-

> . `

.

·

·

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

[3:15 p.m.]

2

MR. KAUFFMAN: It's August 18, 1991, 3:15 p.m. 3 We're at Nine Mile Point, Unit Two, admin building, to 4 5 conduct an interview with Bob Spooner in his involvement 6 with the August 13, 1991, event at Nine Mile Point, Unit My name is John Kauffman, and I'm with NRC/AEOD. 7 Two. MR. VATTER: I'm Bill Vatter. I work for INPO. 8 9 MR. JORDAN: My name is Michael Jordan. I'm with 10 the NRC. MR. SPOONER: My name is Bob Spooner. 11 I'm a licensed reactor operator at Nine Mile Two. 12 13 MR. HELKER: Jerry Helker, general supervisor, 14 Nine Mile Two, here at Bob Spooner's request. MR. KAUFFMAN: Bob, I'd like to start by having 15 you tell us when you came into the control room -- I think 16 17 it was during the event -- what you saw, and the activities 18 that you saw others doing, and then the involvement you had 19 in the activities that morning. 20 MR. SPOONER: Okay. I understand you want me to 21 start from the time I arrived in the control room, or would 22 you rather hear from on site? 23 MR. KAUFFMAN: Your choice. 24 MR. SPOONER: Okay. MR. VATTER: Why don't you tell it from when it 25

. • . • •

started to seem that things were not like they usually are. 1 2 MR. SPOONER: The first thing I noticed, which 3 really didn't trigger anything, was when I came in. I normally come in through the cardox room, elevation 261, and 4 I have access to the control building elevator, which takes 5 you up to the control room level. There was reduced 6 lighting in that area. It didn't seem abnormal at the time, 7 8 because there was still lighting available. I called for 9 the elevator; when the elevator arrived, it was pitch dark in the elevator; there were no lights at all in the 10 11 elevator, although the elevator was operational.

12 I took the elevator up to control room. I entered 13 the back of the control room, came up through the center of 14 I think the first person I saw was the CSO. the panels. 15 Some comments were made about a reactor scram. The unit had 16 tripped off line. There were no annunciators available. Ι 17 proceeded to the back of the control room, just to monitor 18 the activities and stay out of the way. The SROs were in 19 the control room at the time. There were three or four 20 reactor operators at the panels doing various things at the 21 direction of the senior reactor operators.

I overheard comments about, the 1-series uninterruptable power supplies were not available. I monitored the control room activities for probably a couple of minutes. I proceeded across the hall to the break area.

• r

, ,

,

. •

I obtained my hard hat and safety shoes because I figured
 they would need additional help, whether it was in the plant
 or in the control room.

I met another operator in the hallway, Mike Garbus. I told him that things weren't going well in the control room. We went back into the control room.

7 MR. VATTER: Mike is another oncoming operator.
8 MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

9 MR. VATTER: He was just getting there.

10 MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

We both were in the back of the control room. We did not perform any manipulations in the control room at this time. We were there probably a couple minutes. We both then proceeded out of the control room, and we were going to go down to the location of the UPS's, 1-Alpha, Bravo, Charlie, and Delta.

MR. VATTER: Excuse me. Before we go any further, could you try to fix some part of that sequence that you just gave us in time. Do you know what time it was you came into the control room?

21 MR. SPOONER: I'm not sure of the exact times --22 somewhere between 0600, 0610, somewhere in that time frame. 23 That's a guess.

24 MR. KAUFFMAN: One of the things we're trying to 25 do is keep our time line straight here. Normally in an

· · ·
event investigation, you have all kinds of alarm printers. 1 2 MR. SPOONER: Right. The security computer was operational, as I was able to card in and out. 3 4 MR. KAUFFMAN: Right. 5 MR. SPOONER: I'm not sure whether you can obtain entry and exit times from that computer, if you needed to. б 7 MR. KAUFFMAN: Sure. 8 MR. SPOONER: We proceeded out the back of the 9 control room, down the corridor, to the southwest control 10 building stairwell. When we opened the door to the stairwell, it was dark. There were no lights at all in the 11 stairwell. 12 13 We cautiously proceeded down the stairwell to the 261 elevation. 14 15 MR. VATTER: Did you have flashlights? 16 MR. SPOONER: No, I did not. 17 MR. VATTER: If you had had one, would you have 18 used it? 19 MR. SPOONER: Yes. 20 MR. KAUFFMAN: You were going down there because 21 you thought it needed to be done, or you had been directed 22 to go down there. 23 MR. SPOONER: We were going down to the UPS 1series, right, because there was no power available. 24 25 MR. KAUFFMAN: And you were directed to do that,

•

. . ۰ · **t** н -.

Υ, . **,**

1 or you just thought it needed to be done?

2 We saw it was needed to be done. MR. SPOONER: 3 When we got to 261, we went to the locker room and obtained a couple flashlights. We then proceeded into the 4 5 normal switch gear building. We did some cursory checks of 6 the switch gears. We knew the UPS 1-series received power through normal distribution. We had looked at a few 7 breakers that we knew supplied power to the UPS's. All the 8 9 breakers that we looked at were closed and had no trips in 10 on them.

11 We then proceeded to the 237 elevation from normal 12 switch gear, from 261. Again, the stairwell was dark, but 13 this time we had flashlights. We proceeded into the room 14 where the UPS's are located, the 1-series. There were several operators in the area, one licensed operator, Dave 15 16 Hanczyk. He informed us that he had attempted to start the 17 uninterruptable power supplies per the procedure with no 18 success. Mike Garbus and myself proceeded to the Alpha 19 unit, UPS-1-Alpha --

20 MR. VATTER: Is that in the room there? '21 MR. SPOONER: Where there are four of them. 22 That's correct. It's the room we went down to to look at, 23 the big group of us.

24 MR. VATTER: Okay.

25 MR. SPOONER: We had two alarms in on the unit.

.

• •

. • •

,

, .

All the breakers indicated open on the logic mimic. We
 looked at a couple of the breakers on the UPS itself. The
 breakers were not in a trip-free condition; in other words,
 they were just in the open position.

5 MR. VATTER: Do you remember which ones those 6 were?

MR. SPOONER: No, I don't.

8 MR. VATTER: Did you have the cabinet doors open? 9 MR. SPOONER: Yes, we opened the cabinet doors. 10 MR. VATTER: There are like one, two, three, four 11 across, as you look at them from the right.

12 MR. SPOONER: Right.

MR. VATTER: Do you remember the location of those breakers that you saw were open, whether they were on the left side or on the right side?

MR. SPOONER: I couldn't say for sure. We looked
at a couple of them.

18 MR. VATTER: They were fully to the off position. 19 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. The ones we looked 20 at were. I know for certain that the CB-4 breaker was fully 21 off, because ultimately that would be the one that we closed 22 down.

23 MR. VATTER: That's the one that's covered up with 24 that motor operator.

25

7

MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

.

h.

.

·

. .

1 MR. VATTER: I guess 3 and 4 are both covered up 2 with motor operators, aren't they?

That sounds right.

3

4

MR. VATTER: Okav.

MR. SPOONER:

5 MR. SPOONER: Looking at the mimic, I said we 6 determined that all the breakers were open.

7 MR. VATTER: That's from that little -8 MR. SPOONER: The little logic mimic that has
9 indicator lights on it.

10

MR. VATTER: Yes.

MR. SPOONER: We had a short discussion with Dave Hanczyk, in which he reiterated that he was unable to restart any UPS's and that the procedure requirement for the section that he was in required that the UPS maintenance supply was energized, which it was not.

MR. VATTER: Did he indicate to you that he didn't do anything because he didn't have the maintenance supply energized, or did he indicate that he tried by operating some switches or breakers or something to get it to work?

20 MR. SPOONER: His words were that he did not have 21 any success trying to restart the UPS's.

I don't know if he stopped when he got to the procedural step that required him to have the CB4 breaker closed in or if he tried to continue on in the procedure. I am not sure of that. .

r

•

· · ·

,

I had a discussion with Dave Hanczyk. I
 recommended that we just go ahead and override and close in
 these maintenance supply breakers.

4 MR. VATTER: You recommended that to Dave? 5 MR. SPOONER: Right, and Mike Garbus was in the 6 Based on what we saw we didn't see any breakers in a area. 7 trip-free conditions. We knew that all five UPS's were not 8 doing their job. They were not energizing the critical 9 That didn't make any sense based on our knowledge of buses. how these things operate. They each have three power 10 supplies and their logic is set up such that they are going 11 12 to make every attempt to get some sort of power onto that critical bus, okay? 13

The fact that all five of them were not doing their job we deduced in our own minds that more than likely there was not a physical electrical fault on five critical buses. We determined that it was appropriate to re-energize those buses by overriding the UPS logic.

19 MR. VATTER: Okay. How did you do that? 20 MR. SPOONER: We had to --21 MR. VATTER: Did you have a procedure for that? 22 MR. SPOONER: No. 23 MR. VATTER: Is there a procedure that tells you how to do that? 24

25

MR. SPOONER: My understanding is presently there

. .

.

ĸ

is not. There is not a section of the procedure that
 directs you to override these breakers.

3

MR. VATTER: Okay.

MR. SPOONER: Several of the operators in the area have done it before during the startup program so we knew what we had to do to get these maintenance breakers shut.

7 MR. VATTER: Are you one of those guys that knew 8 it from the startup program?

9 MR. SPOONER: I knew that it could be done. I 10 knew that there was a way to just remove the actuator from 11 CB4 and that there would be a manual, just a circuit breaker 12 there that could be manually closed.

Other operators in the area knew how to remove 13 14 that actuator. There is a latch mechanism underneath it and 15 it swings out like a door. We did that. We opened the 16 doors or removed the mechanisms from the breakers. The 17 breakers were not in a trip-free condition. They were 18 actually opened and we closed the maintenance bus power 19 supply.

20 MR. VATTER: Did somebody there show you how to 21 move that motor operator off the breaker?

22 MR. SPOONER: Yes.

23 MR. VATTER: Who was that?

24 MR. SPOONER: I'm not sure. I think it was Jim25 Stevens.

. · · · . ×

·

MR. VATTER: Okay. Then what happened when you
 closed the breaker?

MR. SPOONER: Simultaneously there was several other operators in the area. We had closed all four breakers for the associated Alpha, Bravo, Charlie, Delta, UPS 1's and then proceeded to the Gaitronics, called the control room and asked the individual on the other end of the line whether they had regained annunciators and control room indications. The feedback I got was that yes, they had.

10They asked for my name. I gave it to them. Then11we proceeded, I proceeded back to the control room.

MR. VATTER: Who do you think was in charge in the room there with the UPS's or were they just kind of doing their own thing or was somebody giving direction?

MR. SPOONER: I got the impression when I arrived that Dave Hanczyk was in charge. He had the procedure in his hand.

MR. VATTER: Did you take over since you are -MR. SPOONER: No, I did not. I wouldn't say that
I took over. Dave was the -- he was the on-shift of record
licensed reactor operator, one of the shift of record.

22 MR. VATTER: So you recommended to Dave and Dave 23 made the decision to do this?

24 MR. SPOONER: That sounds right. I guess we were 25 in concurrence. I don't know as you could say one person

* . . · . ۳ • • • • • • • м .

1 made the decision.

2 MR. VATTER: You talked about it. MR. SPOONER: We talked -- the three reactor 3. operators discussed it, right, and we were all in agreement 4 5 that that was the avenue to take. MR. VATTER: Now the other reactor operator was? 6 7 MR. SPOONER: Mike Garbus, myself, Dave Hanczyk. MR. VATTER: Okay, so then the control room told 8 you what to do on the Gaitronics? 9 10 MR. SPOONER: We re-energized the four critical buses. 11 12 MR. VATTER: In that room? 13 MR. SPOONER: In that room. That restored the Gaitronics. We then communicated to the control room what we 14 15 had done. 16 MR. VATTER: What did they tell you to do? 17 MR. SPOONER: They did not tell us -- there was no direction given. 18 19 MR. VATTER: Okay, so what did you do? 20 MR. SPOONER: I proceeded back to the control 21 room. 22 MR. VATTER: Okay. Did everybody come up then or 23 did they do other things? 24 MR. SPOONER: Mike Garbus returned to the control room with me. I am not sure -- I can't account for the 25

,

· •

ň 1

s s 4 • • •

, и

1 other people in the area.

2 MR. VATTER: Okay, so then what did you do? 3 MR. SPOONER: Arrived in the control room. I made a report to the Station Shift Supervisor, Mike Conway, to 4 explain to him exactly what the configuration was at the UPS 5 1 series, to make him understand that the maintenance 6 7 breakers were closed in and that they were manually 8 overridden. 9 MR. VATTER: Go ahead. 10 MR. SPOONER: Okay. I then remained in the control 11 I stood back to monitor what was going on to get a room. feel for the direction that the SSS was taking and the shift 12 13 operators. 14 One of the first things I was asked to do was make 15 the emergency announcement for site area emergency and I did 16 that. 17 MR. VATTER: Do you remember what time it was? 18 MR. SPOONER: No, I do not. 19 MR. VATTER: Who was keeping a log? Or maybe 20 nobody was keeping a log. 21 MR. SPOONER: I am not sure who was keeping the 22 log. I know the log was being maintained. 23 MR. VATTER: You made an announcement on the 24 Gaitronics? 25 MR. SPOONER: That's correct.

* ,

· · · ·

.

۵ ۱ , ,

, ·

=

1 MR. VATTER: For site area emergency? 2 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. MR. VATTER: Is the Gaitronics the only public 3 address system that you have available? It's not something 4 5 you can dial up with the telephones? 6 MR. SPOONER: Can you rephrase that? 7 MR. VATTER: Yes, I'll try. Is there any other way to make a public address type announcement besides using 8 9 the Gaitronics? 10 MR. SPOONER: Okay, the Gaitronics is just for the site. 11 12 MR. VATTER: I understand that. 13 MR. SPOONER: It's the speaker system. 14 MR. VATTER: Yes. Is there another --15 MR. SPOONER: It's the only system that is 16 available to broadcast to the entire site. 17 That's what I wanted to know. MR. VATTER: 18 MR. SPOONER: It has two redundant systems within itself but because all the UPS's, the 1 series, were down 19 20 both whatever you call it -- the Blue and the Red system I 21 believe -- they were both de-energized. 22 It is my understanding that you MR. KAUFFMAN: made the announcement on Unit Two and that previously they 23 24 had called over to Unit One and had Unit One make the 25 announcement on Gaitronics.

. · · · · · · . . . a r

. * .

MR. SPOONER: I obtained that information also at a later time that the information was -- right, like you say, the announcement was made at Unit One but even in the merge mode that did not transmit at Unit Two because we had no power.

6 When I made the announcement I merged so in effect 7 Unit One made that announcement twice, myself making it the 8 second time.

9 MR. KAUFFMAN: Did you get any further assignments 10 after the site area emergency and do any further activities? 11 MR. SPOONER: As I progressed through this thing 12 the plant conditions were stabilized, verified. I was asked 13 to follow along with the cool-down and stabilization of the 14 plant with the normal shutdown procedure, which would be OP-15 101 Charlie.

We obtained a working copy of that and I proceeded to follow along, verify the control room operators, that they performed actions in accordance with that procedure and sign off the steps as appropriate.

20 MR. KAUFFMAN: At some point in here did you, did 21 the shift turn over and come on shift and relieve the shift 22 that was there?

23 MR. SPOONER: No. That was not part of my duties
24 for that week.

MR. KAUFFMAN: That's right.

25

•

.

--. , , · · · · ·

1 MR. SPOONER: I am a Shift Foreman for B shift, 2 which we were an extra shift for that week, so no I did not 3 take any shift duties.

4 MR. KAUFFMAN: And I guess you stayed in the 5 control room until your normal shift time was -- I am trying 6 to get a closeout on how long you were up there and when you 7 finally left.

8 MR. SPOONER: I was in the control room until some 9 time in the afternoon.

10 MR. KAUFFMAN: Early? Late?

11 MR. SPOONER: Early afternoon.

MR. VATTER: What can you tell us about the equipment that didn't operate properly or as expected might be a better way to say it? For example, the feed pumps tripped at the time of the scram.

16

Do you know anything about that?

MR. SPOONER: I'm aware that there was a feed pump trip but my knowledge of that was obtained by attending the post-event critique.

20 MR. VATTER: Okay. We also heard from others that 21 the RCIC system operated in an unstable way and had to be 22 run manually when they first started it.

Do you know anything about that?
MR. SPOONER: Again, my knowledge of that was
obtained from the post-event critique.

• -

•

.

• •

MR. HELKER: The following morning we had a postevent critique when all the shifts were out there and involved and stepped through it similarly to the way a simulator critique is held.

5 That is when a lot of this information was brought 6 out.

7 MR. KAUFFMAN: When you were following along in 8 the shutdown procedure, we know the operators had some 9 problems for example restoring condensate booster pumps. Do 10 you have any knowledge or any involvement in the problems 11 that were encountered as the cooldown and shutdown 12 continued?

MR. SPOONER: I remember orders being given from
the SSS concerning the condensate system.

MR. KAUFFMAN: But you weren't really involved?
MR. SPOONER: I was not involved in the
manipulations.

18 MR. KAUFFMAN: If we could I would like to change 19 I forgot a question I normally lead off with and tracks. 20 that's to get a little background for the human performance 21 people about your experience and background that you brought to your job, so if you would, like we did prior to the 22 23 interview, run through your educational and work experience. 24 MR. SPOONER: Okay. Let me look here. 25 [Pause.]

,

· ·

1 MR. SPOONER: I have been a licensed reactor 2 operator on Unit Two I think since 1984, August of '84. 3 Previous to that I held a reactor operator license on Nine 4 Mile Point, Unit One for probably a year and a half, two 5 years.

I was an aux operator at Unit One. I was hired by
Niagra-Mohawk in 1982, November of '82.

8 Prior to that I had six years in the Nuclear Navy, 9 submarines. I was a mechanical operator and I had a lot of 10 time in the ship yard. I decommissioned one submarine and 11 commissioned a newer submarine so I had a significant amount 12 of shipyard time.

13 High school graduate from Camillus, New York.14 MR. KAUFFMAN: Okay.

MR. VATTER: I don't think you said, as we were talking about your role in the control room, if you ever had actually relieved the shift in this CSO position?

18 MR. SPOONER: No, I did not.

MR. VATTER: So you were like an extra guy? 19 20 MR. SPOONER: Extra -- yes. That's correct, 21 assigned to an extra shift working the day shift hours. 22 And that's what you expected to be MR. VATTER: 23 doing? 24 That's what I expected to be doing MR. SPOONER:

24 MR. SPOONER: That's what I expected to be doing25 the entire week, yes.

•

MR. KAUFFMAN: I'd like you to brainstorm if you could. We know that there were some things that allowed or helped in the response to this event. The example was that occurred close to shift relief time and a lot of extra people showed up when they were needed and that helped the response. That may have been fortunate.

7 Have you thought about the event? If you could 8 tell us things that helped you or that helped the site have 9 a good response -- maybe it was training, experience of the 10 people, just any things you felt really helped in your 11 response or the group response to the event.

MR. SPOONER: Okay. You touched on one of them, the fact that -- the hour that it occurred. There was probably a handful or better of operators that were inside the protected area or made it inside the protected area prior to security I guess closing down the turnstiles, myself being one of those.

Operators after a certain point as well as other people assigned to the site weren't allowed in by Security because of the site area emergency. Obviously the more operators that made it in prior to that, the better, as it gave the operating crew better resources to combat the casualty.

A lot of people made the comment that our training did us well in this situation. I think I would have to

--

ار د

I know personally I have seen portions of this in 1 agree. 2 the simulator. In other words they may have taken one of the UPS's away from us. I think I found myself in a 3 4 similar situation in the simulator in that I was unable to verify the control rods being inserted because they took a 5 UPS away that looked very similar to what the crew had to 6. 7 deal with in this situation.

8 I would have to agree that training is a fairly 9 strong point although they haven't identified this as a 10 possibility, losing all the 1 series UPS's.

I think the EOPs proved themselves in this
situation as well as the operators' ability to execute them
in this situation.

Again, training showed up in that in that I didn't notice any reluctancy for people to perform steps that we know are or that we think of as rather extreme.

The first thing that comes to mind is RPS jumpers. There didn't seem to be any hesitancy to perform these various attachments of the EOPs which we do on the simulator and we hoped that we would never have to do at the plant.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: Are you actually able to walk 22 through in the simulator and place the jumpers and I guess 23 what I am talking about is actual walk-arounds in the plant 24 or in the simulator to perform the various EOP attachments. 25 MR. SPOONER: The training gets accomplished. In

. .

.

·

1 a case like that it is a two-step process.

There's various scenarios in the simulator where we would be required to install jumpers. The simulator is not set up such that we can but then when we do our JPMs, Job Performance Measures, there's JPMs related to the EOPs so that completes the training loop in that we go to the panel and simulate the installation of those jumpers, for example.

9 MR. KAUFFMAN: Can you think of any more things 10 that aided the response?

MR. SPOONER: I guess looking at specifically the UPS's the experience of the operators in that there was a number of us there that have taken this plant through the startup phase and we knew some off-normal procedures that could be performed on these UPS's to re-energize the critical bus without procedural guidance.

17 MR. KAUFFMAN: I'd like to turn this question 18 around. You touched on at least one point earlier, where 19 things could have been better. An example was that the UPS 20 procedure didn't really work for the condition that the 21 UPS's were in. Do you know or have any other areas where 22 difficulties were encountered in response to the event that 23 could be identified and maybe fixed in the future?

24 MR. SPOONER: That particular case was identified 25 in the post-event critique. There was another procedure

, ,

,

• · · · · · ·

-

•

that was identified as a problem area, with the condensate booster in relation to the feed pump suction valves. I believe that problem was resolved and the procedure was possibly not applicable to what they were doing and the fact that they couldn't perform all aspects of it. As it turned out, the access to the turbine building was restricted, and therefore they couldn't complete the procedure.

8 Other than that, I'm not aware of any procedural 9 problems.

MR. KAUFFMAN: Any hardware? If you had everything in the world at your disposal, can you think of anything that you would have liked to have had in this event? It's just a brainstorming session. If you can't come up with anything, that's fine.

15

MR. SPOONER: Right.

Again, out of the post-event critique, a big problem was control room-to-plant communications. I don't have an answer as to the solution to the problem, but it definitely came out as a problem. Again, it falls back on that these are uninterruptable power supplies.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: These mostly went away after the 22 power was restored, 6:22.

MR. SPOONER: The problems went away.
MR. KAUFFMAN: The radio came back.
MR. SPOONER: Yes, and the Gaitronics returned.

· , ,

и И

.

.
1 That's correct.

2 MR. HELKER: May I make a statement in regard to 3 procedures?

4

MR. KAUFFMAN: Sure.

I think in regard to the use of 5 MR. HELKER: procedures down at the UPS, they found that, when they got 6 7 down there, the procedure wasn't specifically written to address the particular set of plant conditions at which they 8 I think it's difficult to write procedures 9 found the UPS. to address every conceivable plant condition or situation at 10 which you might have to operate equipment. 11 For those 12 conditions, frankly, in emergency situations, we do have a clause -- administrative guidance -- in a procedure, which 13 addresses emergency situation. Basically, it says that, 14 under emergency conditions for which there is no procedure 15 16 guidance, individuals are allowed and expected to operate 17 equipment where it's necessary to protect personnel safety and plant equipment. I think that this is one particular 18 instance when we had to utilize that particular clause of 19 20 our administrative procedures, simply because you cannot 21 write procedures to address every conceivable -- or, in this case, what we didn't conceive as a possible situation or 22 23 plant condition.

24 MR. KAUFFMAN: Jerry, will you please state the 25 procedure reference?

• 3

1 MR. HELKER: It's in AP-2. 2 MR. VATTER: Bob, have you ever had training on 3 **UPS** operation? Yes. We've had training on the 4 MR. SPOONER: 5 uninterruptable power supplies. 6 MR. VATTER: What kind of training? Classroom 7 training? MR. SPOONER: Primarily what I recall is classroom 8 9 training. 10 MR. VATTER: Did it address how you operate them, 11 turn them on, get them started, shift to maintenance power 12 supply, that kind of stuff? 13 MR. SPOONER: Yes. MR. VATTER: Did it address abnormal operation, 14 15 like how to start it up on a dead bus? 16 I don't recall that it did? MR. SPOONER: 17 MR. VATTER: So the knowledge that you had that 18 you could close in the maintenance power supply manually was 19 not from training. 20 I'm just trying to repeat what I think you said. 21 I guess I would say that it didn't MR. SPOONER: 22 directly come from training. It came from knowledge 23 obtained in training, knowledge obtained during the startup program, and just an analysis of the situation that we were 24 25 in.



, ,

MR. KAUFFMAN: And you also rotate in plant and in 1 2 control room in your normal duties, right? 3 MR. SPOONER: That is correct. MR. KAUFFMAN: You get some on-the-job, in-plant 4 5 related experience. That's correct. I've been a chief 6 MR. SPOONER: 7 shift operator for over a year, and prior to that I was in 8 the situation that you're talking about, where I was a licensed reactor operator but we rotated back and forth. 9 10 MR. KAUFFMAN: I have no further questions. Bill, 11 do you have more questions? 12 MR. VATTER: Just a second. 13 [Pause.] 14 MR. VATTER: Could you talk to us a little bit 15 about the way in which they got rod position indication back 16 in the control room? Our understanding is that, when the 17 uninterruptable power supply was re-energized, a number of the rods had indication right away, but some did not. Are 18 19 you aware of that situation, or did all that happen before 20 you got back to the control room? 21 I was aware that there were a number MR. SPOONER: 22 of control rods that they had problems verifying the position of. 23 24 MR. VATTER: Were you involved in that 25 verification?

· · · ه د <u>۲</u>

×

h s

MR. SPOONER: No, I was not.

2 MR. VATTER: So you don't know which ones had 3 problems?

MR. SPOONER: No, I do not know.

1

4

5 MR. VATTER: Do you know how they addressed that 6 problem?

7 MR. SPOONER: I'm not certain how they addressed 8 that problem.

9 MR. VATTER: If you were in that situation, would 10 you known what to do? What would you do to try to -- What 11 would you have thought would be reasonable things to do?

MR. SPOONER: I know that what they did was, they were in the EOPs, which address -- there's a portion that addresses reactivity, so your guideline comes from the EOPs, RQ, and then you get directed into a contingency.

MR. VATTER: What to do if you don't know whereall the rods are.

18 MR. SPOONER: That's correct. There's prereqs.
19 If you cannot confirm the reactor shutdown --

20 MR. VATTER: Does it have any guidance on what to 21 do with regard to finding out where the rods are?

22 MR. SPOONER: It gives you guidance in that it 23 gives you the vehicles by which you can verify examples: 24 rod worth minimizer, rod sequence control system, full core 25 display, process computer. As far as specific operation of

.

a contraction of the second second

· · ·

those systems, you may be directed to an attachment of the
 EOPs or the normal operating procedure.

MR. HELKER: It's our scram procedure, OP-101-Charlie, which specifically provides that listing, or sections which tell us how to determine what rod position is.

7 MR. VATTER: OP-101-Charlie is both the scram 8 procedure and the normal shutdown procedure?

9 MR. HELKER: That's correct. OP-101-Charlie, 10 section H.1, is the scram procedure. It's part of the 11 normal shutdown procedure.

MR. KAUFFMAN: You'll provide us with that, right?
MR. HELKER: One is currently being copied as we
speak.

MR. VATTER: Well, I guess I'm out of questions.
MR. KAUFFMAN: We're out of questions. At this
point, we throw the table open to you. If you have anything
that you want to bring up, you're free to make a statement
for the record.

20 MR. SPOONER: No.

21 MR. KAUFFMAN: Okay. That concludes the 22 interview.

23 [Whereupon, at 3:56 p.m., the taking of the24 investigative interview was concluded.]

25

2 X. .

-:

.

. .

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

in the matter of:

NAME OF PROCEEDING: Int. of BOB SPOONER

DOCKET NUMBER:

PLACE OF PROCEEDING: Scriba, N.Y.

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

JON HUNDLEY

Official Reporter Ann Riley & Associates, Ltd.

