

Docket No. 50-410

JUN 27 1985

Mr. B. G. Hooten
Executive Director, Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT 2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

By letter dated April 5, 1985 we transmitted the proposed schedule for review of the Nine Mile Point 2 (NMP-2) Technical specifications (tech specs). Formal transmittals of information from Niagara Mohawk are presently expected at four points during this review. Those four points correspond to (1) week 17 (Marked-up tech specs with plant specific requirements), (2) week 27 (Responses to questions and to proposals found unacceptable during NRC initial review), (3) week 48 (Resolution of NRC and Niagara Mohawk comments), and (4) week 54 (Certification of the accuracy of the tech specs). A submittal corresponding to week 17 has already been received by the NRC; therefore, only 3 additional submittals are expected. If additional submittals are determined to be necessary at a later date, you will be informed as they become appropriate.

Seven copies of each transmittal should be submitted. Each submittal should be sent directly to the project manager and marked "Open by Addressee Only."

If there are any questions concerning the above information please contact the licensing project manager, Mary Haughey (301-492-7897). The above information is in accordance with discussions between M. Haughey and A. Zallnick of your staff on June 18 and 19, 1985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

8507050124 850627
PDR ADDCK 05000410
A PDR

Enclosure:

Marked-up copy of schedule
for Tech Spec review

cc: See next page

<u>DISTRIBUTION</u>	<u>Docket File</u>	NRC PDR	Local PDR	PRC System	NSIC
LB#2 Reading	EHilton	MHaughey	Bordenick, OELD	ACRS (16)	JPartlow
BGrimes	EJordan				

*Previously concurred:

LB#2/DL/PM	TSRG	TSRG	LB#2/DL/BC
*MHaughey:lb	*CShulten	*MVirgilio	WButler
06/24/85	06/24/85	06/24/85	06/24/85

WB



1
2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

Docket No. 50-410

Mr. B. G. Hooten
Executive Director, Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT 2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

By letter dated April 5, 1985 we transmitted the proposed schedule for review of the Nine Mile Point 2 (NMP-2) Technical specifications (tech specs). Formal transmittals of information from Niagara Mohawk are presently expected at four points during this review. Those four points correspond to (1) week 17 (Marked-up tech specs with plant specific requirements), (2) week 27 (Responses to questions and to proposals found unacceptable during NRC initial review), (3) week 48 (Resolution of NRC and Niagara Mohawk comments), and (4) week 54 (Certification of the accuracy of the tech specs). A submittal corresponding to week 17 has already been received by the NRC therefore only 3 additional submittals are expected. If additional submittals are determined to be necessary at a later date you will be informed as they become appropriate.

Seven copies of each transmittal should be submitted. Each submittal should be sent directly to the project manager and marked "Open by Addressee Only."

If there are any questions concerning the above information please contact the licensing project manager, Mary Haughey (301-492-7897). The above information is in accordance with discussions between M. Haughey and A. Zallnick of your staff on June 18 and 19, 1985.


Sincerely,

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
Marked-up copy of schedule
for Tech Spec review

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION	Docket File	NRC PDR	Local PDR	PRC System	NSIC
LB#2 Reading	EHylton	MHaughey	Bordenick, OELD	ACRS (16)	JPartlow
BGrimes	EJordan				
<i>M. Haughey</i>	<i>W. Butler</i>				
LB#2/DL/PM	TSRG	TSRGMAN	LB#2/DL/BC		
MHaughey:lb	CShulten	MVirgilio	WButler		
06/24/85	06/24/85	06/24/85	06/ /85		





UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JUN 27 1985

Docket No. 50-410

Mr. B. G. Hooten
Executive Director, Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT 2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

By letter dated April 5, 1985 we transmitted the proposed schedule for review of the Nine Mile Point 2 (NMP-2) Technical specifications (tech specs). Formal transmittals of information from Niagara Mohawk are presently expected at four points during this review. Those four points correspond to (1) week 17 (Marked-up tech specs with plant specific requirements), (2) week 27 (Responses to questions and to proposals found unacceptable during NRC initial review), (3) week 48 (Resolution of NRC and Niagara Mohawk comments), and (4) week 54 (Certification of the accuracy of the tech specs). A submittal corresponding to week 17 has already been received by the NRC; therefore, only 3 additional submittals are expected. If additional submittals are determined to be necessary at a later date, you will be informed as they become appropriate.

Seven copies of each transmittal should be submitted. Each submittal should be sent directly to the project manager and marked "Open by Addressee Only."

If there are any questions concerning the above information please contact the licensing project manager, Mary Haughey (301-492-7897). The above information is in accordance with discussions between M. Haughey and A. Zallnick of your staff on June 18 and 19, 1985.

Sincerely,

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
Marked-up copy of schedule
for Tech Spec review

cc: See next page



Mr. B. G. Hooten
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
Unit 2

cc: Mr. Troy B. Conner, Jr., Esq.
Conner & Wetterhahn
Suite 1050
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Mr. Thomas E. Murley
U.S. NRC, Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Richard Goldsmith
Syracuse University
College of Law
E. I. White Hall Campus
Syracuse, New York 12223

Ezra I. Bialik
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
New York State Department of Law
2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047

Resident Inspector
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Station
P. O. Box 99
Lycoming, New York 13093

Mr. John W. Keib, Esq.
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202


Mr. James Linville
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Norman Rademacher,
Licensing
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202



12

DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR NINE MILE POINT - 2





2000
1000