

SUPERSEDED
ON 6/28/90

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL

SUMMARY

DOCUMENT No. DCM UNIT 1 Rev. No. 7 *Prd Rev, NC

TITLE OFFSITE WASTE CALCULATION MANUAL - U1

Author J. BLASIAK Date 2/27/90

Description of Changes (Itemize the nature/reason of general changes)

- ① Included methodology for calculation of stack K factor using an off-gas resin release distribution in the equation
- ② Revised description of RASONS/OASONS to reflect modifications in program

MODIFICATION RELATED CHANGES YES NO MOD. CONTROL NO. 87-91

* IF PERIODIC REVIEW WITH NO CHANGES (Prd Rev, NC), USE THE LAST PUBLISHED REVISION NUMBER AND CONTINUE REVIEW PROCESS.

INTRADISCIPLINARY REVIEW (minimum of one person required)

DEPT. NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
<u>Chem.</u>	<u>Sr. Chem Spec</u>	<u>J. Blasiak</u>	<u>2/27/90</u>

CROSS DISCIPLINARY REVIEW (if not required, use lines for justification statement)

DEPT. NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
<u>Env. Prot.</u>	<u>Algr. Env. Prot.</u>	<u>H. W. Langston</u>	<u>2/27/90</u>
<u>H.P.</u>	<u>Assoc. Health Phys.</u>	<u>Beth Thomas</u>	<u>2/27/90</u>

IF NOT IN CONCLURENCE, DO NOT SIGN BUT RETURN DOCUMENT TO THE AUTHOR WITH COMMENTS

Routed to Quality Assurance for review: Yes No If No, reason _____

Q. A. Representative S. Waplington Date 2/27/90 & comments are attached.

Routed to A.L.A.R.A. for review: Yes No If No, reason Change do not impact dose of station personnel

A.L.A.R.A. Representative N/A Date N/A & comments are attached.

SAFETY ANALYSIS REQUIRED: NO YES (SEE ATTACHED) completed 9/27/90
IF YES, ANALYSIS ASSIGNED TO: SITE OR TO ENGINEERING DATE _____

REVIEW OF THE SUBJECT DOCUMENT HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND APPROVAL IS RECOMMENDED. (Approvers shall signify approval on the procedure cover sheet)...

DOCUMENT HELD FOR SORC (MEETING # 90-023). APPROVED, YES NO .

OWNERSHIP DEPT SUPV J. Blasiak DEPT Chem DATE 2/27/90

FIG 2.0-2 SH 1 OF 4

AP-2.0 -29 December 1989

1, 19, 21, 22A, 22B
24, 25, 26

9009110047 900830
PDR ADOCK 05000220
R PNU



Vertical text on the left margin, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

Main body of text, appearing as faint, illegible characters, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL

EVALUATION OF NEED FOR SAFETY ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH 10 CFR 50.59

(Documents that require General Supt. approval per Tech Spec 6.8)

FOR DOCUMENT NO. DCM u1 REV. 7 DATE 2/27/90

The Author (A) and four SORC Members (Minimum - 2 regular members, 2 alternates) are to respond to each of the questions below.

		NO	YES*
Does the document/revision result in a change to the facility or procedures described in the FSAR?***	A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the document/revision deviate from compliance to Tech Specs, or is the margin of safety defined in the basis reduced?	A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the document/revision increase the probability of occurrence, or the consequences of an accident, or malfunction of equipment important to safety (Class 1) evaluated in the FSAR increased?	A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the document/revision create the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated in the FSAR?	A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*A "MAYBE" constitutes a "YES" response.

SORC MEMBERS RECOMMENDATIONS TO GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT

Recommend Nuclear Engineering or Tech Services perform a safety ANALYSIS to present to SORC (noted by a "YES" response to any of the above questions). 1 2 3 4

Recommend full SORC committee review this Evaluation of need for Safety Analysis. 1 2 3 4

Recommend approval - This document does not involve an unreviewed safety question. 1 2 3 4
|_| |_| |_| |_|

SORC Member Signatures	Date	SORC meeting number (if required)
1 _____	_____	<u>90-023</u>
2 _____	_____	
3 _____	_____	
4 _____	_____	

Figure 2.0-2 SH 2 OF 4

*** Safety analysis and FSAR update to incorporate changes into FSAR to be performed in conjunction with MID 87-91, AP-2.0 -30 December 1989

- Safety evaluation for Mid 87-91 is complete 9/2/27/90

中華民國三十一年一月一日

中華民國三十一年一月一日



TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The items entered below have been included in the preparation and/or review of the attached reference document and are presented in place of a specific check sheet for the document.

The following persons were consulted about this procedure

NAME	TITLE	BY
<i>K. Snyder</i>	<i>Gen Eng</i>	<i>JAB</i>
<i>E. Leach</i>	<i>SGM Spec/Eng</i>	<i>JAB</i>

Procedure is in compliance with the following Technical Specifications

SECTION	AMENDMENT	BY
<i>3-6.14</i>	<i>4.6.14</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>3-6.15</i>	<i>4.6.15</i>	<i>68</i>

Compliance with: CFR / US-NRC REGULATORY GUIDES(s)

REGULATORY GUIDES(s)	DATED	BY
<i>1.109</i>	<i>1977</i>	<i>JAB</i>

Compliance with ANSI STANDARD(s)

ANSI STANDARD(s)	DATED	BY
<i>N/A</i>		

Compliance with: ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code(s)

SECTION	DATE	ADDENDUM	BY
	<i>N/A</i>		

is consistent with the following Station or Site procedures:

NUMBER	REV.	BY
<i>NI-CSP-7A</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>JAB</i>

OTHER INFORMATION SOURCES CONSULTED

SOURCES CONSULTED	BY
<i>N/A</i>	

AUTHOR *J. Snyder* DATE *2/27/90*
 REVIEWED BY *H. J. Vance* DATE *2/27/90*

COMMENTS

Vertical text on the left margin, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

Faint horizontal text in the middle of the page, likely bleed-through from the reverse side.

Another line of faint horizontal text in the middle of the page, also likely bleed-through.

A third line of faint horizontal text in the middle of the page, continuing the bleed-through pattern.

Vertical text on the left margin, continuing from the top section, possibly bleed-through.