REGULATERY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:	8712020460 DOC. DATE	87/11/24	NOTARIZED: NO	DOCKET #
FACIL: 50-410	Nine Mile Point Nuclea	r Station,	Unit 2, Niagara	Moha 05000410
AUTH. NAME	AUTHOR AFFILIATIO	N	-	
RANDALL, R. G.	Niagara Mohawk Pow	er Corp.		
LEMPGES, T. E.	Niagara Mohawk Pow	er Corp.		
RECIP. NAME	RECIPIENT AFFILIA	TION		

SUBJECT: LER 87-062-00: on 871027, limiting condition for operation as defined by Tech Specs violated. Caused by personnel error. LPCS pump declared inoperable & surveillance test reperformed. W/871124 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR _(ENCL) SIZE: _______ TITLE: 50.73 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES: 21

.

05000410

	RECIPIENT	COPI	ES	RECIPIENT	COPI	ES
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL.
	PD1-1 LA	1	1	PD1-1 PD	1	1
	HAUGHEY, M	1	1	BENEDICT, B	1	1
INTERNAL:	ACRS MICHELSON	1	1	ACRS MDELLER	2	2
	AEOD/DOA	1	1	AEOD/DSP/NAS	1	1
	AEOD/DSP/RUAB	2	2	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1	1
,	ARM/DCTS/DAB	1	1	DEDRO	1	1
	NRR/DEST/ADS	1	Ō	NRR/DEST/CEB	1	1
	NRR/DEST/ELB	1	1	NRR/DEST/ICSB	1	1
,	NRR/DEST/MEB	1	1	NRR/DEST/MTB	1	1
	NRR/DEST/PSB	1	1	NRR/DEST/RSB	1	1
	NRR/DEST/SGB	1	1	NRR/DLPQ/HFB	1	1
	NRR/DLPQ/QAB	1	1	NRR/DOEA/EAB	1	1
	NRR/DREP/RAB	1	1	NRR/DREP/RPB	2	2
•	NRRADRISASIB	1	1	NRR/PMAS/ILRB	`1	1
	REG FILE 02	1	1	RES DEPY GI .	1	1
	RES TELFORD, J	1	1	RES/DE/EIB	1	1
	RGN1 FILE 01	1	1			
EXTERNAL:	EG&G GRDH, M	5	5	H ST LOBBY WARD	1	1
	LPDR	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC HARRIS, J	1	1	NSIC MAYS, G	1	1

3. 1 • • .

RC Form -83)	366	٩						<u></u>			<u></u>			U.S. NUC	LEAR R	EGULAT	DRY COM	MISSION	
							LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)		E	XPIRES	: 8/31/88			
	NAME (1	,											DOCKET	NUMBER (2}		PA	GE (3)	
	Ni	ne Mi		nin	t Unit	2							0 15	10101	0 4	10	1 0	FI06	
TLE (4)	Fa	ilure	of f		nt Pers	onn	el t	o Rec	ogniz	e tha	t a Su	rveillar	ice Te	est di	d no	t	ion	100	
EVE	NT DATE	(5)	163		R NUMBER (5)			PORTDAT	<u>5</u> 111 E (7)		OTHE	R FACILIT	IES INVOL	VED (8)	0140	1011		
DNTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		SEQUENTIAL	T T	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY N	AMES	T	DOCKET	NUMBER	a'si		
	-			i	NUMBER		NUMBER					N/A			0 5	0 0	101	1_1	
10	08	87	87	-	062	—	00	11	24	87		<u>N/A</u>			0 5	0 0	101		
OPE	RATING DE (91		THIS REI	PORT	IS SUBMITTE	D PUF	ISUANT 1	TO THE R	EQUIREM	NTS OF 1	CFR 5: 10	neck one or more	e or the for	111) (inwing) T	1 4	171(5)			
		4	20.	402(6) 406/+1	(11)			50 38/-	3(1)			50.73(4)(2)(4)		ŀ		3.71(c)			
LEVE		000	20.	405(s)	(176) (13)(4)			50,36(c)(2)		50,73(a)(2)(V)					Specify in Abstrect			
,		.000	20.	405(a)	(1)60	1	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)			50,73(a)(2)(viil)(A)	ŀ	50 30	low and in SGAI	In Text, NAC Form		
, " i			20,	405(a)	(1)(iv)		<u> </u>	50,73(*)(2)(4)		H	50.73(a)(2)/vil)(8)						
			20.	405(a)	(1)(v)			- 50,73(a)(2)(60)			50,73(e)(2)(x)	-						
			X				, L	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)								
ME							•							1	TELEPHO	ONE NUM	BEP		
	Ro	bert	G. Ra	and	all, Su	per	viso	r Tec	hnica	1 Sup	port		ARE	315	349	-244	5		
					COMPLETE	ONE	LINE FOR	EACH C	OMPONEN	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	DAT (13)			i·			
AUSE	SYSTEN	COMPO	DNENT	M	ANUFAC- TURER	REPO TO	NPRDS		•	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	COMPONENT MANUFAC- REPORT		NPRDS				
									، - ، بر ا بر ا بر ا								R)		
								111 2 ⁵ - 1 1 - 5 - 10 ¹¹									1		
					SUPPLEME	NTAL	REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)					EXPECTE	0	MONTH	OAY	YEAP	
	lif yes c	ompiete E.	XPECTED	SUBM	IISSION DATE	7		-	X NO					SUBMISSIC DATE (15	N N				
On Tec Un	Octo chnica it 2.	ber 2 al Sp The pecif	ecifi TS v)87 cat hicat	at 154 tions (ch was dition	5 h TS) vic	ours) was blate	a Li foun d sta ot be	mitin d to tes: made	g Con have "Ent unle	dition been v ry int ss the	for Ope violated o an Ope conditi	eratic at Ni eratic ions f	on as ine Mi onal C For th	defi le P ondi e Li	ned l oint tion mitir	by or ng		

Action requirements." At the time of discovery of the event the plant was in the cold shutdown condition with the mode switch in the "SHUTDOWN" position. Reactor pressure and temperature were at approximately 0 pounds per square inch gauge and 124 degrees Fahrenheit, respectively.

The cause of the event was cognitive personnel error when several Niagara Mohawk personnel signed a completed Low Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) surveillance procedure as satisfactory when the data recorded within the procedure did not meet its TS "Acceptance Criteria". Contributing to the event were several procedural deficiencies and personnel error.

Immediate corrective action was to declare the LPCS pump inoperable and reperform the surveillance test. Additional corrective actions have been implemented to correct the minor procedural deficiencies contained within the LPCS procedure and written notification has been issued to all Operations personnel stressing the importance of performing surveillance procedures with proper care. This written notification also adds several new Operations policies which will help prevent future events of this nature.

8712020460	871124
PDR ADOCK	05000410
S	PDR

NRC Form 366 19 83

S.

, -• • . ٩

.

1...

NRC FOR TOTAL	E SENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	U.S.	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	***	SEQUENTIAL		REVISION		Γ	r <u> </u>
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0.151010101 410	87	_	062		00	02	OF	06

TEXT (M more appece is required, use additional NRC Form 300A's) (17) I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENTS

On October 27, 1987 at 1545 hours, a Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) as defined by Technical Specifications (TS) was found to have been violated at Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2). The LCO which was violated (TS 3.0.4) is a general applicability statement which states:

"Entry into an OPERATIONAL CONDITION or other specified condition shall not be made unless the conditions for the Limiting Condition for Operation are met without reliance on provisions contained in the ACTION requirements. This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS as required to comply with ACTION requirements. Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual specifications."

The TS violation was a result of entering Operational Conditions which require the Low Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) system to be operable under conditions where LPCS should have been declared inoperable, but was not. At the time of the discovery of the event, the plant was in the cold shutdown condition with reactor pressure and temperature at approximately 0 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) and 124 degrees Fahrenheit (°F).

On October 8, 1987 Operations Surveillance Procedure N2-OSP-CSL-Q002, "LPCS Pump and Valve Operability and System Integrity Test", was being performed. This test was written to satisfy several Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements, among them TS 4.5.1.b.1. This TS states that the Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) shall be demonstrated operable by verifying that, when tested pursuant to TS 4.0.5, the LPCS pump develops a flow of at least 6350 gpm (gallons per minute) against a test line pressure greater than or equal to 290 psig. TS 4.0.5 states that the test shall be performed in accordance with methods and frequencies described in Section XI of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda. Per Section XI of the ASME code, the LPCS pump test is performed once per 92 days (quarterly). The test was completed and signed as meeting the acceptance criteria of N2-OSP-CSL-Q002.

During a Niagara Mohawk Quality Assurance (QA) Department review of the signed-off procedure (N2-OSP-CSL-Q002) completed on October 8, 1987, it was found that the data recorded in the procedure did not support the conclusion that the acceptance criteria had been met. The data sheet for the LPCS pump showed measured values of 6350 gpm at 285 psig, which was not acceptable. The QA review was conducted on October 27, 1987, thus for a period of 19 days (October 8 through October 27) the LPCS pump was not shown to be able to satisfy operability requirements per TS 4.5.1.b.1 and was considered administratively inoperable.

. . х И ` . .

ю.

	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	JATION	U.S	APPROVED O EXPIRES: 8/31	ULATORI MB NO, 31 /88	r con 50-0	4MISSION 104	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LE	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION NUMBER		Π		
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 410	87 _	062	00	03	OF	06	
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)	*					السوريا		

TS 3.5.1 Action a.1 requires that with the LPCS system inoperable, restore the inoperable LPCS system to an operable status within 7 days. Otherwise, be in at least Hot Shutdown within the next 12 hours and in Cold Shutdown within the following 24 hours. TS 3.5.1 Action a.1 is applicable only in operational conditions 1 (Run), 2 (Startup) and 3 (Hot Shutdown). Although plant personnel were not aware that NMP2 was in an LCO Action Statement, the plant was placed in cold shutdown on October 13, 1987 during the performance of a scheduled Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) test. Thus, by coincidence TS 3.5.1 Action a.1 had been satisfied because the plant had been placed in the cold shutdown condition within 7 days of the LPCS pump becoming inoperable on October 8, 1987.

On October 19, NMP2 was restarted and ran for approximately three days resulting in a violation of TS 3.0.4. The plant scrammed on October 22, 1987 and remained in the shutdown condition until 1330 hours on October 28, 1987. At 1545 hours on October 27, 1987, the QA Department discovered that the results of the October 8 LPCS pump surveillance test were not acceptable and determined that the LPCS pump should have been declared inoperable at that time. Immediate operator action was taken to declare the LPCS pump inoperable and to reperform N2-OSP-CSL-Q002. The procedure was run and acceptable data was obtained (actual data was 6400 gpm at 300 psig). The LPCS pump was restored to an operable status at 0258 hours on October 28, 1987.

Statistical analysis of the historical data taken for the LPCS pump indicates, with a confidence level of greater than 99%, that the data taken on October 8, 1987 was in error. The acceptable data taken on October 27, 1987 tends to support this conclusion since no adjustments, maintenance, or other work was done on the LPCS pump during the time period between the two tests. Thus, for the period between October 8 and 27, 1987, the LPCS pump was technically operable and able to perform its intended function. However, during this same period the LPCS pump was not shown to be able to satisfy TS Surveillance Requirement 4.5.1.b.1 which automatically placed NMP2 in an Action Requirement (TS 3.5.1 Action a.1).

The total duration of the event was from October 8 through October 27, 1987, a total of 19 days. By coincidence there was no period of reactor operation in operational condition 1, 2, or 3 which exceeded the seven day limit specified in TS 3.5.1 Action a.1. There were no other components or systems which were inoperable and/or out of service which contributed to this event. No plant systems or other component failures resulted from this event.

II. CAUSE OF EVENTS

A root cause analysis for the event has been completed per Site Supervisory Procedure S-SUP-1, "Root Cause Analysis Program". The results of this analysis show that the root cause of this event is cognitive personnel error by the Niagara Mohawk personnel who signed the completed LPCS test procedure, N2-OSP-CSL-Q002, as satisfactory when it contained data which did not satisfy the acceptance criteria in the procedure. The individuals who incorrectly signed the procedure as satisfactory failed to pay proper attention to detail, specifically they failed to make the proper comparison between the recorded data and the TS acceptance criteria for N2-OSP-CSL-Q002.

۴, .. , • 4 *

NRC Form 346A (9-83)		RT (LER) TEX		UATO	N	U.S	AP	LEAR REG	BULATOR	Y COMMISSIC	
	·						EXI	PIRES: 8/31	/88		
FACILITY NAM	E (1)	DOCKET NUMBER		LER NUMBER (6)					AGE (3)		
				YEAR		· NUMBER		NUMBER	ł		
Nine M	ile Point Unit 2	0 5 0 0	0 410	87		062 ·	-	00	04	оғ06	
ECT (If more ap	ece is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)		_								
Contri proced	buting to the root cause of the lural deficiencies. These defic	event was iencies may	be descr	error ibed a	an s f	id sever	ra I :				
้า.	A Licensed Reactor Operator re October 8, 1987. This led to outside the TS acceptance crit	corded erro the data re eria for th	neous dat corded in e procedu	a for N2-OS re.	the P-(ELPCS SL-QOO	pumi 2 b	o on eing			
2.	2. The TS acceptance criteria for the LPCS pump flow rate and discharge pressure were listed as 6350 gpm and 290 psig respectively. They should have been listed as 6350 gpm and \geq 290 psig to avoid misinterpretation of unacceptable data as acceptable.										
3.	There are two sets of data in the surveillance procedure N2-OSP-CSL-Q002; one to satisfy TS requirements and the other to satisfy the Inservice Testing requirements (ASME, Section XI). The data sheets are deficient in making this distinction, which can lead to the misunderstanding by plant personnel of the significance of certain data values when compared to others in the same procedure.										
4.	The Inservice Testing data sheet contains an acceptable range for the LPCS pump flow rate (6350 to 6400 gpm) which will satisfy Inservice Testing requirements but contains no such acceptable range for LPCS pump discharge pressure which may lead to the misunderstanding that this parameter does not have an acceptance range and that any value is acceptable.										
III.	ANALYSIS OF EVENTS										
The L Syste postu pipin be pr makes	ow Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) syn (ECCS) at NMP2. The ECCS is c lated loss-of-cooling accidents g. The ECCS network has suffic- ovided even in the event of spec up the ECCS:	ystem is par designed to (LOCA) caus ient redunda cified failu	t of the provide n sed by rup ancy so th ures. The	Emerge reactor otures nat ade e follo	enc in equ owi	y Core ore coo primar ate coo ng equi	Coc lin y s lir	oling ig for system ig can ent	n I		
1. 2. 3. 4.	l High-Pressure Core Spray (H l Low-Pressure Core Spray (LP 3 Low-Pressure Coolant Inject l Automatic Depressurization S	PCS) system CS) system ion (LPCI) System (ADS)	loops)							,	

The ECCS is designed such that no single active component or system failure shall prevent the ECCS from performing its reactor core cooling requirements, if needed. Thus, the inoperability of the LPCS pump would not have prevented the ECCS from performing its design function properly.

ډ

** · · •

•

(RC Form 36 5-83)	3A	. LICENSEE	ENT REPOR	T (LE	R) TE	ХТ С	CONTINU		N	U.S.	API EXF	PROVED (PIRES: 8/3	GULATO OMB NO, 1/88	RY COMMISSI 3150-0104
ACILITY N	ME (1)	·····		DOCKE	T NUMB	ER (2)		1	LEI	R NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		'						YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION		
Nine	Mile F	Point Unit 2		0 5	00	0 0	410	87		062	_	00	05	оғ06
DCT (# more	apace le requ	wheel, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (1	17}					4	·	·	1	<u> </u>	ł	
In th throu was 1 27, t funct this	is cas ighout ater s he LP(ion an event.	the duration of th shown to have been S pump was technic d it is concluded	as technic he event be in error. cally opera that no ad	ally caus Thu ble vers	e tes s, fo and a e saf	st da or th able fety	perform ata take ne perio to peri consequ	n its en on od of form f Jences	de Oc Oc its s re	tober 8 tober 8 design esulted	nct , 1 th fr	: 10n 1987 1rough 1om	ו	
IV.	CORF	RECTIVE ACTIONS												-
Upon Contr reper accep To pr actio	being ol Roc form M tance event ns hav	notified of the un om immediately decl N2-OSP-CSL-QOO2. T criteria. Shortly similar events fro ve been taken:	ared the L he retest thereafte m recurring	ry L PCS data r the g in	PCS r pump met e LP(the	inor the S pu futu	data, d perable Technic mp was ure the	operat and m cal Sp decla follo	tor: nade pec: arec arec	s in the e provi ificati d operal ng corre	e sio on ble ect	ons to e. :ive	D	,
1.	The corr Admi	procedural deficie ected by submittal nistrative Procedu	ncies cite of a Temp re AP-2.0,	d as orar "Pro	cont y Cha oduct	ribu nge ion	uting to Notice and Cor	o this to N2 ntrol	s ev 2-03 of	vent ha SP-CSL-(Proced	ve 200 ure	been 2 pei s".	r	
2.	Writ pers dire Incl NMP2 erro surv	ten notification h connel (NMP29430) a ctly resulted in s uded in the memo w including this pa ors, several new po reillance tests. T	as been is ddressing crams, occu as a list rticular e licies have hese new po	sued the urren of th vent e be olic	to a subje nce r he mo . To en in ies i	all (ect c epor st r plen nclu	Dperatic of perso rts, and recent p luce the mented w ide:	ons De onnel i TS v persor e numb vith r	epai eri vio nne per resp	rtment rors wh lations l error of per pect to	ich • s a son	i it inel		·
	a.	It has been reemp cannot be complet performing the pr the Station Shift	hasized th ed or an un ocedure is Supervison	at i sat to c.	f any isfac immec	v ste tory liate	ep in a / readir ely halt	surve ng is the	tal te	lance pr ken, the st and r	roc e p not	edure erson ify	2 1	

b. A Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) shall fill out the acceptance criteria section of all operations surveillance procedures.

Also, stressed in the memo was the importance of performing surveillance procedures (and other work) correctly and that the proper care and time should be taken to assure that they are done correctly.

3. Other Operations Surveillance Procedures (OSP's) are being revised to reflect the requirement that either the Station Shift Supervisor (SSS) or the Assistant Station Shift Supervisor (ASSS) initial in approval of acceptance criteria. Both the SSS and ASSS hold SRO licenses at NMP2. These procedures are also being reviewed for deficiencies similar to those which contributed to this event. Improvements to any deficient OSP's shall be made as needed.

·

NRC Form 360.4. (9-83) LICENSEE ENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	ATEN APPROVED O EXPIRES: 8/3	BULATORY COMMISSION IMB NO. 3150-0104 1/85
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION	
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 410	87 - 062 - 00	06 OF 06
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 3664's) (17) V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION			
There has been one previous similar personnel approving and signing a s fact, data contained within the pro criteria. Details of this event ma	event at NMP2 which i urveillance procedure cedure did not support y be found in LER 87-6	involved Niagara Mohaw as satisfactory when, the acceptance 0.	în
	ponents kerenred to n		н. 1
Component	IEEE 803 EIIS Funct	IEEE 805 System 1	5 ID
LPCS System Pump HPCS System LPCI System ADS System	N/A P N/A N/A N/A	BM BG BO JE	

.

*

.

.

• .

.

•

• .

۰.

•

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION .



301 PLAINFIELD ROAD SYRACUSE, NY 13212



THOMAS E. LEMPGES VICE PRESIDENT-NUCLEAR GENERATION

November 24, 1987

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410 LER 87-62

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report:

LER 87-62 Which is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (i) (B), "Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

10 CFR 50.72 reports were made at 1625 hours on October 27, 1987 and 0330 hours on October 28, 1987.

This report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-1022, Supplement No. 2, dated September 1985.

Very truly yours,

onas

Thomas E. Lempges Vice President Nuclear Generation

TEL/CDS/mjd

Attachments

cc: Regional Administrator, Region 1 Sr. Resident Inspector, W. A. Cook

. • • ι. . x • •

> > 1