3	REGULA Y INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION BYSTEM (RIDS)	и
		DCKET #
	•	5000410
AUTH. NAME		
RANDALL, R. G.		
LEMPGES, T. E.	Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	
RECIP. NAME	RECIPIENT AFFILIATION	

SUBJECT: LER 87-065-00: on 871015, secondary containment not maintained at uniform subatmosphere pressure. Caused by design deficiency. Mod to relocated differential pressure pressure elements initiated. W/8711101tr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL / SIZE: \_\_\_\_\_

### NOTES: 21

Α

•	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD1-1 LA HAUGHEY, M	COPIE LTTR 1 1		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD1-1 PD BENEDICT,B	COP LTTR 1 1	
	ACRS MICHELSON AEOD/DOA AEOD/DSP/ROAB ARM/DCTS/DAB NRR/DEST/ADS NRR/DEST/ADS NRR/DEST/ELB NRR/DEST/MEB NRR/DEST/PSB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DLPQ/QAB NRR/DREP/RAB NRR/DRLS/SIB REG FILE 02 RES TELFORD, J RGN1 FILE 01	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ACRS MOELLER AEOD/DSP/NAS AEOD/DSP/TPAB DEDRO NRR/DEST/CEB NRR/DEST/ICSB NRR/DEST/MTB NRR/DEST/RSB NRR/DLPQ/HFB NRR/DDEA/EAB NRR/DDEA/EAB NRR/DREP/RPB NRR/DREP/RPB NRR/PMAS/ILRB RES DEPY GI RES/DE/EIB	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	EG&G GROH,M LPDR NSIC HARRIS,J	5 1 1	5 1 1	H ST LOBBY WARD NRC PDR NSIC MAYS,G	1 1 1	1 1 1

# 5

•

.

NRC For (9-83)	n 366	<b>,</b>					LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)			PPROV	ED OMB		
FACILITY		1)						<u> </u>					DOCKE	T NUMBER (	:)		PAC	GE (3)
													h5					
TITLE (4	' Qu	arte	r Inc	ch V	acuum i	n S	econ	dary	Conta	inmen	t not							
L	Ma	inta		due	to Des	ian		icien	<u>cy</u>									
	ENT DAT	T	<u> </u>				BEL / PLAN	L										
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		NUMBER		NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR			MES	1.				
			1	ch Vacuum in Secondary Containment not due.to. Design Deficiency where not interview of the Additional Network of the Add														
10												1_1_						
	RATING		h				ISUANI			INTE OF	O CFR 9: /		or the fi			71(b)		
POWE		14	+					1				4		┝				
LEVE	Ĺ	000	H.									4		L L			ecity in Ab	strect
			╈╼┥					1				-	A}	μ			n Text, NR	C Form
i 12 - A Ngjanga		х. К. Т	2	0.405 (a	)(1)(iv)			60.73(*	)(2)(ii)			50,73(a)(2)(viii)(	B)	h	/o]u	ntarv	,	
<u> </u>	4	×ب*	2	0.4051	)(1)(v)			50.73(a)	1(2)(iii)			50,73(a)(2)(x)				····		
								ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THE	S LER (12)							
NAME															ELEPHO	INE NUM	0EM	
	Ro	hert	G. F	Rand	all. Su	ner	viso	r Tec	hnica	1 Sup	port		t t		349	-2445	5	
<b>├</b> ──					·····							D IN THIS REPOR						
						1				<u> </u>				ANUEAC		-		. · ·
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT		TURER				τ	CAUSI	SYSTEM	COMPONENT			TON	PADS		
								ا ال چنار ال ترکز ال ا		- . 1								<b>.</b>
								, -	12		1							
		·			SUPPLEME	NTAL	REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)					EVAFOTED	<u> </u>	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
													7	SUBMISSIO	N I			1
									XI									
ABSTRAC On wa: Un ind co Rea re Thi dif in re: bu Con tra bu Con tra gr. Thi De	Octo s det it 2 ch of ld sh actor spect e roo side sulte ild in rrect an smi dific e roo adien is ev	ber in ermin (NMP2 vacu-	IS, 1 ied t 2) ha Jum w wn co sure y. Use c butsi om the nas t Nonc	1987 that ad n vate ondi e an of t ssu ide ne d ons etpo s be rea e se peen	follow the ser ot been r gauge tion wi d coolar his eve re did t the rea ifferen have be ints to en init ctor bu nsed di determ liance"	ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ing	a N dary inta At the temp was r bu in to to to coun ed t rent d to or 10	i agar cont ined ne tin react eratu a des ount ildin empor t for o rel thus ial p not 1 DCFR5	a Moh ainme at a me of or mo re we ign d for t g. T ature arily the ocate remo ressu	nt (R unifo this de sw re ap efici he di hese betw revi diffe the ving re. porta	eactor rm sub deter ritch i proxim ency. fferer differ een ir se the rences differ the ef	Building Datmospher mination, in the "Sh nately ath The syst ice in the ring press iside and e different in the press fect of the fect of the cential press	y) f ic the UTD nospl tem sure out ntia ress the the	for Nine pressur e plant OWN" po heric a to meas ressure e gradie side th al press sure ele differi	e Mi re or was osit and sure grad ents ing n ing n	le Po f 0.2 s in ion. 132°F dient eacto press of	a a ; ; sor A ; sure	
NRC Form (9 83)	366	- 8 - 8	7111 DR	AD	166 87 JCK 05	000	<b>)410</b>											

:

•

• . . . .

, · · · ·

U.S. NUCLEAR RI (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED EXPIRES: 8/2								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		ER NUMBER (6)		•	AGE (	3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL		e	Π		
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 1 410	87 -	065	_ 00	· 02	OF	05	

### I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

Ŷ,

On October 15, 1987 following a Niagara Mohawk Engineering Department evaluation, it was determined that the secondary containment (Reactor Building) for Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) had not been maintained at a uniform subatmospheric pressure of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge, as stated in the NMP2 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). At the time of this determination, the plant was in a cold shutdown condition with the reactor mode switch in the "SHUTDOWN" position. Reactor pressure and coolant temperature were approximately atmospheric and 132°F, respectively.

Although the FSAR states that a uniform subatmospheric pressure shall be maintained, due to the effect of elevation on pressure, this is not possible. The effect of elevation on pressure is even greater when dealing with cold ambient conditions.

When the temperature outside is lower than the temperature inside the reactor building, the air outside is more dense than the air inside the reactor building. Since the colder air on the outside is more dense, it exerts more of a force per increment of elevation. Therefore, as one moves up from elevation 265, the pressure decrease is more rapid outside the reactor building than inside the reactor building. This difference in the pressure decrease for inside and outside the reactor building can be substantial. A differential pressure of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge measured at elevation 265, with reactor building interior and exterior temperatures of 85°F and 0°F, respectively, results in a positive 0.06 inch water gauge differential pressure at the uppermost elevation of the reactor building.

There were no components or systems which were inoperable and/or out of service which contributed to this condition. No plant system or component failures resulted from this condition.

# II. CAUSE OF EVENT

The root cause of this event was a design deficiency. The design of the system to measure differential pressure between the interior of the reactor building and the atmosphere did not account for the difference in the pressure gradients inside and outside the reactor building. These differing pressure gradients resulted from the difference in temperature between inside and outside the reactor building.

With the reactor building interior maintained at 85°F, whenever the outside temperature is below 85°F the external air is denser than the air inside the reactor building. Therefore, as one increases in elevation from elevation 265, where the differential pressure is measured, the pressure decrease outside the reactor building is more than the pressure decrease inside the reactor building. Under these conditions, with no compensation for the differing pressure gradients, the upper elevations of the reactor building were maintained at less than 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge with respect to the atmosphere.

Ľ . , .

	APPROVED C	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		ER NUMBER (6)			AGE (	3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION NUMBER		Π		
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 1 410	87	. 065	00	03	OF	05	

# TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)

### III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

Operation of the plant while in this condition would not have resulted in any significant safety hazard or increase in the consequences of an accident. NMP2 has remained within its Technical Specifications for operation of the plant.

Maintaining the reactor building at a uniform subatmospheric pressure of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge is a commitment in the NMP2 FSAR. However, the analysis of the radiological consequences of a LOCA inside primary containment presented in Sections 6.2.3 and 15.6.5 of the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 FSAR is a very conservative analysis. It follows the methods/assumptions and conditions of Nuclear Regulatory Commission Standard Review Plan (SRP) 15.6.5 (NUREG-800), and Regulatory Guides 1.3 and 1.7. The most restrictive assumption in the analysis is that 100% core noble gas inventory and 25% core halogen inventory are released to the drywell and 50% core halogens are immediately released to the suppression This assumes massive fuel damage. However, this is a very conservative pool. assumption in that the Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) are designed to actuate in sufficient time, even in the event of the worst single failure, to prevent the maximum fuel cladding temperature from exceeding 2200°F, limit local oxidation of the fuel cladding to 17% of the total cladding thickness before oxidation, limit total hydrogen generated to 1% of the total hypothetical amount which could be generated, maintain the core in a geometry amenable to cooling and maintain the core temperature acceptably low by decay heat removal. Compliance with these requirements assures there would be no significant fission product release to the containment.

Therefore, using a realistic but still conservative analysis of the LOCA accident, offsite doses would remain less than the lOCFR100 guidelines and no significant safety hazard existed.

The Technical Specification surveillance requirement for demonstrating the secondary containment integrity is maintained states in part, "SECONDARY CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY shall be demonstrated by: a. Verifying at least once per 24 hours that the pressure within the secondary containment is greater than or equal to 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge." Per the approved procedure, the secondary containment differential pressure was verified as being maintained at least once per 24 hours when secondary containment integrity was required. Verifying the differential pressure requirement was being maintained was in accordance with the BASES of the secondary containment technical specification and in accordance with 10CFR50 Appendix A, General Design Criteria. The BASES for secondary containment states, "Secondary containment is designed to minimize any ground level release of radioactive material which may result from an accident." 10CFR50 Appendix A. General Design Criteria, specifically Criteria 16, "Containment Design", requires, "an essentially leak-tight containment". Having maintained the majority of the reactor building at some negative differential pressure would minimize any ground level release.

, . . , • 

	REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	UA	N	U.S.	АРР	LEAR REC ROVED O IRES: 8/31	MB NO. 3	۰. ۲	MMISSION 0104
PACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LE	R NUMBER (6)			P	AGE	(3)
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION			
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 1 410	87	_	065		00	04	OF	05

### TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)

This condition of a reduced differential pressure as stated in the FSAR has existed since October 31, 1986, when NMP2 received its operating license. It should be noted that, although a minimum of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge was not maintained, secondary containment was maintained at a subatmospheric pressure, except on extremely cold days this past winter. Secondary containment integrity is required only while in Operational Conditions 1 (RUN), 2 (STARTUP), 3 (HOT SHUTDOWN) and \*(When irradiated fuel is being handled in the reactor building and during CORE ALTERATIONS and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel). With fuel loading completed in November 1986 and no entry into Operational Conditions 1, 2 or 3 until May 1987, secondary containment was required during this time only while in Operational Condition \*. While it has not been established if and/or when NMP2 was in Operational Condition \* during this time, it is felt that these times coincident with the extremely cold days were of short duration and presented no significant safety hazard.

This event has been determined to not be reportable per 10CFR21, "Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance", nor 10CFR50.73, "Licensee Event Report System", but is being submitted as a voluntary LER.

### IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediate corrective action was not required at the time of the determination, since the plant was in cold shutdown, with no requirement to have secondary containment integrity.

To maintain a minimum differential pressure of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge for all areas in the reactor building, prior to plant restart, the setpoint on the differential pressure transmitters were reset, from 0.33 to 0.76 inch of vacuum water gauge. Analysis has shown resetting the transmitters' setpoints to 0.76 inch of vacuum water gauge assures the differential pressure at upper elevations in the reactor building will be at least 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge, with interior and exterior reactor building temperatures ranging from 85°F to 0°F, respectively.

A modification has been initiated to relocate the differential pressure elements to the roof of the reactor building. By relocating the pressure elements, the effect of the differing pressure gradients on the sensed differential pressure is removed and the differential pressure setpoints may be reset to the original value of 0.33 inch of vacuum water gauge. With implementation of this modification, a large pressure differential in the lower elevations of the reactor building will exist only on days of significant differences between the reactor building interior and exterior air temperatures. This modification (PN2Y87MX229) is currently scheduled to begin on November 23, 1987.

With the implementation of this modification, a minimum, not uniform, differential pressure of 0.25 inch of vacuum water gauge will be established in the reactor building. The FSAR statement of a uniform pressure cannot be met. Therefore, the FSAR will be reviewed and ammended to make the FSAR and Technical Specifications consistent.

. --. د

I

y i

	T (LE	EXT C	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMI APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88									
ACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKE	TNUMB	ER (2)	······			NUMBER				PAGE	3)
	1				YEAR		NUMBER	.L 🥘	REVISION			
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0  5	0	0   0	410	87		065		00	05	OF	05
DCT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)	<u></u>	-1	<u> </u>			1					لمسحاب	L
V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION												
Identification of Component	nents	Ref	errec	i to in	n this	; LEI	R			4		
Component			EEE 8 IIS F						805 em ID			
Emergency Standby Gas Treatment System Reactor Building Ventilation System Differential Pressure Indicator Pressure Transmitter Differential Pressure Transmitters	1		N/A N/A PDI PT PDT	·				BH VA BH BH BH				
There have been no previous similar ev	vents	at	NMP2.							×		
	•											-
									• . •		·	

•

.

. 5

.

• •

•

•

NMP26483

# NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION



301 PLAINFIELD ROAD SYRACUSE, NY 13212



THOMAS E. LEMPGES VICE PRESIDENT—NUCLEAR GENERATION

November 10, 1987

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410 LER 87-65

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, we hereby submit the following voluntary Licensee Event Report:

LER 87-65

A 10 CFR 50.72 report was made at 1647 hours on October 15, 1987.

This report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-1022, Supplement No. 2, dated September 1985.

Very truly yours,

Thomas E. Lempges Vice President Nuclear Generation

TEL/JTD/mjd

Attachments

cc: Regional Administrator, Region 1 Sr. Resident Inspector, W. A. Cook

# ۲

. . •

. 

.

.

.

•

я 1414

ч