



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 93 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-63  
NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION  
NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1  
DOCKET NO. 50-220

INTRODUCTION

By application dated February 20, 1987, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (the licensee) requested an amendment to Appendix A of Facility Operating License No. DPR-63 for the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1. The amendment would modify the Technical Specifications (TS) Section 6 to make the organization chart more consistent with that for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2 and to include the qualifications requirements for the new position of Radiation Protection Manager.

EVALUATION

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation has updated Figure 6.2-2 to make the organization chart more consistent with the corresponding figure for Nine Mile Point Unit 2. This revision includes the following changes:

1. The addition of an operations group under a Station Superintendent Unit 2 that is directly responsible for the operation of Unit 2.
2. The separation of the chemistry and radiochemistry function from the radiation protection function within the Chemistry and Radiation Management Department. The new position of Radiation Protection Manager has been established to manage the day-to-day radiation protection program.

We find that the above changes are acceptable because they make the organization chart more consistent with that of Unit No. 2 and the organization meets the acceptance criteria of Section 13.1.2-13.1.3 of NUREG-0800, the Standard Review Plan.

The licensee has also updated Section 6.3.1 to include the qualifications requirements for the new position of Radiation Protection Manager.

We find this change acceptable because it meets the acceptance criteria of Section 13.1.2-13.1.3 of NUREG-0800, the Standard Review Plan and is consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications.

8709110506 870827  
PDR ADOCK 05000220  
P PDR



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes to the administrative controls for plant operation. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security nor to the health and safety of the public.

PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTOR:

F. Allenspach

Dated: August 27, 1987



10-10-10