REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8610020196 DDC. DATE: 86/09/25 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL: 50-410 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Niagara Moha 05000410 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION MANGAN, C. V. Niagara Nohawk Power Corp. RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION ADENSAM, E. G. BUR Project Directorate 3

SUBJECT: Summarizes approach used to resolve Comment 89 in util 860716 ltr. Commission expressed desire not to change SER re spent fuel pool heat loads. Util concurred. Comment 89 withdrawn in 860918 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: BOOID COPIES RECEIVED: LTR L ENCL O SIZE: ______ TITLE: Licensing Submittal: PSAR/FSAR Amdts & Related Correspondence

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAM BWR EB BWR FOB BWR FOB BWR PD3 PD BWR PSB	ΊE	COPIES LTTR E 1 1 1	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NA BWR EICSB BWR PD3 LA HAUGHEY,M BWR RSB		COPJ LTTR 2 1 2 1	
INTERNAL:	ACRS ELD/HDS3 IE/DEPER/EPB NRR BWR ADTS NBR BOE N L REG FILE RHFDDAMI/MIB	41 36 04	6 1 1 1 1 1	ADM/LFMB IE FILE IE/DQAVT/QAB NRR PWR-B AD NRR/DHFT/MTB RGN1		1 1 1 1 3	0 - - -
EXTERNAL:	BNL (AMDTS ONL LPDR NSIC	_Y) 03 05	1 1 1	DMB/DSS (AMD NRC PDR PNL GRUEL,R	TS) 02	1 1 1	

ENCL

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 36

L.)		
	7,,81 *8		Per terretere terre
<u>-</u> * * *	C. S. At	1	


n na sea ann an tha ann

• 4 •

1

Ĕ i

n (RA) Be Maria




ĸ •

le .

K.

1

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511



Ľ.

September 25, 1985 (NMP2L 0887)

Ms. Elinor G. Adensam, Director BWR Project Directorate No. 3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7920 Norfolk Avenue Washington, DC 20555

Dear Ms. Adensam:

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Docket No. 50-410

This letter explains the approach to resolving Niagara Mohawk's Comment Number 89 contained in letter NM2L-0783 dated July 16, 1986.

On September 3, 1986, a meeting was held to discuss the manner in which spent fuel pool heat loads had been determined and presented in the Safety Evaluation Report page 9-6. That page describes the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's independent analysis of the heat loads. The time for moving fuel and the resultant heat loads were different from that presented in the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Final Safety Analysis Report. During the meeting, it was agreed that although different values had been used, both methods yielded acceptable results. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission expressed a desire not to change the Safety Evaluation Report. Niagara Mohawk concurred with this position and agreed to withdraw Comment Number 89 (see letter number NMP2L-0872 dated September 18, 1986).

Very truly yours,

Cernlangan C. V. Mangan

Senior Vice President

NLR/pns 2089G

xc: W. A. Cook, NRC Resident Inspector Project File (2)

8610020196 860925 PDR ADOCK 05000410

, , 1

• • • • • • • • •

,

淹 .

Y