

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I

Report No. 50-410/86-43

Docket No. 50-410

License No. CPPR-112

Category B

Licensee: Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

300 Erie Boulevard, West

Syracuse, New York 13202

Facility Name: Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2

Inspection At: Scriba, New York

Inspection Conducted: July 28, 1986 - August 1, 1986

Inspectors:

R. A. McBrearty, Reactor Engineer

8/14/86

date

Approved by:

J. T. Wiggins, Chief, Materials and
Processes Section, EB, DRS

8/14/86

date

Inspection Summary: Inspection on July 28 - August 1, 1986 (Inspection Report
Number 50-410/86-43)

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced inspection of licensee response to IE
Bulletins and licensee action on previous inspection findings.

Results: No violations were identified.

8608290061 860820
PDR ADOCK 05000410
Q PDR



DETAILS

1.0 Persons Contacted

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

- * G. Afflerbach, Start-up Manager
- * S. Agarwal, Special Projects
- * J. Conway, Power Ascension Manager
- * W. Hansen, Manager Nuclear Quality Assurance Operations
- * T. Lee, Special Projects
- * R. Rao, Project Engineering
- * M. Ray, Manager - Special Projects
- * I. Weakley, Special Projects

Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation (SWEC)

- * T. Arrington, Resident Manager
- * J. Drake Jr., Startup Special Projects Supervisor
- * T. Farrell, Assistant Project Engineer
- * C. Terry, Project Quality Assurance Manager

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

- * W. Cook, Senior Resident Inspector
- * W. Schmidt, Resident Inspector

2.0 Licensee Action on Previous Inspection Findings

(Closed) IE Bulletin (79-BU-02): Pipe Support Base Plate Designs Using Concrete Expansion Anchor Bolts. The Bulletin was issued to address problems at nuclear plants regarding concrete anchor bolts.

The inspector reviewed the licensee's response to the Bulletin and verified, by reviewing documentation, QC records, and by measuring and examination of selected installed bolts, that the actions required by the Bulletin were met and that the bolt marking system accurately identified the bolt length. Based on the above, this item is considered closed.

(Closed) Violation (410/86-13-03): Pipe supports with no measurable gap. The NRC found two Scram Discharge Header supports, 1A and 11A, had no measurable gap. The licensee's response to the violation reported that a surveillance performed by SWEC identified a third support, 18B, with a similar problem. The licensee further reported that the three supports were repaired and the deficient gaps were corrected.



The inspector reviewed the licensee's response to the violation, QC records and, in addition, independently measured the gap in each of the three supports to ascertain that the repairs were effective. He found that the originally deficient gaps were properly repaired and meet applicable gap requirements.

Based on the above this item is considered closed.

(Closed) Violation (410/86-13-01): Rigging of equipment to safety related components. The NRC finding involved two instances of equipment being improperly rigged to safety related components in the plant. The inspector verified, through a review of records, that the corrective and preventive actions by the licensee were carried out. He found that the required evaluation was made, the applicable procedure was revised and personnel were issued reminders regarding rigging requirements at the plant.

Based on the above this item is considered closed.

(Closed) Unresolved Item (410/86-13-04): Absence of damper counterweights on backdraft damper 2HVC *DMP 63.

The inspector reviewed licensee records which indicate that the damper operates satisfactorily with the counterweight removed. Included in the inspector's review was a letter dated May 27, 1986, from the equipment manufacturer, which states that in small dampers, usually those less than one square foot in surface area, the counterweight is not absolutely necessary to assist in blade closure. The damper in question is 6" x 6" or $\frac{1}{2}$ square foot in surface area.

Based on the above this item is considered closed.

(Closed) Violation (410/86-08-01): Drawing in use without having the required verification stamp. The inspector reviewed licensee records which indicated that the drawing in question was found to be the latest issue and correct for use. Additionally QA surveillances found no further examples of unstamped drawings in use in the plant. The inspector found that the appropriate personnel were reminded of the requirement for drawings to be properly stamped, initialed and dated prior to use.

Based on the above this item is closed.

3.0 Exit Interview

The inspector met with licensee representatives (denoted in paragraph 1) at the conclusion of the inspection on August 1, 1986. The inspector summarized the purpose and the scope of the inspection and the findings.

At no time during this inspection was written material provided by the inspector to the licensee.



C