

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8608250212 DDC DATE: 86/08/22 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-410 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Niagara Moha 05000410
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 MANGAN, C. V. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 ADENSAM, E. G. BWR Project Directorate 3

SUBJECT: Forwards updated Pages 6A.4-22 & 44 to Amend 27 to FSAR, in response to NRC 860820 questions. Pages will be included in subsequent FSAR update.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4
 TITLE: Licensing Submittal: PSAR/FSAR Amdts & Related Correspondence

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
	BWR EB	1 1	BWR EICSB	2 2
	BWR FOB	1 1	BWR PD3 LA	1 1
	BWR PD3 PD	1 1	HAUGHEY, M 01	2 2
	BWR PSB	1 1	BWR RSB	1 1
INTERNAL:	ACRS 41	6 6	ADM/LFMB	1 0
	ELD/HDS3	1 0	IE FILE	1 1
	IE/DEPER/EPB 36	1 1	IE/DQAVT/QAB 21	1 1
	NRR BWR ADTS	1 0	NRR PWR-B ADTS	1 0
	NRR ROE, M. L.	1 1	NRR/DHFT/MTB	1 1
	<u>REG FILE</u> 04	1 1	RGN1	3 3
	RM/DDAMI/MIB	1 0		
EXTERNAL:	BNL (AMDTS ONLY)	1 1	DMB/DSS (AMDTS)	1 1
	LPDR 03	1 1	NRC PDR 02	1 1
	NSIC 05	1 1	PNL GRUEL, R	1 1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 36 ENCL 31

1968-1969

1968-1969

1968-1969

1968-1969

1968-1969

1968-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969	1968-1969
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9	9	9
10	10	10	10	10	10
11	11	11	11	11	11
12	12	12	12	12	12
13	13	13	13	13	13
14	14	14	14	14	14
15	15	15	15	15	15
16	16	16	16	16	16
17	17	17	17	17	17
18	18	18	18	18	18
19	19	19	19	19	19
20	20	20	20	20	20
21	21	21	21	21	21
22	22	22	22	22	22
23	23	23	23	23	23
24	24	24	24	24	24
25	25	25	25	25	25
26	26	26	26	26	26
27	27	27	27	27	27
28	28	28	28	28	28
29	29	29	29	29	29
30	30	30	30	30	30
31	31	31	31	31	31
32	32	32	32	32	32
33	33	33	33	33	33
34	34	34	34	34	34
35	35	35	35	35	35
36	36	36	36	36	36
37	37	37	37	37	37
38	38	38	38	38	38
39	39	39	39	39	39
40	40	40	40	40	40
41	41	41	41	41	41
42	42	42	42	42	42
43	43	43	43	43	43
44	44	44	44	44	44
45	45	45	45	45	45
46	46	46	46	46	46
47	47	47	47	47	47
48	48	48	48	48	48
49	49	49	49	49	49
50	50	50	50	50	50
51	51	51	51	51	51
52	52	52	52	52	52
53	53	53	53	53	53
54	54	54	54	54	54
55	55	55	55	55	55
56	56	56	56	56	56
57	57	57	57	57	57
58	58	58	58	58	58
59	59	59	59	59	59
60	60	60	60	60	60
61	61	61	61	61	61
62	62	62	62	62	62
63	63	63	63	63	63
64	64	64	64	64	64
65	65	65	65	65	65
66	66	66	66	66	66
67	67	67	67	67	67
68	68	68	68	68	68
69	69	69	69	69	69
70	70	70	70	70	70
71	71	71	71	71	71
72	72	72	72	72	72
73	73	73	73	73	73
74	74	74	74	74	74
75	75	75	75	75	75
76	76	76	76	76	76
77	77	77	77	77	77
78	78	78	78	78	78
79	79	79	79	79	79
80	80	80	80	80	80
81	81	81	81	81	81
82	82	82	82	82	82
83	83	83	83	83	83
84	84	84	84	84	84
85	85	85	85	85	85
86	86	86	86	86	86
87	87	87	87	87	87
88	88	88	88	88	88
89	89	89	89	89	89
90	90	90	90	90	90
91	91	91	91	91	91
92	92	92	92	92	92
93	93	93	93	93	93
94	94	94	94	94	94
95	95	95	95	95	95
96	96	96	96	96	96
97	97	97	97	97	97
98	98	98	98	98	98
99	99	99	99	99	99
100	100	100	100	100	100

August 22, 1986
(NMP2L 0840)

Ms. Elinor G. Adensam, Director
BWR Project Directorate No. 3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7920 Norfolk Avenue
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Ms. Adensam:

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 2
Docket No. 50-410

Enclosed are updated Final Safety Analysis Report pages to respond to staff questions. These changes were discussed with the staff on August 20, 1986. Typed versions of these pages will be provided as soon as possible. These changes will be included in a subsequent Final Safety Analysis Report update.

Very truly yours,

C. V. Mangar

C. V. Mangar
Senior Vice President

NRL/ar
1996G

Enclosures

xc: W. A. Cook, NRC Resident Inspector
Project File (2)

8608250212 860822
PDR ADDOCK 05000410
A PDR

Boo!
/



Handwritten marks or scribbles in the top right corner.

Faint, illegible text or markings near the top center.

A cluster of faint, illegible markings or characters in the upper right quadrant.

A small group of faint, illegible markings in the center of the page.

A horizontal line of faint, illegible markings across the middle of the page.

A small, vertically oriented group of faint, illegible markings in the lower center.

A horizontal line of faint, illegible markings near the bottom of the page.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation)
(Nine Mile Point Unit 2))

Docket No. 50-410

AFFIDAVIT

C. V. Mangan, being duly sworn, states that he is Senior Vice President of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation; that he is authorized on the part of said Corporation to sign and file with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission the documents attached hereto; and that all such documents are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

C. Mangan

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York and County of Onondaga, this 22nd day of August, 1986.

Christine Austin
Notary Public in and for
Onondaga County, New York

My Commission expires:

CHRISTINE AUSTIN
Notary Public in the State of New York
Qualified in Onondaga Co. No. 4787687
My Commission Expires March 30, 1987

RECEIVED
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Nine Mile Point Unit 2 DAR

6A.4.6.4 Chugging Fatigue Analysis

6A.4.6.4.1 General

Chugging is characterized by a burst of pressure pulses separated by a quiescent period. As is, it can be described as a series of isolated load histories called occurrences, separated by short periods of zero hydrodynamic activity.

For every pool chug, the associated time history exhibits random variation in the dominant frequency and amplitude. Hence, it would be overly conservative to perform fatigue analysis by applying the design load (which was derived to conservatively bound all expected loads) with the estimated total occurrence frequency of the chugging downcomer. A more realistic approach is to obtain from a library dynamic loading time histories with varying amplitudes that will occur during a downcomer load. This result (in terms of an equivalent occurrence factor [EOF]) is then utilized to reduce the total number of loading cycles per downcomer that is used for fatigue analysis.

6A.4.6.4.2 Equivalent Occurrence Factor

The chugging EOF is obtained in the following manner:

1. Tabulate the histogram or the frequency of occurrence of the maximum chug amplitude for each of the six key runs from 4TCO data⁽¹⁰⁾.
2. Normalize the frequency distribution to the maximum amplitude value (ratio frequency distribution).
3. Multiply the probability of value within a given range (occurrence frequency) by its corresponding amplitude ratio raised to the power 4.3⁽²⁰⁾. For the downcomer evaluation, the magnitude ratio was raised to the power 3.0.
4. Sum all the resulting products of Step 3 and obtain the EOF for each of the six key runs.

Table 6A.4-12 presents the calculated EOF for each of the six key runs. The maximum EOF obtained is 0.12 for 4TCO Run 26 which is rounded up to 0.2⁽¹⁰⁾.

0.25

6A.4.6.4.3 Maximum Number of Chugs in a LOCA

The maximum duration of chugging for any postulated LOCA is desired for fatigue load calculations. A LOCA with a small break area results in an extended period of relatively low downcomer steam mass flux, Gv. Although an SBA could last

TABLE 1.8-1 (Cont)

Regulatory Guide 1.43 (May 1973)

Control of Stainless Steel Cladding of
Low-Alloy Steel Components

FSAR Sections 5.2.3.3, 5.3.1.4

Position

The Unit 2 project complies with the Regulatory Position (Paragraph C) of this guide.

RPV specifications require that all low alloy steel be produced to fine grain practice. The requirements of this regulatory guide are not applied to BWR vessels.

27



[Faint, illegible text covering the majority of the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]