REQUIATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8607070256 DOC.DATE: 86/07/02 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET # FACIL 50-410 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Niagara Moha 05000410 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION MANGAN, C. V. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION ADENSAM, E. G. BWR Project Directorate 3

SUBJECT: Forwards addl info re 860506 explanation for exception taken to Paragraph III NE-4429 of ASME Code in response to NRC request. Info includes summary of welding insp results, weld qualification & potential for carbon steel liner corrosion.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A047D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR L ENCL SIZE: _____ TITLE: OR Submittal: Inservice Inspection/Testing

NOTES:

î

٧,

	RECIPIEN ID CODE/N BWR ADTS BWR PD3 LA HAUGHEY, M	NT NAME	COPI LTTR 1 1 1	ES ENCL 1 0 1	RECIPIE ID CODE/ BWR EB BWR PD3 PI	ENT YNAME) 01	COP LTTR 1 5	IES ENCL 1 5
INTERNAL:	ACRS ELD/HDS3 NRR/TAMB RGN1	16	10 1 1 1	10 0 1 1	ADM/LFMB NRR/DSRO/E REG FILE	EIB 04	1 1 1	0 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR NSIC	03 05	1	1 1	NRC PDR	02	1	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR

28 ENCL 25

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	titut na nation Nation	f e
T.C. Marine & C.H.		i).
and the time the the the the the time of t	a de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d Por terrestrucción de la construcción	
and anna an a the	n na tanan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	4
i ⊒in gran an a A d⊒ina an an an an an L'international an	e sa e Ege e E	
с И. Г	n na	- -

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

.* v

July 2, 1986 (NMP2L 0764)

Ms. Elinor G. Adensam, Director BWR Project Directorate No. 3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7920 Norfolk Avenue Washington, DC 20555

 ∇ MIAGARA ini M Mohawk

Dear Ms. Adensam:

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Docket No. 50-410

During several telephone conferences, your staff requested additional information related to our explanation, dated May 6, 1986 (NMP2L 0703) for the exception taken to paragraph III NE-4429 of the ASME code. This letter provides the requested information.

The specific information requested by your staff; a summary of welding inspection results, weld qualification, and potential for corrosion of the carbon steel liner, is provided in the attachment.

Very truly yours,

comargan. C. V. Mangan Senior Vice President

A047 11,

LL:ja 1754G

Attachment

xc: R. A. Gramm, NRC Resident Inspector Project File (2)

8607070256 860702

ADOCK

PDR

Q

05000410

PDR.

,

·* « • * • • •



.

•

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of) Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation)

(Nine Mile Point Unit 2))

Docket No. 50-410

AFFIDAVIT

<u>C. V. Mangan</u>, being duly sworn, states that he is Senior Vice President of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation; that he is authorized on the part of said Corporation to sign and file with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission the documents attached hereto; and that all such documents are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Cemanzon

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York and County of <u>Onondaga</u>, this 2^{nd} day of <u>July</u>, 1986.

notire audin Notary Public in and for anondaga. ___ County, New York

My Commission expires: Notary Public in the State of New York Qualified in Onondaga Co. No. 4787687 My Commission Expires March 30, 1987

CHRISTINE AUSTIM Potery Public III the State of New York Deat Stat in O. 2.18.02 Co. Ro. 4787687 My Commission Expuss March 30, 19...

.

;"

ATTACHMENT

Summary of Welding Inspection Results:

The enclosed table summarizes the results of inspections performed on welds associated with the stainless steel wallpaper on the primary containment liner lower knuckle. A brief explanation relating to the development of this table follows:

The Type 1 welds were visually inspected subsequent to additional erection activities in the area which had resulted in 194 welds being inaccessible for the inspection. Inspection of the accessible welds recorded nine indications as requiring additional engineering evaluation. This evaluation reduced the number of welds not meeting the design intent to one weld which exhibited localized underfill. As this is a localized condition in one weld of the 2326 welds inspected, acceptance of the 194 welds inaccessible for inspection is justified.

Type 2 welds which could have possibly been made using a single layer overlay technique (Type 2b) were liquid penetrant inspected. The base metal near four of these 31 welds showed indications which were judged to be mechanically induced noninjurious indications unrelated to the welding process and are, therefore, not included in the table. They were removed by lightly grinding to a depth of 1/16 inch or less. The table does address two Type 2b welds which were found to have between them a total of eight rounded indications which exceeded the acceptance levels of ASME III NE-5352, 1977 edition. The eight rounded indications varied in size from 7/32 inch to 1/2 inch. These indications were repaired in accordance with ASME requirements.

Type 3 welds and the multiple layer Type 2 welds (Type 2a) were inspected by the contractor and records show that the welds are acceptable in their final condition. Records of the in-process inspections and repairs were not required to be maintained.

In accordance with standard site procedures, recorded indications discussed above were repaired.

• Weld Qualification:

2

Welding associated with the stainless steel wallpaper on the Primary Containment liner lower knuckle was performed by two contractors - Graver and CBI. One Graver procedure was used on all three weld types, and one CBI procedure was used on weld Types 2 and 3. (CBI did not do any welding of the Type 1 welds.) Both contractors' welding procedures were qualified to ASME IX, which requires the qualification be performed with a reasonable duplication of the actual application. Graver, who performed the multipass fillet weld overlays, used as one of its qualification tests an exact duplication of the field seam welds, except that a one inch thick plate was used to represent the 1-1/4 inch thick knuckle. This was performed using the geometry of the wallpaper seam weld (Type 2), since it permitted the welding of a sufficiently large sample from which to cut specimens for the required testing. This test weld was liquid penetrant inspected to verify the integrity of the deposit, chemically analyzed to verify the corrosion resistance, and sectioned and subjected to bend testing to verify the metallurgical integrity of the weld and the fusion zone.

Since the three weld types are essentially the same from a welding/corrosion resistance point of view, the above constitutes a mockup of the welding of the stainless steel wallpaper to the lower knuckle.

Potential for Corrosion of the Carbon Steel:

The stainless steel wallpaper has been provided to prevent corrosion of the carbon steel liner and to maintain water purity in the suppression chamber. The inaccessible welds are located above the beam seats, behind the ends of beams and just under the drywell floor. Both the stainless steel wallpaper and the beam seats are made of SA-240, Type 304L stainless steel. They are well above the suppression pool water surface. (High water level is 201 ft. - see FSAR Figure 1.2-11.) Thus, the inaccessible welds are only exposed to the suppression chamber air space environment which is nitrogen inerted during normal operation.

Weld procedure qualification and the visual and/or liquid penetrant inspections of the welds have ensured and verified the integrity of the overlay welds. Inspection of the accessible welds has shown that the size of any potential defect is very small and on the surface (see Table 1). Therefore, the presence of a defect going completely through the weld and not being detected is unlikely. In the unlikely instance that a defect did exist in the overlay plate weld, corrosion of the carbon steel plate would be minimal and self limiting.

а 1 т.,

. . . 6 1 • . . y * 1, ., e 'e •, • . N 1

• • • 1 a 4 T

•.•. e • :

а I в . • î * . . 1 r.

• • • • •

EVALUATION OF WELDS ASSOCIATED WITH STAINLESS STEEL WALLPAPER ON PRIMARY CONTAINMENT LINER LOWER KNUCKLE

	Amount of Welds	Amount Inspected	Type of Inspection	Number Unacceptable	Type of Indication	
Type 1						
Multi-pass fillet (Note 1) weld overlays	2520 welds	2326 (Note 2) welds	Visual	1	Underfill`	
Type 2						
Seam welds a) By Graver (Note 1)	Approx. 102 linear ft	Approx. 102 linear ft	Visual	All welds acceptable in final condition		
b) By CBI (Note 3)	Approx. 73 linear ft (31 welds)	Approx. 73 linear ft (31 welds)	PT	2 welds	Rounded indications	
Туре З						
Weld overlays (Note 1) around beam seats	Approx. 1000 linear ft	Approx. 1000 linear ft	Visual	All welds ac final condit	All welds acceptable in final condition	

<u>Notes</u>

- (1) Weld procedure required a minimum of two layers.
 (2) The remaining 194 welds were inaccessible for inspection at the time of this study (approx. 7.7% of total welds).
- (3) A single or multiple overlay may have been used.

+ ۲ ٠ •

1) •

. 2. " 4 ٩

۲ ;

٠

N

,