

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8604240149 DDC DATE: 86/04/18 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-220 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Niagara Powe 05000220
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 MANGAN, C. V. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 ZWOLINSKI, J. A. BWR Project Directorate 1

SUBJECT: Forwards Rev 2 to 8309-2, "Emergency Procedure..." & Rev 2 to 8309-1, "Emergency Operating Procedure Writer's Guide..." per NRC B50913 questions. Response to procedure generation package open items also encl.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A003D *see left* COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 62+92
 TITLE: OR/Licensing Submittal: Suppl 1 to NUREG-0737(Generic Ltr 82-33)

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	BWR ADTS	1 1	BWR EB	1 1
	BWR EICSB	2 2	BWR FOB	1 1
	BWR PD1 LA	1 1	BWR PD1 PD	7 7
	KELLY, J	1 1	BWR PSB	1 1
	BWR RSB	1 1		
INTERNAL:	ADM/LFMB	1 0	IE/DEPER/EPB	3 3
	NRR BWR ADTS	1 1	NRR PAULSON, W	1 1
	NRR PWR-A ADTS	1 1	NRR PWR-B ADTS	1 1
	NRR/DHFT/HFIB	5 5	NRR/DHFT/MTB	2 2
	NRR/DSRO EMRIT	1 1	NRR/DSRO/EIB	1 1
	NRR/DSRO/RSIB	1 1	REG FILES	1 1
	RGN1	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	24X	1 1	LPDR	1 1
	NRC PDR	1 1	NSIC	1 1

April 18, 1986

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. John A. Zwolinski, Project Director
BWR Project Directorate Number 1
Division of BWR Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 1
Docket No. 50-220
DPR-63

Dear Mr. Zwolinski:

The attached document contains answers to the NRC questions sent to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation on September 13, 1985, concerning the Procedure Generation Package for preparation of the Emergency Operating Procedures for Nine Mile Point Unit 1. Also attached is the latest Writer's Guide and Plant Specific Technical Guidelines used in preparation of the final version of the Emergency Operating Procedures and Revision 1 of the Training Program Description. These replace the corresponding documents in the original Procedures Generation Package and are referred to in our answers.

Final training is in progress and the Emergency Operating Procedures will be in effect as of startup from the current refueling outage.

Sincerely,

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

C. V. Mangan
C. V. Mangan
Senior Vice President

JLB:bd
Attachment

8604240149 860418
PDR ADOCK 05000220
P PDR

A003
1/1

Page 11

1. The first part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

2. The second part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

3. The third part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

4. The fourth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

5. The fifth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

6.

7. The sixth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

8. The seventh part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

9. The eighth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year. It is a very brief summary, but it gives a good idea of the work done. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written. It is a very good summary, and it is very well written.

CORRESPONDENCE APPROVAL FORM
(NEL-025)

Subject: EOP Preparation Due Date: 18 Apr 86
Prepared by: OEI / S. L. Benson (informal)
Reference: NRC Request of 13 Sep 85
Purpose: Answers to questions

Engineering Review

☒ Design Engineer
☒ Lead Licensing Engineer
☐ Technical Writer
☒ Compliance and Verification
☒ Attached Verification

Signature

Benson 11 Apr 86
John P. Malone 4/14/86
W. R. Benson for P. Benson

Site Review

☒ Superintendent
Comments: ☒ No
☐ Yes

Signature

B for TW Roman 17 Apr 86
(per discussion w/ Harry Barrett)

Final Review

☒ System Attorney
☒ Manager, Nuclear Technology
☒ Manager, Nuclear Design
☒ Manager, Nuclear Compliance
and Verification

Signature

[Signature]
~~J. S. Commons~~
[Signature]
W. R. Benson 4/18/86

Vice President Signature

Comments

Disposition ☐ MOD Work Request Filed
☐ Other

0121A

Responsible Engineer

INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE

FORM 112-2 R 02-80

55-01-013

**NIAGARA
MOHAWK**

FROM A. E. Reyna
TO J. J. Bebko
DISTRICT Syracuse
DATE April 18, 1986
FILE CODE
SUBJECT Nine Mile Point Unit 1
Verification: Procedure Generation
Package (PGP)/Response to NRC
Questions (Emergency Operating
Procedures/EOPs)
NCV Item 203 (6)
OBS No. 2017

I have verified the attached April 11, 1986 draft letter to the NRC. This letter responds to the NRC's "Request for Additional Information - Procedure Generation Package for Emergency Operating Procedures" dated September 13, 1985. The NRC request resulted from our Procedure Generation Package submittal of March 1, 1984 as required by NUREG 0737, Supplement 1. This submittal was for the NRC's information and comment only, as NUREG 0737, Supplement 1 indicates that implementation of the EOPs is not contingent on NRC review and approval of the PGP.

NCV Item 203, OBS No. 2017 remains open pending completion of additional commitments made in the attached responses.

The attached responses to NRC questions were prepared by Operations Engineering Inc. (OEI), NMPC's consultant for development of the EOPs. Verification was not performed for responses which addressed details of the specific phases of the EOP program development performed by OEI. Verification was performed for responses which resulted in commitments to revise documentation used in implementing the EOPs (i.e. Plant Specific Writer's Guide, Training Program Description and Plant Specific Technical Guidelines).

During the course of verification J. Benson was consulted and the following documentation was reviewed.

- NMPC PGP submittal of March 1, 1984 for reference.
- NRC letter of September 13, 1985 requesting additional information on NMP1 PGP.
- NMP1 EOP Writer's Guide, OEI Document 8309-1 Rev. 2, January 10, 1986 to verify revisions noted in the attached responses to NRC questions.
- EOP Training Program Description, Rev. 1, April 11, 1986 to verify revisions noted in the attached responses.
- NMP1 Emergency Procedure Guidelines, OEI Document 8309-2, Rev. 2, March 14 1986 for reference.
- Independent Review of Calculations Supporting the EOPs, performed by K. Thomas (draft copy).

Page 2

- NMP1 Detailed Control Room Design Review Summary Report submittal of July 1, 1985 for reference.

Based upon my review of the above documentation, I find the attached draft letter to be accurate.


A.E. Reyna
NC&V Technician

AER:saa
0872F

xc: M. T. Boyce
D. A. Cifonelli (w/o Attachment)
S. W. Wilczek, Jr. (w/o Attachment)

