

NOV 15 1985

Docket No. 50-410

Mr. B. G. Hooten, Executive Director
of Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	ACRS (16)
NRC PDR	OELD, Attorney
Local PDR	JPartlow
NSIC	BGrimes
PRC System	EJordan
LB#2 Reading	
EHylton	
MHaughey	

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2 CONFORMANCE TO REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97

The NRC staff with assistance from Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) has reviewed the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP-2) FSAR, and in particular Amendments 14 and 17, to determine NMP-2's compliance with the provisions of Regulatory Guide (R.G.) 1.97. The results of that review are contained in the enclosed interim report prepared by INEL.

In the FSAR, exceptions to R.G. 1.97 are identified. As discussed in the enclosed report, acceptable justification has been provided for some of the identified exceptions. However, there are some items for which a conclusion could not be reached that adequate justification has been provided. The enclosed report has identified those as unjustified exceptions.

In addition to the concerns noted in the enclosed report, Amendment 19 of the FSAR (Table 1.8-1, R.G. 1.97) states that conformance is in accordance with the BWR Owners Group report. This report has not been reviewed or approved by the NRC. Furthermore, this report does not commit to environmental and seismic qualification. Please verify that Category I instrumentation is, or will be, provided for neutron flux instrumentation (Table 4.21, 36-1 of the FSAR does commit to environmentally and seismically qualified equipment).

We request that by January 15, 1986, you provide a response to the concern discussed above and to the concerns discussed in the enclosed report.

In addition, your response should identify whether and where the enclosed report contains any incorrect assumptions or reflects any commitments which are beyond Niagara Mohawk's intent.

Any questions concerning the enclosed report should be directed to the Licensing Project Manager, Mary Haughey (301) 492-7897.

Original Signed by

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

8511270083 851115
PDR ADDCK 05000410
F PDR

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: See next page

M Haughey
LB#2/DL
MHaughey/dh
11/13/85

WB
LB#2/DL
WRButler
11/13/85



[The text in this section is extremely faint and illegible due to low contrast and noise. It appears to be several paragraphs of a document.]

[Faint text at the bottom of the page, possibly a page number or footer.]

NOV 15 1985

Docket No. 50-410

DISTRIBUTION

Mr. B. G. Hooten, Executive Director
of Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Docket File	ACRS (16)
NRC PDR	OELD, Attorney
Local PDR	JPartlow
NSIC	BGrimes
PRC System	EJordan
LB#2 Reading	
EHylton	
MHaughey	

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2 CONFORMANCE TO REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97

The NRC staff with assistance from Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) has reviewed the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP-2) FSAR, and in particular Amendments 14 and 17, to determine NMP-2's compliance with the provisions of Regulatory Guide (R.G.) 1.97. The results of that review are contained in the enclosed interim report prepared by INEL.

In the FSAR, exceptions to R.G. 1.97 are identified. As discussed in the enclosed report, acceptable justification has been provided for some of the identified exceptions. However, there are some items for which a conclusion could not be reached that adequate justification has been provided. The enclosed report has identified those as unjustified exceptions.

In addition to the concerns noted in the enclosed report, Amendment 19 of the FSAR (Table 1.8-1, R.G. 1.97) states that conformance is in accordance with the BWR Owners Group report. This report has not been reviewed or approved by the NRC. Furthermore, this report does not commit to environmental and seismic qualification. Please verify that Category I instrumentation is, or will be, provided for neutron flux instrumentation (Table 4.21, 36-1 of the FSAR does commit to environmentally and seismically qualified equipment).

We request that by January 15, 1986, you provide a response to the concern discussed above and to the concerns discussed in the enclosed report.

In addition, your response should identify whether and where the enclosed report contains any incorrect assumptions or reflects any commitments which are beyond Niagara Mohawk's intent.

Any questions concerning the enclosed report should be directed to the Licensing Project Manager, Mary Haughey (301) 492-7897.

Original Signed by

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: See next page

LB#2/DL
MHaughey/dh
11/13/85

LB#2/DL
WRButler
11/13/85

WB





UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 15 1985

Docket No. 50-410

Mr. B. G. Hooten, Executive Director
of Nuclear Operations
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
300 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Dear Mr. Hooten:

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2 CONFORMANCE TO REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97

The NRC staff with assistance from Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) has reviewed the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP-2) FSAR, and in particular Amendments 14 and 17, to determine NMP-2's compliance with the provisions of Regulatory Guide (R.G.) 1.97. The results of that review are contained in the enclosed interim report prepared by INEL.

In the FSAR, exceptions to R.G. 1.97 are identified. As discussed in the enclosed report, acceptable justification has been provided for some of the identified exceptions. However, there are some items for which a conclusion could not be reached that adequate justification has been provided. The enclosed report has identified those as unjustified exceptions.

In addition to the concerns noted in the enclosed report, Amendment 19 of the FSAR (Table 1.8-1, R.G. 1.97) states that conformance is in accordance with the BWR Owners Group report. This report has not been reviewed or approved by the NRC. Furthermore, this report does not commit to environmental and seismic qualification. Please verify that Category I instrumentation is, or will be, provided for neutron flux instrumentation (Table 4.21, 36-1 of the FSAR does commit to environmentally and seismically qualified equipment).

We request that by January 15, 1986, you provide a response to the concern discussed above and to the concerns discussed in the enclosed report.

In addition, your response should identify whether and where the enclosed report contains any incorrect assumptions or reflects any commitments which are beyond Niagara Mohawk's intent.

Any questions concerning the enclosed report should be directed to the Licensing Project Manager, Mary Haughey (301) 492-7897.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Walter R. Butler".

Walter R. Butler, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: See next page

