REGULADRY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS

ACCESSION NBR: 8704290209 DOC. DATE: 87/04/21 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL: 50-410 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Niagara Moha 05000410

AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

RANDALL, R. G. Niagara Mohauk Power Corp. LEMPGES, T. E. Niagara Mohauk Power Corp. RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 86-004-01: on 861109, reactor scrams. Caused by faulty LPRM circuit card mfg by GE. Card was replaced. W/870421 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR __ ENCL __ SIZE: ______
TITLE: 50.73 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES:

| | RECIPIENT | COPIE | S | RECIPIENT | COP | IES | |
|-----------|----------------|-------|------|-----------------|------|------|---|
| | ID CODE/NAME | LTTR | ENCL | ID CODE/NAME | LTTR | ENCL | |
| _ | PD1-1 LA | 1 . | 1 | PD1-1 PD | . 1 | 1 | |
| - | HAUGHEY, M | 1 | 1 | MINER, S | ` 1 | 1 | |
| INTERNAL: | ACRS MICHELSON | 1 | 1 | ACRS MOELLER | 1 | 1 | |
| | AEOD/DOA | 1 | 1 | AEOD/DSP/ROAB | 2 | 2 | |
| | AEOD/DSP/TPAB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DEST/ADE | 1 | 0 | |
| | NRR/DEST/ADS | 1 | 0 | NRR/DEST/CEB | 1 | 1 | |
| | NRR/DEST/ELB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DEST/ICSB | 1 . | 1 | |
| | NRR/DEST/MEB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DEST/MTB | 1 | 1 | |
| | NRR/DEST/PSB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DEST/RSB | 1 | 1 | |
| | NRR/DEST/SGB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DLPQ/HFB | 1. | 1 - | |
| | NRR/DLPQ/QAB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DOEA/EAB | 1. | 1 | |
| • | NRR/DREP/EPB | 1 | 1 | NRR/DREP/RAB | 1 | 1 | Ī |
| | NRR/DREP/RPB | 2 | 2 | NRRZEMAS/ILRB | 1 | 1 | |
| 1 | NRR/PMAS/PTSB | 1 | 1 | REG FILE 02 | 1 | 1 | |
| | RES SPEIS, T | 1 | 1 | RGN1 FILE 01 | 1 | 1 | |
| EXTERNAL: | EG&G GROH, M | 5 | 5 | H ST LOBBY WARD | 1 | 1 | |
| | LPDR | 1 | 1 | NRC PDR | i | 1 | |
| | NSIC HARRIS, J | 1 | 1 | NSIC MAYS, G | ī | 1 | |

· . .

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) PAGE (3) FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) OF 0 13 Nine Mile Point Unit 2 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 Reactor Scrams Due to APRM Upscale Trip LER NUMBER (6) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) EVENT DATE (5) REPORT DATE (7) SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER(S) MONTH YEAR YEAR DAY YEAR DAY N/A 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0|9 8 7 8 8 0 0 4 0|1 0 4 2 1 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR S: (Check one or more of the following) (11) OPERATING MODE (9) 73.71(b) 20.402(b) 50.73(e)(2)(iv) 50,73(a)(2)(v) POWER LEVEL (10) 20,405(a)(1)(i) 50.38(c)(1) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A) 50,73(a)(2)(vil) 0 010 20.405(a)(1)(k) 50.36(e)(2) 20,406(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(1) 50,73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(4)(2)(8) 50,73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 20,405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(4)(2)(66) 50.73(a)(2)(x) LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE Robert G. Randall, Supervisor Technical Support 3 | 1 | 5 | 314 | 91 - 12 | 4 | 14 | 15 COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) REPORTABLE TO NPROS MANUFAC-TURER MANUFAC-TURER REPORTABLE TO NPRDS CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT EICI BI D Q 01810 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) MONTH DAY YEAR EXPECTED SUBMISSION

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately filteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

On November 9, 1986, Nine Mile Point Unit 2 was in its initial fuel load phase with the mode switch in "REFUEL". At 0440 hours the unit experienced a full scram with the shorting links removed. The scram was initially attributed (prior to the root cause analysis) to the occurrence of a spike on Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Channel C with the shorting links removed because spikes on Source Range Monitors and Intermediate Range Monitors had previously been caused by welding near nuclear instrumentation cabling. At the time of the scram, welding had been in progress near a primary containment penetration which includes Local Power Range Monitor (LPRM) cables feeding APRM Channel C. A Post Reactor Scram Analysis and Evaluation (root cause analysis) was initiated.

At 0637 hours that same day the unit experienced a second full scram. The original Post Reactor Scram Analysis and Evaluation had not yet been completed. This scram was identical in nature to the first scram and further investigation showed that a faulty LPRM circuit card was the cause of both.

Since the card was replaced no further spikes have been noticed. The root cause is suspected to be random infant mortality. There were no transients to analyze nor any potential safety consequences as a result of this event.

Corrective actions include immediate LPRM circuit card replacement and trending of any future failures.

8704290209 870421 ADOCK 05000410 JE 23

•

| PRC Form 366A (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REI FACILITY NAME (1) | PORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU | | EGULATORY COMMISSION O OMB NO. 3150-0104 /31/88 | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|---|---------|------|
| , pr | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER | | PAGE (3 | , |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIA | NUMBER | | |
| Nine Mile Point Unit 2 | 0 5 0 0 0 4 1 10 | 816 - 01 01 4 | 4 - 011 | 012 OF | 01 3 |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17) | • | 19191 1919 | | 0/2/17/ | 713 |

I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENTS

On November 9, 1986, Nine Mile Point Unit 2 was in its initial fuel load phase with the mode switch in the "REFUEL" position. At 0440 hours the unit experienced a full scram. Immediate operator action was to follow the scram procedure and no other events occurred. The scram was initially attributed (prior to the root cause analysis) to the occurrence of a spike on Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Channel C with the shorting links removed because spikes on Source Range Monitors and Intermediate Range Monitors had previously been caused by welding near the nuclear instrumentation cabling. At the time of the scram welding had been in progress near a primary containment penetration which includes Local Power Range Monitor (LPRM) cables feeding APRM Channel C. Since the mode switch was in "REFUEL" the APRM trip set point was set down to 15% so a high spike on a single LPRM will trip the APRM. Since the shorting links were removed, a trip of one neutron monitoring instrument will cause a full scram. 0450 hours the scram was reset, APRM Channel C was bypassed, and the mode switch set back to "REFUEL". There were no transients to analyze nor were there any inoperable structures, components, or systems which contributed to this event. The reactor scram did not affect ongoing operations and a Post Reactor Scram Analysis and Evaluation was initiated. APRM Channel C was bypassed from 0450 hours until 0630 hours with no additional spikes observed. The operators thought they had isolated the cause of the event (welding near nuclear instrumentation cabling) and at 0630 hours APRM Channel C was put back in the normal unbypassed condition. At 0637 hours a second scram occurred. Again operator action was to follow the scram procedure and no other events occurred. Only one LPRM was found in the tripped condition. The circuit card for this LPRM was found to be faulty and was replaced via work request (No. 115208). At 0642 the alarms were cleared and the mode switch set back to the "REFUEL" position. There were no transients to analyze nor were there any other inoperable structures, components, or systems.

II. CAUSE OF EVENTS

The two reactor scrams described above were later both attributed to a faulty LPRM circuit card manufactured by General Electric (GE Part No. 136B2543AAG002). Since the card was replaced, no further spikes have been noticed. The root cause of the card failure is unknown but random infant mortality is suspected and no further investigation other than normal Corrective Maintenance Review is, scheduled.

NRC FORM 366A

• .

| NRC | Form | 356A | |
|-------|------|------|--|
| 19.83 | 1 | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/88

| | | | ~ | |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|
| ACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | | |
| Nine Mile Point Unit 2 | 0 5 0 0 0 4 1 | 10 8 6 - 0 10 4 - 0 11 | 0 3 OF 0 3 | |

TEXT III more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's (17)

III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

There were no adverse safety consequences as a result of this event. There would not have been any adverse safety consequences under any other operating configuration since a circuit card failure of this type would only decrease the margin to scram slightly via the APRM's.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTION

Immediate corrective action involved replacing the faulty LPRM circuit card and returning to normal fuel load sequences. Long term corrective action includes reviewing any future failures via Administrative Procedure 5.0 Section 7.0, "Corrective Maintenance Review", which consists of regularly scheduled annual reviews of all corrective maintenance actions involving safety related structures systems and components and those whose loss limits power production.

Because the failure was an isolated event, a specific trending program for LPRM cards is not considered warranted at the this time.

V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Identification of Components Referred to in this LER

| Component | IEEE 803 EIIS Funct | IEEE 805 System ID |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Local Power Range Monitor (LPRM) Source Range Monitor Intermediate Range Monitor | JI JI . JI | IG IG IG |
| Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) LPRM Circuit Card | JI . JI | IG IG |

No previous similar events have occurred at Nine Mile Point Unit 2.

. V • • . •



NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION



301 PLAINFIELD ROAD SYRACUSE, NY 13212

THOMAS E. LEMPGES
VICE PRESIDENT—NUCLEAR GENERATION

April 21, 1987

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410

LER 86-04, Revision 1

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report:

LER 86-04 Revision 1 Which is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (iv), "Any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any Engineered Safety Feature (ESF), including the Reactor Protection System (RPS). * However, actuation of an ESF, including the RPS, that resulted from and was part of the preplanned sequence during testing or reactor operation need not be reported."

10 CFR 50.72 reports were made at 0545 hours and 0707 hours on November 9, 1986.

This report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-1022, Supplement No. 2, dated September 1985.

Very truly yours,

Thomas E. Lempges Vice President

Nuclear Generation

TEL/CDS/mjd

Attachments

cc: Regional Administrator, Region 1 Sr. Resident Inspector, W. A. Cook

54 N

• • . • • 6 . • . r Ŋ • • 4 14