

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8510070261. DOC. DATE: 85/10/01 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-220 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Niagara Power 05000220
 AUTH. NAME: MANGAN, C.V. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
 RECIP. NAME: VASSALLO, D.B. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Operating Reactors Branch 2.

SUBJECT: Advises that load drop analyses of two remaining load handling devices completed, per util 850805 ltr addressing guidelines of NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.1 for certain load configurations & weights.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A033D. COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 1
 TITLE: OR Submittal: USI A-36 Control of Heavy Load Near Spent Fuel-NUREG-06

NOTES: OL: 08/22/69 05000220

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
	NRR ORB2 BC	7	NRR SINGH, A 01	4
INTERNAL:	ACRS 13	6	ADM/LFMB	1
	NRR NEIGHBORS09	1	NRR/DL/ORAB 12	1
	NRR/DL/TAPMG	1	NRR/DSI/AEB	1
	NRR/DSI/ASB	1	<u>REG FILE</u> 04	1
	RGN1	1		
EXTERNAL:	24X	1	LPDR 03	1
	NRC PDR 02	1	NSIC 06	1

Y
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

October 1, 1985

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 1
Docket No. 50-220
DPR-63

Dear Mr. Vassallo:

Our August 5, 1985 letter identified fourteen (14) load handling devices that were inadvertently excluded from our previous submittals addressing the seven (7) guidelines of NUREG 0612 Section 5.1.1. Twelve (12) of those load handling devices were addressed in our August 5, 1985 letter. We also indicated that load drop analyses would be performed on the remaining two (2) load handling devices and the results submitted by October 1, 1985. The analyses have been completed. Using conservative assumptions, the results indicate that for certain load configurations and weights, scabbing of the intervening floor may occur. Until such time as the analyses can be refined (using more realistic criteria), an engineering review of individual loads handled by these hoists will be performed.

Additionally, we stated in our August 5, 1985 letter that we had requested the crane vendor to review the design of the screenhouse crane against the recommended guidelines of NUREG 0612 Section 5.1.1(7), Crane Design and that the results would be submitted by October 1, 1985. The crane vendor has indicated that their review will be completed by November 1, 1985.

The two revised load drop analyses and the screenhouse crane design review are now scheduled to be submitted by November 30, 1985.

Sincerely,

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

C. V. Mangano
C. V. Mangano
Senior Vice President

8510070261 851001
PDR ADDCK 05000220
PDR

CVM/MG/dd

A033
/10

