

SEP 03 1981

Docket No. 50-410

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director
 Division of Licensing

FROM: A. Schwencer, Chief
 Licensing Branch # 2, DL
 Division of Licensing

SUBJECT: SALP-2 INPUT ON NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2

Attached is the NRR Performance Evaluation for SALP Cycle 2 for the subject plant. This evaluation was prepared by Ken Kiper who was the Project Manager for most of the period for this post CP-plant.


Original signed by:

A. Schwencer, Chief
 Licensing Branch No. 2
 Division of Licensing

Attachment:
 As stated

Distribution:
 Docket File
 LB# 2 Reading
 DEisenhut/RPurple
 RTedesco
 ASchwencer
 KKiper
 MService
 DLynch
 I&E (3)
 ACRS (16)

bcc:
 NRC PDR
 Local PDR
 NSIC
 TERA
 TIC



MEMO 4

B109150048 B10903
 PDR ADOCK 05000410
 PDR
 A.

OFFICE	LB #2 DLynch	LB #2 ASchwencer	LB #2 RTedesco				
SURNAME							
DATE	9/ /81	9/ /81	9/2 /81				

11

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses.

12

Facility: Nine Mile Point, Unit 2
 Utility: Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
 Appraisal Period: July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981
 Project Manager: Dave Lynch

1. Performance Elements

a) Quality of Responses and Submittals

Based on a very few submittals, the applicant seems to be adequate in quality of responses.

b) Efforts Required to Obtain an Acceptable Response or Submittal

Applicant seems willing to respond acceptably when they understand the staff's requests.

c) Working Knowledge of Regulations, Guides, Standards, and Generic Issues

Average

d) Technical Competence

The licensing organization seems to be lacking in technical understanding. Not enough contact was had with the engineering staff to judge.

e) Conduct of Meetings With NRR

Applicant came to meetings prepared to address the issue at hand, with adequate support from the A/E.

f) Long Standing Open Items

Mark II Containment

g) Organizational and Management Capabilities

The applicant is modifying its organizational structure. However, except for QAB approval, the capabilities of the management with the new structure is unknown.

h) Results of Operator Licensing Examinations Conducted During the Appraisal Period

Not applicable

i) Performance on Specific Issues

The applicant requested approval to use a special rebar in a specific application. The staff asked for detailed information on properties and testing. The applicant responded in a timely manner with an adequate response.

The staff eventually approved its use conditionally.

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶



11

[The body of the document contains several paragraphs of text that are extremely faint and illegible due to the quality of the scan. The text appears to be organized into sections, possibly separated by headings or sub-headings, but the specific content cannot be discerned.]

2. Observed Trends in Performance

Insufficient data

3. Notable Strengths and Weaknesses

a) Strengths

Operational and construction experience on Unit 1

b) Weaknesses

Licensing organization

4. Overall Summary

Since Nine Mile Point, Unit 2 is in the post CP Stage, the contact with NRR is minimal. The applicant has performed adequately where they have been observed.

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶

100-100000

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 IN RE: [Illegible Name]
 DEBTOR.
 CHAS. W. [Illegible Name], Trustee.
 [Illegible text follows, including what appears to be a list of creditors and amounts, but it is too faint to transcribe accurately.]