

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8108180236 DOC. DATE: 81/08/10 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-220 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Niagara Power 05000220  
 AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 DISE, D.P. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.  
 RECIPIENT NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 IPPOLITO, T.A. Operating Reactors Branch 2

SUBJECT: Submits addl info re. NUREG-0737, Items II.F.2, I.A.1.1, II.B.4, III.D.3.3, II.F.1, II.K.3.16, II.K.3.18 & II.F.1, revising info previously submitted in 801231 response.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A046S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2  
 TITLE: Response to NUREG.-0737/NUREG-0660 TMI Action Plan Rmnts (OL's)

NOTES:

ACTION:	RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME		LTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME		LTR	ENCL
ACTION:	ORB #2 BC	05	7	7				
INTERNAL:	A/D C&S SYS	27	1		A/D C&S ENG	22	1	
	A/D FOR TECH	32	1		A/D GEN PROJ	31	1	
	A/D LICENS	16	1		A/D M&Q ENG	23	1	
	A/D OP REACT	15	1		A/D PLANT SYS	25	1	
	A/D RAD PROT	26	1		A/D SAFETY AS	17	1	
	DEP. DIR, DHFS	29	1		DIR, EM PREP	33	1	
	DIRECTOR, DE	21	1		DIRECTOR, DHFS	28	1	
	DIRECTOR, DL	14	1		DIRECTOR, DSI	24	1	
	DIRECTOR, DST	30	1		EMERG PREP DEV		1	
	EMRG PRP LIC		1		FEMA-REP DIV		1	
	I&E	12	2	2	OELD		1	
	OR ASSESS BR	18	3	3	PDR	02	1	
	RAD ASMT BR		1		<u>REG. FILE</u>	01	1	
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	34	16	16	INPO, J. STARNES		1	
	LPDR	03	1		NSIC	04	1	
	NTIS		1					

AUG 20 1981

4

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

1951

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

PHYSICS 301

1

2

3

4

August 10, 1981

Director, Nuclear Reactor Regulations  
Attn: Mr. Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 2  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Ippolito:

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 1  
Docket No. 50-220  
DPR-63


By a letter dated July 10, 1981 the Commission issued an Order confirming our commitments for TMI related requirements for Nine Mile Point Unit 1. This Order references commitments made in our letter dated December 31, 1980. However, subsequent to the submittal of our December 31, 1980 letter additional information as summarized below has been submitted regarding NUREG 0737 Items.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Title</u>
February 9, 1981	II.F.2	Instrumentation for Inadequate Core Cooling
	I.A.1.1	Shift Technical Advisor
March 25, 1981	II.B.4	Training to Mitigate Core Damage
March 31, 1981	III.D.3.3	Improved Inplant Iodine Monitoring
March 31, 1981	II.F.1	Post Accident Monitoring
April 1, 1981	II.K.3.16	Reduce Challenges to Relief Valves
	II.K.3.18	ADS Logic Modifications
July 7, 1981	II.F.1	Post Accident Monitoring

This additional information revises that previously submitted in our December 31, 1980 response.

In addition, Niagara Mohawk has addressed certain of the specific requirements by an alternate means than that described in the Attachment to the Order. These are as follows:

*A046  
5/10*




Mr. Thomas A. Ippolito  
August 10, 1981  
Page Two

1. For Item II.F.1 the final design information for the noble gas monitor and iodine/particulate sampling and analysis is not available. As indicated in our letter of July 7, 1981 we are evaluating alternative designs to comply with these requirements. Final design information will be available by October 1, 1981.
2. Regarding Item II.K.3.29, Niagara Mohawk is not submitting an evaluation of emergency (isolation) condenser performance with non-condensables. As indicated in our letter of December 31, 1980 the emergency condensers at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 are being modified to provide the capability to vent the tube side of the condensers to the torus under accident conditions. Therefore, a study to demonstrate the performance of the emergency condensers with non-condensables is not required.
3. Regarding Item II.K.3.30, Niagara Mohawk is not submitting an outline of a program for the small break loss of coolant accident model justification/revision. As previously indicated to you in our letter dated October 1, 1980, the General Electric Company, our fuel supplier, will supply the information requested by the requirement directly to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff. Although General Electric has not formally submitted an outline of a program for model revision/justification, it is our understanding that the information required by you was provided at a January 29, 1981 meeting between General Electric and the staff. Therefore, Niagara Mohawk believes this requirement has been met.

Therefore, Niagara Mohawk will comply with the requirement described in the Attachment to the Order except as modified by the above information.

Very truly yours,

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION



Donald P. Dise  
Vice President Engineering

DPD:bd

