

November 19, 1979

Mr. Boyce H. Grier  
Director  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region I  
631 Park Avenue  
King of Prussia, PA. 19406

RE: Docket No. 50-220  
LER 79-25

Dear Mr. Grier:

In accordance with Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit #1 Technical Specifications, we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report:

79-25      which is being submitted in accordance with Section 6.9.2b(1), reactor protection system or engineered safety feature instrument settings which are found to be less conservative than those established by the Technical Specifications but which do not prevent the fulfillment of the functional requirements of affected systems.

This report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-0161, dated July 1977.

Very truly yours,

Original Signed by R.R. Schneider

R.R. Schneider  
Vice President -  
Electric Production

ED/mtm

Attachments (3 copies)

xc: Director, Office of I&E (30 copies)  
Director, Office of MIPC (3 copies)

A002 5/11

7911300 471.5

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0	1	N	Y	N	M	P	1	(2)	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	(3)	4	1	1	1	1	(4)	[ ]	(5)
7	8	LICENSEE CODE							14	LICENSE NUMBER										25	LICENSE TYPE					30	57 CAT 58	

CON'T

0	1	L	(6)	0	5	0	0	0	2	2	0	(7)	1	1	0	2	7	7	9	(8)	1	1	1	9	7	9	(9)
7	8	REPORT SOURCE			DOCKET NUMBER							68	EVENT DATE					74	REPORT DATE					80			

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0	2	During steady state operations, personnel performing instrument sur-																																																																																																	
0	3	veillance tests were unable to zero #11 Side APRM Flow Converter. The																																																																																																	
0	4	error in flow indication was in the conservative direction and all trip																																																																																																	
0	5	points were operable. The faulty converter unit was replaced by a new																																																																																																	
0	6	unit and the problem was eliminated. This event had no safety implica-																																																																																																	
0	7	tions.																																																																																																	

0	8																																																																																																		
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

0	9	SYSTEM CODE [ ] [ ] (11)	CAUSE CODE [ ] (12)	CAUSE SUBCODE [ ] (13)	COMPONENT CODE [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] (14)	COMP. SUBCODE [ ] (15)	VALVE SUBCODE [ ] (16)
---	---	-----------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------	--	---------------------------	---------------------------

17	LER/RO REPORT NUMBER [ ] [ ] (21)	EVENT YEAR [ ] [ ] (22)	[ ] (23)	SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. [ ] [ ] [ ] (24)	[ ] (27)	OCCURRENCE CODE [ ] [ ] (28)	REPORT TYPE [ ] (30)	[ ] (31)	REVISION NO. [ ] (32)
18	ACTION TAKEN [ ] (33)	FUTURE ACTION [ ] (34)	EFFECT ON PLANT [ ] (35)	SHUTDOWN METHOD [ ] (36)	HOURS [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] (37)	ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED [ ] (41)	NPR-4 FORM SUB. [ ] (42)	PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER [ ] (43)	COMPONENT MANUFACTURER [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] (47)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1	0	Technicians were unable to zero APRM flow converter unit due to compo-																																																																																																	
1	1	nent failure. The entire unit was replaced and the problem was elim-																																																																																																	
1	2	inated.																																																																																																	
1	3																																																																																																		
1	4																																																																																																		

1	5	FACILITY STATUS [ ] (28)	% POWER [ ] [ ] [ ] (29)	OTHER STATUS [ ] (30)	METHOD OF DISCOVERY [ ] (31)	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) Instrument Surveillance Test	
---	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------	--	--

1	6	ACTIVITY RELEASED [ ] (33)	CONTENT OF RELEASE [ ] (34)	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY [ ] (35)	[ ] (44)	[ ] (45)	LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) [ ] (46)
---	---	-------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	----------	----------	--------------------------------------

1	7	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER [ ] [ ] [ ] (37)	TYPE [ ] (38)	DESCRIPTION (39) [ ] (40)	
---	---	--	------------------	------------------------------	--

1	8	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER [ ] [ ] [ ] (40)	DESCRIPTION (41) [ ] (41)	
---	---	---	------------------------------	--

1	9	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE [ ] (42)	DESCRIPTION (43) [ ] (43)	
---	---	--	------------------------------	--

2	0	ISSUED [ ] (44)	DESCRIPTION (45) [ ] (45)	
---	---	--------------------	------------------------------	--

7911300 484 S

NRC USE ONLY

(315) 343-2110 Ext 1558