ACCESSION NBR:7901170224 DOC.DATE: 79/01/10 NOTARIZED: NO FACIL:50-220 NINE MILE POINT #1, NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP. AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

DOCKET # 05000220

SALZMAN,L. RECIP.NAME Friends of the Earth
RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

IPPOLITO,T.

***OPERATING REACTORS BRANCH 3

SUBJECT: Believes const of radioactive waste solidification & incinerator at facil should be stopped. Feels act of incineration low-level wastes constitutes "de facto" waste disposal technology.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL O SIZE: / TITLE: GENERAL DISTRIBUTION FOR AFTER ISSUANCE OF OPERATING LIC

04 NSIC

NOTES: RECIPIENT COPIES RECIPIENT COPIES ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENCL ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENCL 05 BC ORB#3 ACTION: 7 INTERNAL OL REG FILE 02 NRC PDR 12 I&E 14 HANAUER 15 CORE PERF BR 16 AD SYS/PROJ 18 REAC SFTY ANR 1 8 1979 17 ENGR BR 1 19 PLANT SYS BR 20 FEB 21 EFLT TRT SYS 22 BRINKMAN

EXTERNAL: 03 LPDR 1 16 15

JAN 18 1979

233 (7)

MA-4

A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE SAME OF

The state of the s

u u

•

>>

ч ч

30-220



FRIENDS OF THE EARTH 72 JANE STREET · NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10014 · (212) 675-5911 Jan. 10, 1979

Thomas Ippolito, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Ippolito:

This is in reference to the plans of Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. to construct a radioactive waste solidification and incinerator at their Nine Mile Point l unit. We believe there are many grounds - including the fact that this system is untested and that NRC staff have many unanswered questions about the system - for opposing this incinerator.

One important aspect has apparently been overlooked, namely just how and where this incinerator fits into the formulation of Federal policy on radicactive waste disposal. The acts of compacting and incinerating low-level wastes constitute a de facto waste disposal technology; in effect Niagara Mohawk would be deciding on and implementing a disposal technology completely outside the purview of those Federal agencies now debating the technical and environmental merits of a wide spectrum of disposal technologies and options. Not the least of this is the fact that U.S. TPA has recently published for comment its proposed criteria for waste disposal, and many organizations and agencies have already expressed the opinion that no particular waste technology, medium or site be implemented until these criteria have been discussed, revised and legally promulgated. It would seem highly improper if not illegal for the NRC to permit a waste incinerator to go into operation not only outside the legal NEPA processes but outside the lengthy complex processes begun by the Interagency Review Group on Waste Management to develop a national waste policy. Ad hoc.unilateral, unreviewed decisions by private utilities to dispose of wastes cannot be tolerated under the circumstances.

We believe the above arguments are compelling reasons for halting the Wiagara Mohawkplans and postponing any action on the incinerator until the completion of the required legal, administrative and political processes that must precede decisions on waste treatment and disposal, and we urge that NRC use its authority to deny the Niagara Mohawk application.

Lorna Saluman

Mid-Atlantic Rep., FT

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE CORY

cc: James Larocca
Charles Zielinski
U.S.EPA
Council on Environmental Quality
Gov. Hugh Carey
Interagency Review Group
Ecology Action of Cswego
Sierra Club
Assemblyman William Hoyt

Cong. Bichard Ottinger

10/0