

DEC 2 4 1975

DISTRIBUTION:
 NRC PDR
 Local PDR
 Docket
 ORB#3 Rdg
 NRR Rdg
 RSBoyd
 KRGoeller/TJCarter
 GLear
 JGuibert
 CParrish
 OELD
 OI&E (3)
 ACRS (16)
 DEisenhut
 TBAbernathy
 JRBuchanan
 Gray file

Docket No. 50-220

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
 ATTN: Mr. Gerald K. Rhode
 Vice President - Engineering
 300 Erie Boulevard West
 Syracuse, New York 13202

Gentlemen:

RE: NINE MILE POINT UNIT 1

The subject of guard responsibilities in protecting special nuclear material from theft and sabotage is being reviewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The purpose of this letter is to clarify one aspect of these responsibilities so as to assure effective application of regulatory requirements in this important area.

Nuclear reactor facilities are required, under 10 CFR 73.40, to provide physical protection and to implement the security plan submitted to the Commission. A necessary element for approval of the plan of a nuclear power reactor is the provision of guards. We expect that the response requirements for these guards will be the same as called out in 10 CFR 73.50 (g)(2) which requires that guards responding to a possible threat shall determine if a threat exists, assess the extent of the threat, and initiate measures to neutralize the threat, either by acting on their own or by calling for assistance from local law enforcement authorities, or both. It appears that the option for guards taking action on their own or calling for outside assistance may conceivably be subject to mis-interpretation. The current regulation contemplates that guards are to be instructed that their first priority is to assess the extent of the threat and convey to the proper law enforcement authorities the nature of the threat. They should then, or simultaneously if possible, take action on their own to counter the threat, deferring action pending the arrival of reinforcements only in those instances in which a guard's life would be placed in needless peril when faced with an overwhelming force. In such instances, guards could be expected to take prudent delaying action whenever possible while awaiting assistance.

Your early response to this letter would be very much appreciated, either affirming that your present practices for implementing the

MA
DW

OFFICE	ORB#3	ORB#3	OELD	ORB#3	RL:AD/ORS	RL
SURNAME	JGuibert:kmf	JGuibert:kmf	<i>Parrish</i>	GLear	KRGoeller	RSBoyd
DATE	12/18/75	12/18/75	12/22/75	12/23/75	12/24/75	12/24/75



[The page contains extremely faint and illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the document. The text is scattered across the page and cannot be transcribed accurately.]

Mr. Gerald K. Rhode

- 2 -


requirements of 10 CFR 73.40 are consistent with this view for the implementation of §73.50 (g)(2) or advising us of any deviation from this approach, and in the latter case, indicating the cause of such deviation.

Sincerely,

Roger S. Boyd, Acting Director
Division of Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

OFFICE						
SURNAME						
DATE						



Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation - -

cc:

Arvin E. Upton, Esquire
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
1757 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire
Roisman, Kessler and Cashdan
1712 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. William Seymour, Staff Coordinator
New York State Atomic Energy Council
New York State Department of Commerce
112 State Street
Albany, New York 12207

Oswego City Library
120 E. Second Street
Oswego, New York 13126

Mr. Robert P. Jones, Supervisor
Town of Scriba
R. D. #4
Oswego, New York 13126

11-11-58