



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

ON November 1, 1974

Director
Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
Washington, D. C. 20408

Dear Sir:

Attached for publication in the Federal Register are an original and two certified copies of a document entitled:

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION
(Docket No. 50-410)

Order

Publication of the above document at the earliest possible date would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Paul G. Bender
Secretary of the Commission

Enclosures:
Original and 2
certified copies

bcc: ✓ Docket Clerk (Dir. of Reg.)
Information Services
Legal Files (OGC)
Office of Congressional Relations
GT Files (SECY)
Public Proceedings Branch (SECY)
~~Comptroller~~

skil



11

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

William C. Parler, Chairman
Dr. W. Reed Johnson, Member
Richard S. Salzman, Member

In the Matter of)

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION)

(Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station,
Unit 2))

) Docket No. 50-410
)
)
)
)

ORDER

October 31, 1974

Oral argument on the exceptions filed to the June 14, 1974 initial decision of the Licensing Board in this construction permit proceeding will be heard at 10:00 A.M. on Wednesday, December 4, 1974, in the Main Courtroom (second floor), The U. S. District Court and Post Office Building, Erie Boulevard and Genessee Street, Syracuse, New York 13201. Counsel should arrange to be present in the courtroom no later than 9:45 A.M.

The intervenors (Suzanne Weber and Ecology Action) are allotted one and one-half hours for their argument; the applicant, the State of New York Atomic Energy Council, and the AEC regulatory staff are allotted a




total of one and one-half hours for their arguments, to be divided among them as they deem appropriate. Of course, a party need not use all of the time allotted. The Secretary to this Board should be advised by letter, no later than November 22, 1974, of the name(s) of counsel who will present argument on behalf of each of the respective parties, and on the allocation of time agreed upon.

While, in accordance with its established practice, the Board will review the entire initial decision and the full record underlying it, the oral argument will be confined to the issues which have been raised by the exceptions and the briefs filed in support or in opposition thereto, unless advised otherwise by later Board order. Counsel should be totally familiar with the portions of the record which bear upon those issues. In their preparation and presentation of oral argument, counsel may assume that the Board will have carefully examined all briefs on file with it and will therefore be familiar with the positions of the respective parties.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING
APPEAL BOARD



Romaine M. Skrutski
Secretary to the
Appeal Board



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20